



Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2012, 166, 787-804. With 6 figures

Molecular systematics and evolution of the subgenus Mesocarabus Thomson, 1875 (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Carabus), based on mitochondrial and nuclear DNA

CARMELO ANDÚJAR^{1*}, JESÚS GÓMEZ-ZURITA², JEAN-YVES RASPLUS³ and JOSÉ SERRANO¹

¹Departamento de Zoología y Antropología Física, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Murcia, 30071 Murcia, Spain ²Institut de Biologia Evolutiva (CSIC-UPF), Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37, 08003 Barcelona, Spain ³Montpellier SupAgro, CIRAD, IRD, INRA,CBGP,UMR 1062, F-34988 Montferrier Sur Lez, France

Received 30 April 2012; revised 20 August 2012; accepted for publication 21 August 2012

The subgenus Mesocarabus Thomson, 1875 is a western Palaearctic group that currently includes five species: four of them inhabiting western Europe (Carabus lusitanicus Fabricius, 1801, Carabus problematicus Herbst, 1786, Carabus dufourii Dejean & Boisduval, 1829, and Carabus macrocephalus Dejean, 1826) and one found in the Rif Mountains in northern Morocco (Carabus riffensis Fairmaire, 1872). Representatives of Mesocarabus have been included in previous molecular phylogenetic studies, but taxon- or gene-sampling limitations yielded inconclusive results regarding its monophyly and sister relationship. Here we perform molecular phylogenetic analyses based on five mitochondrial (3625 nt) and eight nuclear (5970 nt) genes sequenced in many Mesocarabus populations, and in related western Palaearctic Carabus Linnaeus, 1758. We conducted parsimony, maximum-likelihood, and Bayesian analyses and found a well-supported sister relationship between a monophyletic Mesocarabus with Iberian species of the subgenus Oreocarabus Géhin, 1876. Within Mesocarabus, the European species form a monophyletic lineage sister to Moroccan C. riffensis. A time-calibrated phylogeny suggests the split between Mesocarabus and Oreocarabus occurred at 11.8 Mya (95% highest posterior density, HPD, 8.7–15.3 Mya), and the divergence between C. riffensis and European Mesocarabus at 9.5 Mya (95% HPD 7.0-12.5 Mya). The early diversification of *Mesocarabus* and related subgenera during the Miocene, and alternative hypotheses concerning the origin of *Mesocarabus* in the Iberian Peninsula and the Betic-Riffian plate are discussed using calibration data and dispersal-vicariance biogeographic analyses. Finally, we found instances of incongruence between mitochondrial DNA and nuclear-based phylogenies of Mesocarabus, which are hypothesized to be the result of introgressive hybridization.

@ 2012 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2012, 166, 787–804. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00866.x

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: dispersal-vicariance analyses – evolutionary history – Iberian Peninsula – Mesocarabus – mitochondrial genes – molecular calibration – molecular phylogeny – nuclear genes.

INTRODUCTION

Mesocarabus Thomson, 1875 (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Carabini) is a well-delimited subgenus among the highly diverse *Carabus* Linnaeus, 1758, based on morphological characters of the adult specimens (Breuning, 1932–1937; Turin, Penev & Casale, 2003; Deuve, 2004), and currently comprises five western Palaearctic species: four in western Europe (*Carabus lusitanicus* Fabricius, 1801; *Carabus* problematicus Herbst, 1786, *Carabus* dufourii Dejean & Boisduval, 1829, and *Carabus* macrocephalus Dejean, 1826) and

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: candujar@um.es

one in North Africa (Carabus riffensis Fairmaire, 1872). The systematic placement of Mesocarabus among Carabus has been controversial. Bengtsson (1927) placed *Mesocarabus* within the division Metacarabi based on larval morphology, Ishikawa (1978) and Deuve (1994) included it within the Lobifera division based on endophallic morphology, and it was considered as an independent section, the Mesocarabigenici, based on the *nd5* mitochondrial gene (Imura, 2002). Significant advances in the systematics of Carabus have resulted from recent molecular studies (Prüser, 1996; Imura, 2002; Su et al., 2003; Osawa, Su & Imura, 2004; Sota & Ishikawa, 2004; Andújar, Serrano & Gómez-Zurita, 2012; Deuve et al., 2012). The most extensive approach to date was based on a phylogeny of the mitochondrial nd5 gene, compiled in Osawa et al. (2004). These authors revealed an early explosive radiation of Carabus associated with a basal polytomy in the nd5 phylogeny (Su, Imura & Osawa, 2001), and supported the splitting of the genus into 137 subgenera grouped in 29 sections of uncertain relationships: Mesocarabus was the single member of section Mesocarabogenicici (Imura, 2002; Osawa et al., 2004). Sota & Ishikawa (2004) in turn obtained a well-resolved phylogeny of Carabus based on the study of two nuclear genes, and provided fair resolution to the lineage splits in the early evolution of the genus. More recently, Deuve et al. (2012) analysed several genes and a worldwide representative Carabus sampling, and obtained a well-resolved phylogeny, with important inconsistencies between mitochondrial and nuclear gene-based trees. Despite these attempts, which included representatives of Mesocarabus and potentially related taxa, neither the monophyly of Mesocarabus nor its sister relationship were fully resolved. In an early study by Prüser (1996), Mesocarabus was retrieved as monophyletic, but hypothetic closest relatives based on morphological evidence were missing from his analyses. Orinocarabus Kraatz, 1878 was retrieved as sister taxon of Mesocarabus using the mitochondrial nd5 gene, albeit with low support (Su et al., 2003). Sota & Ishikawa (2004) also found this sister relationship, but Mesocarabus was recovered as paraphyletic, to include Carabus (Oreocarabus) amplipennis Lapouge, 1924, the same taxon that has been found as sister to Mesocarabus in Deuve et al. (2012).

In order to clarify the systematic placement of *Mesocarabus* in the broader context of the diversification of *Carabus*, we have conducted a phylogenetic approach based on five mitochondrial and eight nuclear gene fragments, including at least one representative of each of the eight main divisions of the genus *Carabus* proposed by Deuve (2004). Several hypotheses for the systematics of *Mesocarabus* are tested: (1) the subgenus *Mesocarabus* is monophyletic; (2) the subgenus *Orinocarabus* is the sister taxon of *Mesocarabus*, as found by Su *et al.* (2003) and Sota & Ishikawa (2004); (3) the Moroccan *C. (Mesocarabus) riffensis* is the sister taxon to European *Mesocarabus*, as postulated by Prüser (1996). Finally, phylogenetic inference is used to investigate the age of the group using Bayesian methods and to explore biogeographic patterns in its early diversification using dispersal– vicariance biogeographic analyses.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

SPECIES AND GENE SAMPLING

The sampling available for this study is shown in Table 1. It includes 22 Mesocarabus populations ranging from the Rif Mountains to northern European localities (Fig. 1), representing the five currently valid species in the subgenus (Serrano, 2003; Deuve, 2004), as well as several taxa belonging to other subgenera postulated to be related to Mesocarabus based on the analysis of morphology and/or previous molecular phylogenies: two species of Orinocarabus (Su et al., 2003; Sota & Ishikawa, 2004); three species of Iberian Oreocarabus Géhin, 1876, a subgenus closely related to Orinocarabus; and species of western European lineages more distantly related (Fig. 2; Table 1). A deeper insight into the relationships of *Mesocarabus* within the whole genus *Carabus* was explored by including at least one representative of each of the eight main divisions proposed by Deuve (2004), as well as three species of the genus Calosoma Weber, 1801 to root the trees (Table 1). Thirty-two specimens were extracted for this study using the Dneasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and Invisorb Spin Tissue Mini Kit (Invitek, Berlin, Germany), following the manufacturers' instructions. These data were completed with those of the twenty-eight specimens included in the study by Andújar et al. (2012) and supplemented with data of 11 taxa retrieved from public sequence databases.

