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1. Introduction. Let $x: M^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a Riemannian submanifold. The products of the coordinate functions can be organized to define a smooth map $\varphi$ from $M^{n}$ into the set $S M(m)$ of $(m \times m)$-real symmetric matrices defined by $\varphi=x x^{t}$. This map will be called the quadric representation of $M^{n}$. I. Dimitric, [4], made a nice study of $\varphi$ pointing out that, in general, it is not an isometric immersion. This map was first considered by A. Ros, [5], M. Barros and B.Y. Chen, [2], and M. Barros and F. Urbano, [1], to distinguish minimal submanifolds in the sphere. Actually, they asked for the eigenvalue behaviour of the products of the Laplacian eigenfunctions. In a very recent paper, [3], M. Barros and O.J. Garay have shown that the Clifford torus and the totally geodesic 2 -sphere are the only compact minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ whose quadric representations live minimally in a certain hyperquadric of $S M(4)$.

As for semi-Riemannian surfaces, we are interested in a more general problem: classify CMC semi-Riemannian surfaces in the non-flat 3-dimensional semi-Riemannian space forms whose quadric representations into the set $S A(4, \nu)$ of selfadjoint matrices satisfies a certain Laplacian differential equation. Notice that according to [4, Theorem 1] the flat case should be avoided. We have observed that, under Barros-Garay conditions, the quadric representation $\varphi$ of a CMC semiRiemannian surface in $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k), k \neq 0$, satisfies the matricial Laplacian equation $\Delta \varphi=A * \varphi+B$ (see section 3 for the definition of the star product $*$ ). Then an interesting problem arises as follows: could you characterize CMC semi-Riemannian surfaces into $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ whose quadric representation satisfies that equation?

It should be pointed out that, on one hand, we do not need the surface to be either compact or minimal. On the other hand, since the surface is now endowed with a semi-Riemannian metric, our problem naturally generalizes that of Barros-Garay. Therefore, it seems reasonable to hope for finding a richer class of CMC semi-Riemannian surfaces than in the Riemannian situation.
2. Set up. Let $\mathbb{R}_{\nu}^{4}$ be the pseudo-Euclidean 4-dimensional space endowed with the standard inner product of index $\nu$ given by $\langle a, b\rangle=a^{t} G b$, where $G=\operatorname{diag}\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}, \delta_{4}\right], \delta_{i}= \pm 1$, stands for the matrix of the metric with respect to the usual rectangular coordinates. Throughout this paper, vectors in $\mathbb{R}_{\nu}^{4}$ will be regarded as column matrices and $(\cdot)^{t}$ will denote the transpose matrix. As usual, let $\mathbb{S}_{1}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{4}:\langle x, x\rangle=1\right\}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{2}^{4}:\langle x, x\rangle=-1\right\}$ be the unit pseudosphere and the unit pseudohyperbolic space, respectively, viewed as hypersurfaces of index one with constant sectional curvature $k=+1$ and $k=-1$, respectively. From now on, $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ will denote $\mathbb{S}_{1}^{3}$ or $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}$ according to $k=1$ or $k=-1$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\nu}^{4}$ the pseudo-Euclidean space where $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ is lying.

Let $S A(4, \nu)=\left\{B \in \mathfrak{g l}(4, \mathbb{R}): B^{t} G=G B\right\}$ be the set of selfadjoint endomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}_{\nu}^{4}$ equipped with the metric $g(B, C)=\frac{k}{2} \operatorname{trace}(B C)$. Let $f: \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k) \longrightarrow S A(4, \nu)$ be the map defined by $f(x)=x x^{t} G$. It is easy to see that $f$ is an isometric immersion, that is
called the second standard immersion of $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$, and its second fundamental form $\bar{\sigma}$ is given by $\bar{\sigma}(X, Y)=\left(X Y^{t}+Y X^{t}\right) G-2 k\langle X, Y\rangle f(x)$, for any $x \in \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ and $X, Y \in T_{x} \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$.