The data matrix included sequences from 11 DNA fragments belonging to nine different ribosomal and protein coding genes from mitochondrial (nd5, cox1-a, cox1-b, cob, and rrnL) and nuclear (SSU, LSU-a, LSU-b, HUWE1, ITS2, and TOP) genomes, with a total aligned length of 8525 nt. Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were made using PuReTaq Ready-To-Go PCR beads (GE Healthcare, UK) or Qiagen Taq Polymerase, with 39 cycles using 50–52 °C as the annealing temperature. The primers used for each gene fragment are given in Table S1. Both strands of the PCR products were sequenced with the same primers used for PCR by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) and the 'Centre National de Séquençage' (Genoscope

Voucher Species		Locality	Lat.	Long.	
1603-TURQ	Calosoma (Callisthenes) breviusculus	Susuz, Kars, Turkey	40.86	43.03	
1590-CALO	Calosoma (Calosoma) sycophanta	Arroyo de Santiago, Nerpio, Albacete, Spain	38.07	-2.50	
1601-TURQ	Calosoma (Campalita) auropunctatum	Susuz, Kars, Turkey	40.86	43.03	
323-PEMA	Carabus (Archicarabus) steuartii	Penamá, Allariz, Orense, Spain	42.16	-7.81	
1537-SAOU	Carabus (Archicarabus) nemoralis	Foret de Saou, Drome, France	44.66	5.12	
1549-RONC	Carabus (Archicarabus) nemoralis	Roncesvalles, Navarra, Spain	43.03	-1.30	
1548-SENY	Carabus (Chrysocarabus) rutilans	Montseny, Barcelona, Spain	41.75	2.43	
1615-ROMA	Carabus (Chrysocarabus) auronitens	Resita, Romania	45.32	21.85	
1553-GALI	Carabus (Eucarabus) arvensis deyrollei	Fuentes del Miño, Lugo, Spain	43.24	-7.31	
1606-MORR	Carabus (Eurycarabus) faminii	Bab Berret, Morocco	34.99	-4.85	
1625-EURY	Carabus (Eurycarabus) faminii	El Alia, Tunisia	37.18	-10.03	
1614-TURQ	Carabus (Procrustes) coriaceus	Oysu, Altintas, Turkey	38.96	29.89	
1600-TURQ	Carabus (Limnocarabaus) clatratus	Susuz, Kars, Turkey	40.86	43.03	
1584-MAZA	Carabus (Macrothorax) morbillosus	Mazarrón, Murcia, Spain	37.63	-1.19	
1585-MAZA	Carabus (Macrothorax) morbillosus	Mazarrón Murcia Spain	37 63	-1 19	
1599-SMAR	Carabus (Macrothorax) rugosus	Facinas Cádiz Snain	36 15	-5.61	
1609-KSAR	Carabus (Macrothorax) rugosus	Ksar-el-Kebir Morocco	34.9	-5.80	
1623-TUNB	Carabus (Macrothorax) ragosas	Qued El Bragate Bazina Tunisia	36.92	9.37	
1624-TUNC	Carabus (Macrothorax) morbillosus	Fl Alia Tunisia	37.18	_10.03	
91_ROSA	Carabus (Macroinolax) morbiliosus	San nodro do Alcantara, Málaga, Spain	36.62	-10.00	
111 7UUF	Carabus (Mesocarabus) dufourii	Zubarez, Cárdeba, Spain	27 52	-0.00	
111-ZUILE	Carabus (Mesocarabus) dufourii	D de la Parue Povencel Almenía Spain	07.00 97.11	-4.51	
FTO DONG	Caraous (Mesocaraous) aufourii	P. de la Ragua, Bayarcal, Almeria, Spain	37.11	-3.03	
578-PUNC	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus aragonicus	Puerto de Oncala, Oncala, Soria, Spain	41.90	-2.33	
1442-ALHA	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus baguenai	Sierra Alhamilla, Nijar, Almeria, Spain	36.99	-2.30	
103-VALC	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus helluo	Villanueva de Alcoron, Guadalajara, Spain	40.72	-2.25	
271-JAVA	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus helluo	Camarena de la Sierra, Teruel, Spain	40.1	-1.01	
5-VESC	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus latus	Fuencaliente, Ciudad Real, Spain	38.52	-4.39	
24-ELVI	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus latus	El Viezo, Los Navalucillos, Toledo, Spain	39.54	-4.73	
1416-VIFU	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus latus	Villanueva de la Fuente, Ciudad Real, Spain	38.71	-2.67	
429-LVEG	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus lusitanicus	Las Veguillas, Salamanca, Spain	40.72	-5.84	
447-VILA	Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus lusitanicus	Vila Real, Portugal, Spain	41.39	-7.71	
599-PLUN	Carabus (Mesocarabus) macrocephalus barcelecoanus	Portillo de Lunada, Burgos, Spain	43.17	-3.65	
157-FORO	Carabus (Mesocarabus) macrocephalus cantabricus	Foro, La Coruña, Spain	43.05	-8.12	
141-PVEN	Carabus (Mesocarabus) macrocephalus macrocephalus	P. de Ventana, Asturias, Spain	43.06	-6.00	
227-PTRA	Carabus (Mesocarabus) problematicus	S. del Serrat, Vallcebre, Barcelona, Spain	42.23	1.77	
1452-KALM	Carabus (Mesocarabus) problematicus	Kalmthout, Antwerp, Belgium	51.4	4.43	
1476-ENGL	Carabus (Mesocarabus) problematicus	Saffron Walder, England	52.05	0.25	
1512-OCHA	Carabus (Mesocarabus) problematicus	Ochagavía, Navarra, Spain	42.97	-1.00	
1522-SENY	Carabus (Mesocarabus) problematicus	Montseny, Barcelona, Spain	41.77	2.44	
568-KETA	Carabus (Mesocarabus) riffensis	Bab Berret, Morocco	34.99	-4.85	
569-KETA	Carabus (Mesocarabus) riffensis	Bab Berret, Morocco	34.99	-4.85	
432-PSAH	Carabus (Morphocarabus) monilis	Puerto de Sahun, Huesca, Spain	42.57	0.41	
1538-SAOU	Carabus (Morphocarabus) monilis	Foret de Saou, Drome, Francia	44.66	5.12	
44-BABA	Carabus (Nesaeocarabus) abbreviatus	Barranco de Badajoz, Tenerife, Spain	28.30	-16.43	
1588-TENE	Carabus (Nesaeocarabus) abbreviatus	San José de los Llanos, Tenerife, Spain	28.33	-16.78	
35-SSVI	Carabus (Oreocarabus) guadarramus	S. San Vicente, Navamorcuende, Toledo, Spain	40.15	-4.74	
81-NAVA	Carabus (Oreocarabus) ghiliani	Navacerrada, Madrid, Spain	40.79	-4.01	
835-PBAR	Carabus (Oreocarabus) guadarramus	El Barrancazo, Alcaraz, Albacete, Spain	38.57	-2.38	
1200-PIVI	Carabus (Oreocarabus) guadarramus	La Vidriera, Huescar, Granada, Spain	38.07	-2.52	
1228-SGUI	Carabus (Oreocarabus) guadarramus	Puerto del Pinar, Huescar, Granada, Spain	38.04	-2.56	
1527-SIES	Carabus (Oreocarabus) amplipennis	Serra de Estrella, Portugal	40.38	-7.63	
1528-MONC	Carabus (Oreocarabus) guadarramus	Moncayo, Lituénigo, Zaragoza, Spain	41.79	-1.81	
1618-ORIN	Carabus (Orinocarabus) concolor	Val d'Aoste, Italy	45.73	7.40	
1619-ORIN	Carabus (Orinocarabus) fairmairei	Piedmont, Italy	-	-	
1616-ROMA	Carabus (Platycarabus) irregularis	Resita, Romania	45.32	21.85	
37-ROBU	Carabus (Rhabdotocarabus) melancholicus	Los Navalucillos, Toledo, Spain	39.57	-4.71	
1593-TIDI	Carabus (Rhabdotocarabus) melancholicus	Ketama, Morocco	34.91	-4.57	
1597-EALM	Carabus (Rhabdotocarabus) melancholicus	Facinas, Cádiz, Spain	36.16	-5.65	
1617-ROMA	Carabus (Tachypus) cancellatus	Resita, Romania	45.32	21.85	
1621-TACH	Carabus (Tachypus) cancellatus	Ariège, France	-	-	

Table 1. Species of *Carabus* and *Calosoma* (out-group) investigated, with data on specimen collection locality and voucher reference



Figure 1. Sampling localities of *Mesocarabus* specimens used in this study and identified by voucher number, as listed in Table 1. Colour code: brown, *Carabus riffensis*; red, *Carabus macrocephalus*; orange, *Carabus macrocephalus barcele-coanus*; purple, *Carabus dufourii*; yellow, *Carabus lusitanicus*; pink, *Carabus lusitanicus baguenai*; blue, *Carabus problematicus*; and green, *Carabus problematicus*, from Ochagavía.



Figure 2. Distribution map of some Carabus lineages within the Metacarabi in the western Palaearctic region.

project, France). Additionally, some sequences of *nd5*, *cox1-a*, *HUWE1*, *WINGLESS*, and *PECPK* were obtained from public sequence databases (Table S2).

DNA SEQUENCE ALIGNMENT

Sequences were aligned using the online version of MAFFT 6.240 (Katoh et al., 2002; Katoh, Asimenos & Toh, 2009), with the L-INS-i algorithm for the coding protein genes and Q-INS-i for ribosomal fragments (Katoh & Toh, 2008), a structural-aided alignment algorithm shown to outperform non-structural methods (Letsch et al., 2010). The correct translation to amino acids for protein coding genes was checked in MEGA 4 (Tamura et al., 2007). Heterozygous positions in individual nuclear sequences were coded with IUPAC ambiguity symbols. Concatenated matrices were obtained by combining: (1) five mitochondrial gene fragments (MIT: 60 taxa, 3625 nt); (2) six nuclear fragments (NUC: 60 taxa, 4900 nt); and (3) all sequenced gene regions (ALL-A: 60 taxa, 8525 nt). We generated an additional data set including 11 taxa, with *nd5*, *cox1-a*, *HUWE1*, *WG*, and *PEPCK* sequences retrieved from GenBank (ALL-B: 71 taxa, 9595 nt). The latter data set included some combined conspecific sequences from different studies, except for *Calosoma*, which required the combination of data from different taxa within the same subgenus.

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

Data matrices were analysed with parsimony (MP), maximum-likelihood (ML), and Bayesian inference (BI) phylogenetic methods. MP searches were performed with TNT 1.1 (Goloboff, Farris & Nixon, 2003), based on routine searches with 10 000 replicates of random sequence additions, using the tree bisection reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping algorithm, and saving up to 500 trees per replicate. The strict consensus of all most-parsimonious trees was selected as the best phylogenetic hypothesis. Support values were calculated with 10 000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates, each one with a routine search including ten replicates of random additions of taxa, the TBR algorithm, and saving the 50 best trees per replicate. MP analyses were conducted with combined data sets without specifying partitions. ML trees were obtained using RAxML 7.0.4 (Stamatakis, 2006). Combined data sets were partitioned by gene, and protein-coding genes were additionally partitioned, considering first and second codon positions together, and third codon position as an independent partition. An independent GTR + I + G model was applied to each data partition. The best scoring ML tree was selected from 100 inferences on the original alignment with different randomized MP starting trees, as conducted with the rapid hill-climbing algorithm (-d option; Stamatakis et al., 2007). Support values were obtained with 1000 bootstrap replicates (-i and -b options: Felsenstein, 1985). MP and ML analyses were conducted only for combined data sets. BI was run in MrBayes 3.1 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) for each individual gene and for combined data sets. Combined data were partitioned by gene, and protein-coding genes, considered individually and in combined matrices, were analysed considering two partitions as before. For each partition the optimal substitution model (Table 2) was selected using the Akaike information criterion in jModelTest (Posada & Buckley, 2004; Posada, 2008). BI consisted of two independent runs, each with three hot and one cold chain, for 10 million generations for individual gene fragments and 20 million generations for the combined data sets, whereby trees were sampled every 500 generations. The standard deviation of split frequencies was checked to assess the convergence of results, as well as the mean and effective sampled size (ESS) of likelihood values computed with TRACER 1.5 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2007). The 50% majority rule and strict consensus trees were calculated, excluding 10% of the initial trees, after the plateau in tree likelihood values had been reached. Trees were visualized using FigTree 1.1.2 (Rambaut, 2008), and node posterior probabilities were interpreted as support values. BI is known to occasionally produce incorrect long-branch estimates, at least for partitioned data sets, because of rate heterogeneity among partitions (Marshall, Simon & Buckley, 2006; Brown et al., 2010; Marshall, 2010). These flawed estimates are stable across independent runs with a set of fixed parameters, but also when Bayesian priors are modified, hindering their detection. To minimize this analytical problem, BI was conducted with the 'ratepr = variable' command in MrBayes to accommodate amongpartition rate variation, as recommended by Marshall et al. (2006). We also checked for suspicious long branches derived from Bayesian analyses (MrBayes and BEAST) by estimating the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) depth interval for the node of the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of Carabus, confirming whether this interval included the branch length resulting from the best RAxML tree (Spinks & Shaffer, 2009).

We have conducted a partition homogeneity test (PHT; Farris *et al.*, 1995; Swofford, 2003) between all gene pairs studied, and also for the *MIT*, *NUC*, and *ALL-B* combined data set, partitioning by gene, and for the *ALL-B* data set, partitioning by mitochondrial and nuclear genome. PHT analyses were run in PAUP* 4.0 (Swofford, 2003) with 1000 replicates, each of them with ten parsimony searches with the initial random addition of taxa, excluding invariant positions, and saving a single optimal tree per repli-

Dataset	N	Length	Gapped positions (%)	%GC	Const. sites	Inf. sites	$T_{ m s}/T_{ m v}$	MODEL
mtDNA (MIT)	60	3625	0.33	27.7	2337	1091	1.4	HKY+I+G
Protein coding								
nd5	69	891	0	23	491	299	1.3	GTR+I+G
nd5-12p		594	0	29.1	462	74	1.8	HKY+I+G
nd5-3p		297	0	10.9	29	225	1.2	GTR+G
cox1-a	62	575	0	33.9	355	201	1.3	HKY+I+G
cox1- a - $12p$		384	0	43.8	343	37	n/a	SYM+I
cox1- a - $3p$		191	0	13.9	12	164	1.1	HKY+G
cox1-b	59	758	0	30.4	474	252	1.4	HKY+I+G
cox1-b-12p		506	0	39.7	456	41	6.9	GTR+I
cox1-b-3p		252	0	11.7	18	211	1.2	HKY+G
cob	59	667	0	29.5	384	256	1.6	HKY+I+G
cob-12p		445	0	38.3	372	57	6.9	HKY+I+G
cob-3p		222	0	12.3	12	199	1.2	GTR+I+G
Ribosomal								
rrnl	62	734 (726-732)	1.6	23.8	592	110	1.2	GTR+I+G
nuDNA (NUC)	60	4900	22.8	50.3	3172	937	1.4	GTR+G
Protein coding								
HUWE1	53	698 (616-633)	20.1	44.3	436	162	1.7	HKY+G
TP	32	615	0	51.7	413	161	2	GTR+I+G
TP-12p		410	0	41.5	369	24	1.6	HKY+I+G
TP-3p		205	0	72.4	44	137	2	GTR+I+G
PEPCK	26	630	0	48.9	442	124	1.4	SYM+I+G
PEPCK-12p		420	0	48.4	383	22	1.2	GTR+I+G
PEPCK-3p		210	0	50	102	49	1.4	SYM+G
WG	26	440	0	49.9	310	84	2.2	GTR+I+G
WG-12p		293	0	48.5	274	10	2	HKY+G
WG-3p		147	0	52.4	36	74	2.4	HKY+G
Ribosomal								
LSU- a	60	976 (938-951)	5.6	57.6	821	92	3.6	GTR+G
LSU-b	59	1092 (805–938)	35.3	50	633	258	1.1	GTR+G
ITS2	59	927 (481–724)	57.7	43.7	286	258	1.3	GTR+G
SSU	25	592	0	49.7	583	6	1	K80+G
ALL-A	60	8525	13.2	38.9	5509	2028	1.4	GTR+I+G
ALL-B	71	9595	11.7	39.1	6220	2263	1.4	GTR+I+G

Table 2. Information on individual ger	e, codon	partition, a	and combined	data sets fi	rom aligned se	equence data i	n <i>Carabus</i>
---	----------	--------------	--------------	--------------	----------------	----------------	------------------

Const. sites: Constant sites; Inf. sites: Parsimony informative sites; T_s/T_v : Transition/Transversion ratio.

cate. Additionally, any incongruence of combined mitochondrial (*MIT*) and nuclear (*NUC*) data sets with respect to their 50% majority rule consensus trees, as obtained from MrBayes analyses, were reciprocally assessed by SH testing (Shimodaira & Hasegawa, 1999) in PAUP* based on 1000 RELL bootstrap pseudoreplicates.