Given an isometric immersion $x: M_{s}^{2} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ of a semi-Riemannian surface $M_{s}^{2}$ into $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$, the map $\varphi: M_{s}^{2} \longrightarrow S A(4, \nu)$ defined by $\varphi=f \circ x$ is also an isometric immersion that will be called the quadric representation of $M_{s}^{2}$. Then the mean curvature vector fields $H_{1}$ and $H$ associate to the immersions $x$ and $\varphi$, respectively, are related by the formula $H=\left(H_{1} x^{t}+\right.$ $\left.x H_{1}^{t}\right) G+\sum_{i=1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i} E_{i} E_{i}^{t} G-2 k \varphi$, where $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame field tangent to $M_{s}^{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}=\left\langle E_{i}, E_{i}\right\rangle, i=1,2$.
3. First results. Let us suppose that the quadric representation $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{i j}\right)$ of the isometric immersion $x: M_{s}^{2} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ satisfies the system of differential equations $\Delta \varphi_{i j}=a_{i j} \varphi_{i j}+$ $b_{i j}$, for all $i, j$, for some real numbers $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$. From the definition of $\varphi$, it is easy to see that $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ and $b_{i j}=\delta_{i} \delta_{j} b_{j i}$. Therefore the above conditions can be globally written as

$$
\Delta \varphi=A * \varphi+B
$$

where $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is a symmetric matrix, $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is a selfadjoint endomorphism, and the star product $*$ associates to each pair of matrices $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ and $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ the matrix $C * D=\left(c_{i j} d_{i j}\right)$.

Lemma 11 Let $x: M_{s}^{2} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ be an isometric immersion whose quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \varphi=A * \varphi+B$. Then $M_{s}^{2}$ is contained in the hyperquadric defined by $\langle B x, x\rangle=c$, for some real constant $c$.

In what follows, let $N$ be a unit vector field normal to $M_{s}^{2}$ in $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$, with $\varepsilon=\langle N, N\rangle$, and let $S$ be the shape operator associated to $N$. The following lemma gives an accurate description of the endomorphism $B$.

Lemma 12 Let $x: M_{s}^{2} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ be a surface contained in the hyperquadric defined by $\langle B x, x\rangle=c$, where $B \in S A(4, \nu)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a smooth function $\beta$ on $M_{s}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
B x & =\beta N+k c x  \tag{2}\\
B N & =\varepsilon \operatorname{grad}(\beta)+(\operatorname{trace}(B)-3 k c+\beta \operatorname{trace}(S)) N+k \varepsilon \beta x  \tag{3}\\
B X & =-\beta S X+k c X+X(\beta) N \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{grad}(\beta)$ stands for the gradient of $\beta$ and $X$ is a tangent vector field.

It is worth pointing out that the function $\beta$ contains a nice geometric information about the surface $M_{s}^{2}$. In the following proposition we go further into the shape of the surface provided that $\beta$ is a constant.

Proposition 13 Let $x: M_{s}^{2} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ be a constant mean curvature surface contained in the hyperquadric defined by $\langle B x, x\rangle=c$, where $B \in S A(4, \nu)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that the function $\beta$ given in Lemma 12 is constant. Then:

1) If $\beta \neq 0, M_{s}^{2}$ is a flat isoparametric surface.
2) If $\beta=0$ and $\operatorname{trace}(B) \neq 4 k c, M_{s}^{2}$ is a totally geodesic surface.

The adjoint table explicitly exhibits certain families of surfaces in $\mathbb{S}_{1}^{3}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}$ satisfying equation (1) and in his turn they will support the classification we are looking for.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Equation \& Surface \& A \& $B$ \& $a, b, c$ <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$x_{1}=\rho$
$x_{4}=\rho$

$x_{4}=\rho$} \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}^{2}(r) \subset \mathbb{S}_{1}^{3} \\
& r=\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & a & a & a \\ a & b & b & b \\ a & b & b & b \\ a & b & b & b\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& <br>

\hline \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{H}^{2}(-r) \subset \mathbb{S}_{1}^{3} \\
& r=\sqrt{\rho^{2}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}-b & -b & -b & -a \\ -b & -b & -b & -a \\ -b & -b & -b & -a \\ -a & -a & -a & 0\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=2 / r^{2} \\
& b=6 / r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}_{1}^{2}(r) \subset \mathbb{S}_{1}^{3} \\
& r=\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{llll}b & b & b & a \\ b & b & b & a \\ b & b & b & a \\ a & a & a & 0\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$x_{1}=\rho$

$x_{1}=\rho$

$x_{4}=\rho$} \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}_{1}^{2}(r) \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}^{3} \\
& r=\sqrt{\rho^{2}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & a & a & a \\ a & b & b & b \\ a & b & b & b \\ a & b & b & b\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& \multirow{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=2 / r^{2} \\
& b=6 / r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} <br>