CALIBRATION ANALYSES

Calibration analyses were conducted in BEAST 1.6.1 (Drummond & Rambaut, 2007) for *MIT*, *NUC*, *ALL-A* and *ALL-B* data sets, excluding out-groups and partitioning by gene and codon positions for protein-

coding genes, as explained above. Two independent runs of 50 million generations sampled every 2000th generation were performed for each analysis, using a Yule tree prior and the evolutionary model best fitting each of the partitions, considering ten gamma categories when this rate-variation parameter was included in the selected model. Analyses were performed twice, under strict-clock (SC) and relaxed-clock assumptions, with the latter analysis using an uncorrelated lognormal (ULN) model to fit across-branch rate variation, in order to select for the most appropriate clock model explaining the data. Clock model selection was based on Bayes factor (BF) comparisons (Kass & Raftery, 1995). In our implementation, BFs were interpreted as requiring at least a ten-unit increase in marginal likelihood per additional free parameter before accepting a more complex model (Pagel & Meade, 2004; Miller, Bergsten & Whiting, 2009). We assumed one more parameter in ULN analyses compared with the SC assumption (Drummond et al., 2006). In these analyses, every nucleotide substitution was modelled with a prior uniform probability function ranging from 0 to 10, the rate of molecular evolution between 0 and 1, and the Yule prior parameter (vule.birthRate) between 0 and 20. These constraints were selected empirically against default priors for their enhancing stability and convergence of different runs. Other priors and settings were used as default options. Trace plots and ESSs of likelihoods were visualized using TRACER 1.5 to confirm that the stationary phase was reached and to assess the convergence of independent runs. Samples from two independent runs were pooled using LOG-COMBINER 1.6.1 (Drummond & Rambaut, 2007) after removing the initial 10% of results as a burn-in. Consensus trees were estimated in TREEANNOTA-TOR 1.6.1 (Drummond & Rambaut, 2007).

Two nodes were employed for tree time calibration, and were defined as gamma-constrained ages used as prior age information: (1) node G1 (gamma prior age: shape = 63.787, scale = 0.118, and offset = 0), representing the Miocene split between Carabus (Macrothorax) rugosus Fabricius, 1792 and Carabus (Macrothorax) morbillosus Fabricius, 1792; and (2) node G2 (gamma prior age: shape = 66.361, scale = 0.144, and offset = 0), representing the Miocene split between the subgenera Eurycarabus Géhin, 1876 and Nesaeocarabus Bedel, 1895. These nodes, both present in the phylogenies and affecting lineages outside, but closely related to Mesocarabus, were selected to be old enough to avoid timedependence effects (Ho et al., 2011), but not so deep as to be excessively affected by the saturation of molecular change. The ages for these two calibration nodes (node G1, mean 7.5 Mya, 95% HPD 6.0–9.1 Mya; node G2, mean 9.5 Mya, 95% HPD 5.5-11.6 Mya) were obtained from dating analysis based on nd5 data calibrated using eight calibration points across the phylogeny of Carabus (Andújar et al., 2012). We used TreeStat 1.6.1 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2010) to retrieve ages for the nodes of interest from the corresponding MCMC sample in BEAST, and used the 'fitdistr' option of the R package MASS (Venables & Ripley, 2002) to obtain a gamma function adjusting the distribution of sampled ages.

DISPERSAL-VICARIANCE ANALYSES

Dispersal-vicariance analyses were conducted in DIVA (Ronquist, 1997a, 2001) using the statistical graphic interface of S-DIVA 1.5 (Yu, Harris & He,

2010), based on 10 000 random trees from the Bavesian posterior probability tree distribution of BEAST analyses for the ALL-B data set (71 taxa), after discarding the initial 10% of trees. The strict consensus tree from this sample was used to visualize the results. For simplicity, and given the intrinsic limitations of the software to deal with complex biogeographic scenarios, we only considered three areas, and ancestral area reconstruction was consequently limited to 'maxareas = 3': A, Iberian Peninsula; B, Eurasia; C, North Africa and the Canary Islands. This event-based parsimony method minimizes dispersal and extinction events, favouring vicariance by the use of a cost matrix to estimating the most parsimonious ancestral ranges in a phylogeny (Ronquist, 1997b). This method is considered as acceptable to reconstruct reticulate biogeographical scenarios, as there is no hierarchical pattern of constraints for area relationships (Sanmartín, 2003). The hypothetical ancestral area distributions and the age estimates of the calibrated molecular phylogeny were interpreted and compared with data on the geological history of the western Mediterranean region.

RESULTS

PHYLOGENETIC INFERENCE

Sequences for mitochondrial genes showed no length variation in the case of protein-coding genes, and variation was low in the case of rrnL, with only 1.6% of gapped position in the aligned matrix. Overall, mitochondrial genes were characterized by moderate G + C composition, ranging from 29.0 to 33.9%, and transition/transversion ratios ranging from 1.2 to 1.6. The optimal substitution models for these genes always included invariants and gamma parameter modelling rate variation. Nuclear genes had roughly similar values for transition/transversion ratios (1-2.2), with the exception of LSU-a (3.3), but had higher G + C compositions (43.7–57.6) than mitochondrial genes. Nuclear protein-coding genes showed no length variation and required both invariants and gamma parameters in their optimal model of evolution. HUWE1 showed length variation and had a stop codon close to its 3' end, and was therefore processed as a non-coding gene; the substitution model for this marker only required the gamma parameter. The alignment of most variable nuclear ribosomal genes, LSU-b and ITS2, required a relatively high proportion of gapped positions, 35.3 and 57.7%, respectively. The optimal substitution model and additional information about the loci studied, and their final alignments, are provided in Table 2.

The node height of the MRCA of *Carabus*, as obtained in ML analyses, was within the 95% HPD branch length interval of BEAST analyses for both

Data set	ML(RAxML)	BA (MrBayes)*	BA (BEAST)*
MIT	0.447	0.410 (0.331-0.731)	0.475 (0.407-0.547)
NUC	0.3110	1.047 (0.818-1.292)	0.216 (0.177-0.261)
ALL-A	0.417	0.695(0.554-0.823)	0.552(0.471 - 0.652)
ALL-B	0.432	$0.641 \ (0.485 - 0.830)$	$0.448 \ (0.394 0.557)$

Table 3. Tree length from tips to the node (expressed in nucleotide substitutions) for the most recent common ancestor of *Carabus*

*Median and 95% highest posterior density intervals values of the Bayesian posterior probability trees.

MIT and *ALL-B* data sets, and was only slightly lower for the *ALL-A* data set and slightly higher for the *NUC* data set. Conversely, the 95% HPD length interval from the results of MrBayes only included the height of the optimal ML tree in the case of the *MIT* data set, and the *NUC* data set resulted in unrealistic long branch lengths, but even in the latter case, the tree topologies were very similar to those obtained under ML and BEAST analyses (Table 3).

Overall. Bayesian trees obtained for individual gene fragments showed low support for several nodes in the basal part of the tree, despite all of them supporting the monophyly of the genus Carabus with respect to its sister *Calosoma* (posterior probability, $PP \ge 0.95$; Figs S1-S13). Both MIT and NUC combined data sets produced phylogenies showing high support for most nodes, independently of the phylogenetic method employed (PP \ge 0.99; bootstrap support, BS \ge 95%). Nevertheless, some nodes representing initial splits on the evolution of the genus *Carabus* appeared with low support (Fig. 3). SH and PHT tests revealed significant incongruence between MIT and NUC data sets (P = 0.000 and P = 0.001, respectively), despite PHTnot detecting any conflict when the ALL-B data set was partitioned by gene and analysed (P = 0.467). MIT and NUC combinations partitioned by gene also produced no significant incongruence (P = 1.00 in both cases). Pairwise comparisons of individual loci revealed inconsistencies between several pair combinations of mitochondrial and nuclear genes, and overall consistency between genes of the same genome, with some exceptions for nuclear ribosomal genes (Table S3). Consequently, we studied MIT and NUC combined data sets separately, but we also investigated the combination of all genes to better resolve the consistent parts of the Carabus phylogeny. Particular inconsistencies were taken into account for the interpretation of the evolutionary history of the group of interest. For instance, some apparent inconsistencies between mitochondrial and nuclear DNA-based trees affected highly supported internal nodes, including: the position of Orinocarabus, sister to the (Nesaeocarabus + Eurycarabus) clade in the nuDNA tree, and to (Oreocarabus + Mesocarabus) in the mtDNA tree; the position of *Oreocarabus amplipennis* Vacher de Lapouge, 1924 within *Oreocarabus*; or the position of *C. problematicus* within *Mesocarabus* (nodes labelled with a star in Fig. 3). Particular individuals within the *Mesocarabus* clade also showed alternative positions depending on the source of phylogenetic data (Fig. 3).

Both strategies of global data combination, ALL-A and ALL-B, produced similar topologies regardless of the phylogenetic method employed, and with high support for most nodes, including basal splits in the evolution of Carabus, which were highly supported, at least in Bayesian analyses (PP ≥ 0.95 ; Fig. 4). Mesocarabus was included within Metacarabi, appearing as a monophyletic and strongly supported group (node B), sister to Iberian Oreocarabus, with high support (node A). The latter subgenus (Oreocarabus sensu Casale & Kryzhanovskij, 2003) was recovered as polyphyletic, as Carabus hortensis Linnaeus, 1758 and Carabus glabratus Paykull, 1790 did not appear closely related to Iberian Oreocarabus. Six of the 13 individual gene fragments also recovered Iberian Oreocarabus species as the sister taxon to Mesocarabus (node A, $PP \ge 0.95$), whereas the monophyly of Mesocarabus (node B) was also supported by six individual data sets (Table 4). All analyses on combined data sets (MIT, NUC, ALL-A, and ALL-B) recovered, with high support, the sister relationships of Mesocarabus and Iberian Oreocarabus (node A), the monophyly of Mesocarabus and the sister relationship of European Mesocarabus with Carabus (Mesocarabus) riffensis (node B), the monophyly of European Mesocarabus (node C), and the monophyly of Iberian Oreocarabus (Node D: Figs 2-4; Table 4). Orinocarabus was found to be the sister group to Mesocarabus + Iberian Oreocarabus, but only for the MIT and the ALL data sets (Figs 2 and 3); it was clustered with the remaining European and North African Metacarabi for the NUC data set (Fig. 3). The Metacarabi (sensu Deuve, 2004) did not constitute a monophyletic group, as they included some taxa traditionally considered as Digitulati (subgenera Nesaeocarabus and Eurycarabus), and Carabus (Cavazzutiocarabus) latreilleanus Csiki, 1927 appeared in another clade (Fig. 4). We also



Figure 3. Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus trees from (a) nuclear (*NUC*) and (b) mitochondrial (*MIT*) data sets. The numbers beside nodes represent posterior probabilities and bootstrap values for maximum-likelihood and maximum-parsimony analyses, respectively. Labels A–D indicate cladogenetic events referred to in the text for *Mesocarabus* (in blue) and Iberian *Oreocarabus* (in red). Asterisks indicate incongruent nodes between *MIT* and *NUC* data sets. The species colour codes are as described in Figure 1. Voucher numbers are indicated in brackets. Specimens illustrated: 1, *Carabus* (*Mesocarabus*) *lusitanicus* from Salamanca, Spain; 2, *Carabus* (*Oreocarabus*) *ghiliani* from Segovia, Spain.

© 2012 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2012, 166, 787-804



Figure 4. Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus tree for the total evidence data set (*ALL-B*). Numbers besides nodes represent posterior probabilities and bootstrap values for maximum-likelihood and maximum-parsimony analyses, respectively. Labels A–D indicate the cladogenetic events for *Mesocarabus* and Iberian *Oreocarabus* referred to in the text. The species colour codes are as described in Figure 1. Voucher numbers are indicated in brackets. Vertical bars represent the main lineages, as proposed by Imura (1996) and Deuve (2004). Specimens illustrated: 1, *Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus* from Tarragona, Spain; 2, *Carabus (Mesocarabus) macrocephalus* from León, Spain; 3, *Carabus (Mesocarabus) riffensis* from El Biutz, Morocco; 4, *Carabus (Oreocarabus) guadarramus* from Madrid, Spain; 5, *Carabus (Oreocarabus) amplipennis* from León, Spain; 6, *Carabus (Orinocarabus) concolor* from Bex, Switzerland; 7, *Carabus (Nesaeocarabus) abbreviatus* from Tenerife, Spain; 8, *Carabus (Eurycarabus) faminii* from Rif Massif, Morocco.

Table 4. Node support [Bayesian posterior probability (PP) for individual data sets; PP, maximum likelihood and parsimony bootstrap for combined data] for relevant splits in the evolution of *Mesocarabus* and Iberian *Oreocarabus*. Nodes as shown in Figure 3

Data set	NODE A	NODE B	NODE C	NODE D
nd5	1	0.99	1	0.91
cox1-a	0.68	0.78	_	0.97
cox1-b	_	1	1	0.56
cob	1	1	0.56	1
rrnl	_	_	0.59	0.58
LSU-a	_	_	_	_
LSU-b	1	1	1	0.67
ITS2	0.91	1	1	0.73
SSU	_	_	_	_
TP	1	_	1	1
HUWE1	0.99	0.97	0.98	0.96
PEPCK	1	x	_	х
WG	_	_	_	_
MIT	1.00/100/73	1.00/100/76	1.00/99/75	1.00/100/80
NUC	1.00/100/99	1.00/100/100	1.00/100/100	1.00/100/100
ALL-A	1.00/100/99	1.00/100/99	1.00/100/100	1.00/100/99
ALL-B	1.00/100/99	1.00/100/99	1.00/100/100	1.00/100/99

found an unexpected relationship between *Carabus* (*Morphocarabus*) monilis Fabricius, 1792 and *Carabus* (*Procrustes*) coriaceus Linnaeus, 1758 in both mitochondrial and nuclear phylogenies that deserves further study.

CALIBRATION AND BIOGEOGRAPHIC ANALYSES

BEAST phylogenetic calibration analyses on the ALL-B data set resulted in similar topologies and posterior probabilities than BI analyses conducted in MrBayes (Fig. 5). These analyses dated the time for the MRCA of Carabus at 27.4 Mya (95% HPD interval 19.6-36.4 Mya), at the end of the Oligocene epoch (Fig. 5). All cladogenetic events leading to the main extant lineages and subdivisions proposed by Deuve (2004) occurred between 25 and 15 Mya according to our estimations. The split between Mesocarabus and Iberian Oreocarabus (node A) was dated at 11.8 Mya (15.3-8.7 Mya), and that between Moroccan C. riffensis and European Mesocarabus (node B) was dated at 9.5 Mya (12.5–7.0 Mya), during the Miocene. The diversification of European Mesocarabus into several lineages within the Iberian Peninsula and continental Europe was dated between 6.4 and 4.5 Mya (8.5-3.2), during the Messinian and early Pliocene epoch (Fig. 5; Table 5).

Ancestral area reconstructions inferred the origin of the MRCA of the Metacarabi lineage out of the Iberian Peninsula during the Miocene, at around 13.6–23.2 Mya (Fig. 6). The ancestor of *Mesocarabus* was inferred inhabiting areas AC (55%), BC (18%), or ABC (27%), whereas the ancestor of both *Mesocarabus* and Iberian *Oreocarabus* showed a higher probability to have occurred in the Iberian Peninsula (A = 64%), or in this area combined with others (AB = 18%; ABC = 18%; Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

The evolutionary history of *Mesocarabus*

Mesocarabus has been retrieved as part of a paraphyletic Metacarabi division, sensu Deuve (2004). Most of our findings relating to the high-level taxonomy of Carabus are consistent with those found in Deuve et al. (2012). It seems that the Metacarabi, defined on the basis of morphological characters (e.g. the endophallus of the median lobe of male genitalia), are not fully congruent with those derived from molecular data. Thus, Carabus (Rhabdotocarabus) melancholicus Fabricius, 1798, Carabus (Tachypus) cancellatus Illiger, 1798, and Carabus (Cavazzutiocarabus) latreilleanus should not be included within the Metacarabi (Fig. 4) (Sota & Ishikawa, 2004). Carabus latreilleanus was considered as part of Metacarabi based on characteristics of the endophallus and *nd5* phylogenies (Imura, 2002; Deuve, 2004), but we find it here as an early split (albeit with low support) to the subgenus Rhabdotocarabus Seidlitz, 1887. In turn, Nesaeocarabus and Eurycarabus, currently included within the Digitulati (Deuve, 2004), and not sampled by Sota & Ishikawa (2004), should be considered part of the Metacarabi, as previously suggested by Arndt et al. (2003) based on their larval



Figure 5. Ultrametric time-calibrated tree for combined DNA markers (*ALL-B* data set) in *Carabus*. Numbers above nodes represent posterior probabilities. Grey bars on nodes represent the 95% confidence intervals for node ages (Myr), with mean ages indicated inside the bars. Labels A–D indicate the cladogenetic events for *Mesocarabus* and Iberian *Oreocarabus* referred to in the main text; labels G1 and G2 indicate nodes used as calibration priors. Specimen illustrated: *Carabus* (*Mesocarabus*) *lusitanicus* from Albacete, Spain.