\hline \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{H}^{2}(-r) \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}^{3} \\
& r=\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rlll}0 & -a & -a & -a \\ -a & -b & -b & -b \\ -a & -b & -b & -b \\ -a & -b & -b & -b\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& <br>

\hline \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{H}_{1}^{2}(-r) \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}^{3} \\
& r=\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}-b & -b & -b & -a \\ -b & -b & -b & -a \\ -b & -b & -b & -a \\ -a & -a & -a & 0\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
-x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=r^{2}
$$

$$
-x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=-r^{2}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

\mathbb{S}_{1}^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}_{1}^{3}
\]

$$
\mathbb{H}^{1}(-r) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}_{1}^{3}
$$} \& $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & b & b \\ a & a & b & b \\ b & b & c & c \\ b & b & c & c\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=4 / r^{2} \\
& c=4 /\left(1-r^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \& \& $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & b & b \\ a & a & b & b \\ b & b & c & c \\ b & b & c & c\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& a=-4 / r^{2} \\
& c=4 /\left(1+r^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline $-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}=-r^{2}$ \& $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{1}(-r) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{r^{2}-1}\right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & b & b \\ a & a & b & b \\ b & b & c & c \\ b & b & c & c\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& a=-4 / r^{2} \\
& c=4 /\left(r^{2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline $-x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}=r^{2}$ \& $\mathbb{S}_{1}^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & b & a & b \\ b & c & b & c \\ a & b & a & b \\ b & c & b & c\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& a=4 / r^{2} \\
& c=-4 /\left(1+r^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline $-x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}=-r^{2}$ \& $\mathbb{H}^{1}(-r) \times \mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & b & a & b \\ b & c & b & c \\ a & b & a & b \\ b & c & b & c\end{array}\right)$ \& $\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\end{array}\right)$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& a=-4 / r^{2} \\
& c=-4 /\left(1-r^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

In the last five examples, the constant $b$ is given by $b=(a+c) / 4$.
4. Main result. Before to get down to the general situation, it is worthwhile to pay attention to the following interesting case.

Proposition 14 Let $M_{s}^{2}$ be a surface in $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ whose quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \varphi=$ $\lambda \varphi+B$. Then $M_{s}^{2}$ is totally geodesic.

Now we are ready to show our first main theorem.
Theorem 15 Let $x: M_{s}^{2} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ be a constant mean curvature isometric immersion whose quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \varphi=A * \varphi+B$. Then $M_{s}^{2}$ is an isoparametric surface.
Let $M_{s}^{2}$ be a semi-Riemannian constant mean curvature surface in $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ whose quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \varphi=A * \varphi+B$. Then $M_{s}^{2}$ is an open piece of one of the following surfaces: 1) $\mathbb{S}^{2}(r), \mathbb{S}_{1}^{2}(r), \mathbb{H}^{2}(-r), \mathbb{H}_{1}^{2}(-r)$.
2) $\mathbb{H}^{1}(-r) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right), \mathbb{S}_{1}^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right), \mathbb{H}_{1}^{1}(-r) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{r^{2}-1}\right), \mathbb{S}_{1}^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right)$, $\mathbb{H}^{1}(-r) \times \mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)$.

Remark 16 Obviously, the same computations work when the ambient space is a non-flat Riemannian space form $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. In both cases, the equation (1) characterizes the totally umbilical surfaces and Riemannian standard products.

This theorem allows us to distinguish minimal surfaces in $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ via its quadric representation. More precisely, we have the following consequences.

Corollary 17 Let $M_{s}^{2}$ be a minimal surface in $\bar{M}_{1}^{3}(k)$ whose quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \varphi=A * \varphi+B$. Then $M_{s}^{2}$ is totally geodesic or an open piece of one of the following products: $\mathbb{S}_{1}^{1}(\sqrt{2} / 2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}(\sqrt{2} / 2), \mathbb{H}^{1}(-\sqrt{2} / 2) \times \mathbb{H}^{1}(-\sqrt{2} / 2)$.

The Clifford torus characterization found by Barros-Garay in [3] can be directly obtained from Theorem 15 as follows.

Corollary 18 Let $M^{2}$ be a compact, minimal surface in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ whose quadric representation is minimal in some hyperquadric of $S A(4,0)$. Then $M^{2}$ is totally geodesic or the Clifford torus.
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