morphology. Our results also show that *Oreocarabus* sensu Casale & Kryzhanovskij (2003) is a polyphyletic taxon, including at least two lineages (one Iberian endemic and the other trans-Pyrenean). The validity of *Oreocarabus* is thus questioned, in agreement with the results of Deuve *et al.* (2012), and the systematic arrangement of the species involved must be settled in accord with the new molecular evidence. Mesocarabus is unambiguously retrieved as sister taxon to Iberian Oreocarabus and more distantly related to Orinocarabus. The integration of phylogenetic calibration and ancestral area reconstruction analyses suggests that the evolutionary history for western European Mesocarabus, Oreocarabus, and Orinocarabus subgenera is linked to the complex geological history and climatic changes that occurred in

Data set	ROOT	NODE A	NODE B	NODE C	NODE D
MIT NUC ALL-A ALL-B	$\begin{array}{c} 21.4 & (16.3-26.6) \\ 26.6 & (16.0-41.4) \\ 25.5 & (18.1-35.0) \\ 27.4 & (19.6-36.4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.78 & (9.1-14.7) \\ 13.5 & (8.4-20.5) \\ 12.3 & (9.0-16.2) \\ 11.8 & (8.7-15.3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.0 & (7.7-12.6) \\ 10.1 & (5.8-15.3) \\ 9.7 & (6.9-12.9) \\ 9.5 & (7.0-12.5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.9 & (6.1{-}10.0) \\ 6.4 & (3.9{-}10.1) \\ 6.2 & (4.5{-}8.1) \\ 6.4 & (4.7{-}8.5) \end{array}$	8.4 (6.7–11.5) 8.3 (3.7–14.2) 7.7 (5.1–10.7) 7.7 (5.0–10.5)

Table 5. Ages (Myr) and 95% highest posterior density interval obtained in BEAST with the different combined data sets for relevant nodes in the evolution of *Mesocarabus* and Iberian *Oreocarabus*. Nodes as shown in Figure 3

the Western Palaearctic region during the Cenozoic Era (Andeweg, 2002; Krijgsman, 2002; Rosenbaum, Lister & Duboz, 2002; Meulenkamp & Sissingh, 2003) (Fig. 6). The colonization of the Iberian Peninsula by the Eurasian Metacarabi ancestor is dated between 17 and 14.9 Mya (95% HPD 21.6-11.1 Mya; Fig. 6), and was probably accompanied by the split into an Iberian clade (originating the ancestor of both Mesocarabus and Iberian Oreocarabus) and one European clade (ancestor of *Orinocarabus*). This hypothesis is congruent with the relationships inferred from the data set combining all genes (Fig. 4) and on mitochondrial data alone (Fig. 3b). However, the nuclear phylogeny is compatible with Orinocarabus sharing a common ancestor with other representatives of the Metacarabi lineage. Therefore, these incongruent phylogenetic scenarios suggest that the split of the Iberian clade was the result of an early colonization of the Iberian Peninsula, about 17 Mya (95% HPD 23.5-13.7 Mya), probably followed by an episode of mitochondrial capture from the Iberian taxa into the Orinocarabus lineage, which could be dated around 14.9 Myr (95% HPD 19.1-11.1 Mya).

Dates obtained for the splits between Mesocarabus and Iberian Oreocarabus (15.3-8.7 Mya), and between Moroccan C. riffensis and European Mesocarabus (12.5–7.0 Mya), are suggestive of an early colonization of the Betic-Riffian plate by ancestral Mesocarabus in the Upper Miocene, when land bridges started to be available between these land masses (Fig. 6). Present evidence does not allow rejecting whether the split between Oreocarabus and Mesocarabus occurred in the Iberian Peninsula before the colonization of the Betic-Riffian plate, or was a result of a vicariant event. The first hypothesis requires only one dispersal event of Mesocarabus from Iberia to the Betic-Riffian plate at around 9.5 Mya (95% HPD 12.5–7.0 Mya), whereas the second implies an earlier colonization of the Betic-Riffian plate about 11.8 Mya (95% HPD 15.3-8.7 Mya) by the ancestor of Mesocarabus and Iberian Oreocarabus (where Mesocarabus evolved), and a return of *Mesocarabus* to colonize the Iberian Peninsula, where they diversified. Both alternative scenarios are geologically possible thanks to connections between the Iberian and the Betic-Riffian plates during the late Miocene (Martin, Braga & Betzler, 2001; Andeweg, 2002; García-Castellanos *et al.*, 2009), where different episodes of dispersal and vicariance could have occurred at different times.

The diversification of European Mesocarabus into several lineages within the Iberian Peninsula and continental Europe is dated between 6.4 and 4.5 Mya (95% HPD 8.5-3.2 Mya), during the Messinian and early Pliocene epoch. The reconstruction of the evolutionary history of this lineage is hindered by the complex geological changes of the Iberian Peninsula, and the occurrence of major barriers, such as large continental basins and transversal mountain chains. Moreover, secondary contact and hybridization between entities that account for different degrees of differentiation probably obscure the evolutionary history of the group. This reconstruction will be explored in depth in a future work. Yet, some interesting insight about the geographic drivers for the evolution of this group can be derived from our data. The current distribution of most Mesocarabus species in the Iberian Peninsula and their sister C. problematicus in most of non-peninsular Europe may be suggestive of the classical pattern with dispersal and (phylo)genetic differentiation from southern refugia accompanying Quaternary climatic changes (Hewitt, 1999, 2004). However, the split between the European C. problematicus and the Iberian Mesocarabus occurred at 6.4 Mya (95% HPD 8.5-4.7 Mya), which strongly suggests that the origin of C. problematicus was not associated with the climatic oscillations of the Pleistocene, but rather pre-dated them. Instead, the latter species could have had an allopatric origin in western Europe, with the Pyrenees acting as a major isolation barrier.

INCONGRUENCE BETWEEN MITOCHONDRIAL AND NUCLEAR PHYLOGENIES

Incongruence between mtDNA and nuclear DNA (nuDNA) phylogenies are common (e.g. Shaw, 2002; Gómez-Zurita & Vogler, 2003; Leaché & McGuire, 2006; Ting *et al.*, 2008; Spinks & Shaffer, 2009), and this has also been described in different studies of



Figure 6. Ultrametric time-calibrated tree for combined DNA markers (*ALL-B* data set) in *Carabus* showing ancestral area inferences (A, Iberian Peninsula; B, Eurasia; C, North Africa and Canary Islands). Pie charts represent the probability for each area reconstruction. The grey bars on nodes represent the 95% confidence intervals for node ages (Myr), with mean ages indicated inside bars. The palaeogeographic reconstructions are taken from Andeweg (2002).

Carabus, e.g. with the subgenus Ohomopterus Reitter, 1896 in Japan (Sota & Vogler, 2001, 2003; Sota et al., 2001; Nagata, Kubota & Sota, 2007; Nagata et al., 2007) or with Chrysocarabus Thomson, 1875 in Europe (Prüser, 1996; Streiff *et al.*, 2005; Düring, Bruckner & Mossakowski, 2006). In our phylogenetic study centred in *Mesocarabus*, we have observed inconsistent results between mtDNA and nuDNA phylogenies spanning

several taxonomic levels within Carabus (Fig. 3). There is incongruence in the relationship of the subgenus Orinocarabus within Metacarabi at the subgeneric level, but also for the specific relationships of C. (Oreocarabus) amplipennis and C. (Mesocarabus) problematicus within Oreocarabus and Mesocarabus, respectively. But incongruence also affects particular Mesocarabus specimens, such as Carabus (Mesocarabus) macrocephalus (voucher ref. 599) and Carabus (Mesocarabus) lusitanicus (voucher ref. 1442) (see Fig. 3). A number of evolutionary processes may cause incongruence between independent molecular markers, including low mutation rate, natural selection, ancestral polymorphism, and introgressive hybridization (Funk & Omland, 2003; Ballard & Whitlock, 2004), and it is difficult to distinguish among them.

However, some well-known characteristics of this genus point to hybridization, leading to introgression as the most plausible explanation for the patterns observed. Introgression has been proven for three species of the subgenus Chrysocarabus inhabiting the southern slopes of the Pyrenees (Düring et al., 2006), and natural hybridization between Carabus (Chrysocarabus) lineatus Dejean, 1826 and Carabus (Chrysocarabus) splendens Olivier, 1790 at both sides of the western Pyrenees was also documented on the basis of allozymes and morphological traits (Mossakowski, Roschen & Vaje, 1986). Furthermore, there is a large background of great success in artificial interspecific crosses for Carabus species (Deuve, 2004), referred to species within Mesocarabus (Puisségur, 1987), Chrysocarabus (Puisségur, 1987; Godeau, Malausa & Drescher, 1991), and Macrothorax (Godeau et al., 1991; Malausa et al., 1991). Crosses between species of different subgenera have also been successfully obtained (Imura, 1989; Deuve, 1994, 2004). In support for the idea of introgression affecting Mesocarabus and related taxa, the observed cases of incongruence between nuDNA and mtDNA can always be mapped to situations where the taxa involved in the hybridization process meet (Fig. 1), thereby satisfying the prerequisite of spatial coexistence that is required for hybridization (Gómez-Zurita & Vogler, 2003).

Carabus lusitanicus baguenai Breuning, 1926 has been traditionally considered a subspecies of C. lusitanicus based on external morphology (Serrano, 2003), an assignment supported by mitochondrial data. However, using nuclear genes our results based on a specimen from Sierra Alhamilla (voucher number 1442) show that it is clearly related to parapatric C. dufourii, as already suggested by the characteristics of the everted endophallus (Anichtchenko, 2004). These results suggest that historic hybridization between C. dufourii and C. lusitanicus left a signature of morphological and molecular character admixture in geographically intermediate populations between both species. These molecular data may be interpreted as supporting the proposal of Anichtchenko (2004) of a *C. dufourii baguenai* subspecies. If this combination of characters is fixed across the distribution range of this taxon, and considering its relatively old age for both mitochondrial (1.59–3.01 Mya) and nuclear (0.57–3.30 Mya) time-calibrated phylogenies, it would be possible to consider *C. baguenai* as a valid species of hybrid origin.

The case of the specimen of Carabus macrocephalus barcelecoanus Lapouge, 1925 from Puerto de Lunada (voucher number 599) is somehow different, as both nuclear phylogeny and aedeagal and external morphology agree with those of typical *C. macrocephalus*, whereas only mitochondrial DNA corresponds to C. lusitanicus. Thus, C. macrocephalus barcelecoanus should be retained within C. macrocephalus, and possibly affected by a past episode of mtDNA capture. Similarly, all available evidence indicates that the specimen of C. problematicus from Ochagavía (voucher number 1512) has an introgressed mitochondrial DNA from C. lusitanicus. In summary, hybridization followed by introgression between Mesocarabus lineages are not uncommon events, and they seem to have given rise to intermediate populations, the status of which as independent lineages poses new evolutionary problems that we are currently investigating.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by projects of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation CGL2006/06706, CGL2009-10906 (JS), and CGL2008-00007, with co-funding by European Union FEDER Funds (J.G-Z.), as well as project 08724PI08 of the Fundación Séneca (Murcia) (J.S.). C.A. received the support of an FPU predoctoral studentship of the Spanish Ministry of Education. Thanks are due to Obdulia Sánchez, Ana Asensio, José Luis Lencina (University of Murcia), Gwenaelle Genson (CBGP Montpellier), and Juan Alejandro Palomino (Parque Científico de Murcia, PCMU) for technical assistance. Carlos Ruiz, Paula Arribas (University of Murcia), and three anonymous referees helped with comments and advice. Achille Casale (University of Sassari) helped with the identification of some taxa. Phylogenetic analyses were carried out using the facilities of the Ben Arabi supercomputer of the PCMU.

REFERENCES

Andeweg B. 2002. Cenozoic tectonic evolution of the Iberian Peninsula: causes and effects of changing stress fields. Amsterdam: Netherland Research School of Sedimentary Geology (NSG).

- Andújar C, Serrano J, Gómez-Zurita J. 2012. Winding up the molecular clock in the genus Carabus (Coleoptera: Carabidae): assessment of methodological decisions on rate and node age estimation. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12: 40.
- Anichtchenko AV. 2004. Notas taxonómicas sobre el subgénero Mesocarabus Thomson, 1875 (Coleoptera, Carabidae) de la Península Ibérica. Primera nota. Boletín De La Sociedad Española De Entomología 28: 89–103.
- Arndt E, Brücker M, Marciniak K, Mossakowski D, Prüser F. 2003. Phylogeny. In: Turin H, Penev L, Casale A, eds. *The genus Carabus L. In Europe, a synthesis*. Sofia & Leiden: Pensoft & European Invertebrate Survey, 307–325.
- Ballard JWO, Whitlock MC. 2004. The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. *Molecular Ecology* 13: 729–744.
- Bengtsson S. 1927. Die Larven der nordischen Arten von Carabus L. Eine morphologische studie. Kungliga Fysiografiska sällskapet i Lund. Förhardlingar. (N.F.) 39: 1–89.
- Breuning S. 1932–1937. Monographie der Gattung Carabus L. Troppau.
- Brown JM, Hedtke SM, Lemmon AR, Lemmon EM. 2010. When trees grow too long: investigating the causes of highly inaccurate bayesian branch-length estimates. *Systematic Biology* 59: 145–161.
- Casale A, Kryzhanovskij OL. 2003. Key to the adults. In: Turin H, Penev L, Casale A, eds. *The genus Carabus L. In Europe, a synthesis*. Sofia & Leiden: Pensoft & European Invertebrate Survey, 73–123.
- **Deuve T. 1994.** Une classification du genre Carabus. Paris: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle.
- **Deuve T. 2004.** Illustrated catalogue of the genus Carabus of the World (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Sofia: Pensoft.
- Deuve T, Cruaud A, Genson G, Rasplus JY. 2012. Molecular systematics and evolutionary history of the genus Carabus (Col. Carabidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 65: 259–275.
- Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. 2006. Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *Plos Biology* 4: 699-710.
- Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evolutionary Biology 7: 214.
- Düring A, Bruckner M, Mossakowski D. 2006. Different behaviour of mitochondrial and nuclear markers: introgression and the evolutionary history of Chrysocarabus (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *Entomologica Fennica* 17: 200–206.
- Farris JS, Kállersjö M, Kluge AG, Bult C. 1995. Testing significance of incongruence. *Cladistics* 10: 315–319.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783–791.
- Funk DJ, Omland KE. 2003. Species-level paraphyly and polyphyly: frequency, causes, and consequences, with insights from animal mitochondrial DNA. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 34: 397–423.
- García-Castellanos D, Estrada F, Jiménez-Munt I, Gorini C, Fernández M, Verges J, De Vicente R. 2009. Catastrophic flood of the Mediterranean after the Messinian salinity crisis. *Nature* 462: 778–781.
- Godeau B, Malausa JC, Drescher J. 1991. L'hybridation

expérimentale entre le genre Macrothorax Desmarest et l'ensemble des espèces du genre Chrysocarabus Thomson. Bulletin De La Société Entomologique De France **96:** 144.

- Goloboff P, Farris JS, Nixon K. 2003. T.N.T.-Tree analysis using new technology. Vers. 1.1. (December 2007). Available at: http://www.zmuc.dk/public/phylogeny
- Gómez-Zurita J, Vogler AP. 2003. Incongruent nuclear and mitochondrial phylogeographic patterns in the Timarcha goettingensis species complex (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae). Journal of Evolutionary Biology 16: 833–843.
- Hewitt GM. 1999. Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 68: 87-112.
- Hewitt GM. 2004. Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 359: 183–195.
- Ho SYW, Lanfear R, Bromham L, Phillips MJ, Soubrier J, Rodrigo AG, Cooper A. 2011. Time-dependent rates of molecular evolution. *Molecular Ecology* 20: 3087–3101.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17: 754–755.
- Imura Y. 1989. Natural hybrids of the Damaster species (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in Hokkaido, Northern Japan. Japanese Journal of Entomology 57: 67–71.
- Imura Y. 1996. A revised classification of the major divisions and subdivisions of Carabus (s. lat.) (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Elytra, Tokyo 24: 5–12.
- **Imura Y. 2002.** Classification of the subtribe Carabina (Coleoptera, Carabidae) based on molecular phylogeny. *Elytra, Tokyo* **30**: 1–28.
- Ishikawa R. 1978. A revision of the higher taxa of the subtribe Carabina (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Bulletin of the National Science Museum Series A: Zoology 4: 15–68.
- Kass RE, Raftery AE. 1995. Bayes factors. Journal of the American Statistical Association 90: 773–795.
- Katoh K, Asimenos G, Toh H. 2009. Multiple alignment of DNA Sequences with MAFFT. In: Posada D, eds. Bioinformatics for DNA sequence analysis. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 537: 39–64.
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30: 3059– 3066.
- Katoh K, Toh H. 2008. Improved accuracy of multiple ncRNA alignment by incorporating structural information into a MAFFT-based framework. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9: 212.
- Krijgsman W. 2002. The Mediterranean: mare nostrum of Earth sciences. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 205: 1–12.
- Leaché AD, McGuire JA. 2006. Phylogenetic relationships of horned lizards (Phrynosoma) based on nuclear and mitochondrial data: evidence for a misleading mitochondrial gene tree. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **39**: 628–644.
- Letsch HO, Kück P, Stocsits RR, Misof B. 2010. The impact of rRNA secondary structure consideration in alignment and tree reconstruction: simulated data and a case study on the phylogeny of hexapods. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution 27: 2507–2521.
- Malausa JC, Sapaly S, Godeau B, Drescher J. 1991.

Premières hybridations expérimentales dans le genre Macrothorax Desmarest. *Bulletin De La Société Entomologique De France* **96:** 23–30.

- Marshall DC. 2010. Cryptic failure of partitioned Bayesian phylogenetic analyses: lost in the land of long trees. Systematic Biology 59: 108-117.
- Marshall DC, Simon C, Buckley TR. 2006. Accurate branch length estimation in partitioned bayesian analyses requires accommodation of among-partition rate variation and attention to branch length priors. *Systematic Biology* 55: 993–1003.
- Martin JM, Braga JC, Betzler C. 2001. The Messinian Guadalhorce corridor: the last northern, Atlantic-Mediterranean gateway. *Terra Nova* 13: 418–424.
- Meulenkamp JE, Sissingh W. 2003. Tertiary palaeogeography and tectonostratigraphic evolution of the Northern and Southern Peri-Tethys platforms and the intermediate domains of the African-Eurasian convergent plate boundary zone. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **196:** 209–228.
- Miller KB, Bergsten J, Whiting MF. 2009. Phylogeny and classification of the tribe Hydaticini (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae): partition choice for Bayesian analysis with multiple nuclear and mitochondrial protein-coding genes. Zoologica Scripta 38: 591-615.
- Mossakowski D, Roschen A, Vaje S. 1986. Hybridization in Chrysocarabus. In: Den Boer P, Luff ML, Mossakowski D, Weber F, eds. *Carabid beetles, their adaptations and dynamics*. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer, 281–295.
- Nagata N, Kubota K, Sota T. 2007. Phylogeography and introgressive hybridization of the ground beetle Carabus yamato in Japan based on mitochondrial gene sequences. *Zoological Science* 24: 465–474.
- Nagata N, Kubota K, Yahiro K, Sota T. 2007. Mechanical barriers to introgressive hybridization revealed by mitochondrial introgression patterns in Ohomopterus ground beetle assemblages. *Molecular Ecology* 16: 4822–4836.
- Osawa S, Su ZH, Imura Y. 2004. Molecular phylogeny and evolution of carabid ground beetles. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag.
- Pagel M, Meade A. 2004. A phylogenetic mixture model for detecting pattern-heterogeneity in gene sequence or character-state data. *Systematic Biology* 53: 571–581.
- Posada D. 2008. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25: 1253–1256.
- **Posada D, Buckley TR. 2004.** Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. *Systematic Biology* **53**: 793–808.
- **Prüser F. 1996.** Variabilität mitochondrialer DNA-Sequenzen und die Phylogenie der Gattung Carabus Linné 1758 (Coleoptera: Carabidae) PhD. Bremen: University of Bremen.
- Puisségur C. 1987. Hybridation plurispécifique chez les Chrysocarabus et Hadrocarabus. Bulletin De La Société Des Sciences Nat 56: 1–19.
- Rambaut A. 2008. FigTree v.1.1.2. Available at: http:// tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. 2007. Tracer v1.5. Available at: http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer

- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. 2010. TreeStat v1.6.1: tree statistic calculation tool.
- **Ronquist F. 1997a.** Dispersal-Vicariance analysis: a new approach to the quantification of historical biogeography. *Systematic Biology* **46:** 195–203.
- Ronquist F. 1997b. Phylogenetic approaches in coevolution and biogeography. *Zoologica Scripta* 26: 313–322.
- Ronquist F. 2001. DIVA version 1.2. Computer program for macos and Win32. Uppsala: Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574.
- Rosenbaum G, Lister GS, Duboz C. 2002. Reconstruction of the tectonic evolution of the western Mediterranean since the Oligocene. In: Rosenbaum G, Lister GS, eds. Reconstruction of the evolution of the Alpine-Himalayan Orogen. Journal of the Virtual Explorer 8: 107–130.
- Sanmartín I. 2003. Dispersal vs. vicariance in the Mediterranean: historical biogeography of the Palearctic Pachydeminae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea). *Journal of Biogeography* 30: 1883–1897.
- Serrano J. 2003. Catálogo de los Carabidae (Coleoptera) de la Península Ibérica. Zaragoza: Monografías de la Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa 9.
- Shaw KL. 2002. Conflict between nuclear and mitochondrial DNA phylogenies of a recent species radiation: what mtDNA reveals and conceals about modes of speciation in Hawaiian crickets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99: 16122–16127.
- Shimodaira H, Hasegawa M. 1999. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 1114.
- Sota T, Ishikawa R. 2004. Phylogeny and life-history evolution in Carabus (subtribe Carabina : Coleoptera, Carabidae) based on sequences of two nuclear genes. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 81: 135–149.
- Sota T, Ishikawa R, Ujiie M, Kusumoto F, Vogler AP. 2001. Extensive trans-species mitochondrial polymorphisms in the carabid beetles Carabus subgenus Ohomopterus caused by repeated introgressive hybridization. *Molecular Ecology* 10: 2833–2847.
- **Sota T, Vogler AP. 2001.** Incongruence of mitochondrial and nuclear gene trees in the carabid beetles Ohomopterus. *Systematic Biology* **50:** 39–59.
- Sota T, Vogler AP. 2003. Reconstructing species phylogeny of the carabid beetles Ohomopterus using multiple nuclear DNA sequences: heterogeneous information content and the performance of simultaneous analyses. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 26: 139–154.
- Spinks PQ, Shaffer HB. 2009. Conflicting mitochondrial and nuclear phylogenies for the widely disjunct Emys (Testudines: Emydidae) species complex, and what they tell us about biogeography and hybridization. Systematic Biology 58: 1–20.
- Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihoodbased phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688–2690.

- Stamatakis A, Blagojevic F, Nikolopoulos D, Antonopoulos C. 2007. Exploring new search algorithms and hardware for phylogenetics: RAxML meets the IBM cell. *The Journal of VLSI Signal Processing* **48**: 271–286.
- Streiff R, Veyrier R, Audiot P, Meusnier S, Brouat C. 2005. Introgression in natural populations of bioindicators: a case study of Carabus splendens and Carabus punctatoauratus. *Molecular Ecology* 14: 3775–3786.
- Su ZH, Imura Y, Osawa S. 2001. Evolutionary discontinuity of the Carabine ground beetles. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 53: 517–529.
- Su ZH, Imura Y, Zhou HZ, Okamoto M, Osawa S. 2003. Mode of morphological differentiation in the Latitarsi-ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) of the world inferred from a phylogenetic tree of mitochondrial ND5 gene sequences. *Genes, Genetic Systems* 78: 53–70.
- Swofford DL. 2003. Paup*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.

- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. 2007. MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetic analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 24: 1596– 1599.
- Ting N, Tosi AJ, Li Y, Zhang YP, Disotell TR. 2008. Phylogenetic incongruence between nuclear and mitochondrial markers in the Asian colobines and the evolution of the langurs and leaf monkeys. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 46: 466–474.
- **Turin H, Penev L, Casale A. 2003.** The genus Carabus in *Europe. A synthesis.* Sofia & Leiden: Pensoft & European Invertebrate Survey.
- Venables WN, Ripley BD. 2002. Modern applied statistics with S, Fourth edn. New York: Springer.
- Yu Y, Harris AJ, He X. 2010. S-DIVA (Statistical Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis): a tool for inferring biogeographic histories. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 56: 848–850.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figures S1-13. Phylogenetic trees obtained with MrBayes for each single gene fragment data set: S1, cox1-a; S2, cox1-b; S3, cob; S4, nd5; S5, rrnL; S6, SSU; S7, LSU-a; S8, LSU-b; S9, ITS2; S10, HUWE1; S11, TP; S12, PEPCK; S13, WG.

Table S1. Species, voucher reference, and accession numbers for each specimen and sequence. The collection localities are listed in Table 1.

Table S2. Primers used in the study.

Table S3. Results of a partition homogeneity test for pairwise combinations of genes.