

PowerPoint Presentation 1

Teacher name **LOURDES CEREZO**

Group Name **MALLI – GESTIÓN DE LA CLASE Y EVALUACIÓN DEL APRENDIZAJE**

Title of Presentation **GESTIÓN DE LA CLASE:** _____

CATEGORY	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs Improvement (1)
Content - Accuracy (5%)	All content throughout the presentation is accurate. There are no factual errors.	Most of the content is accurate but there is one piece of information that seems inaccurate.	The content is generally accurate, but one piece of information is clearly inaccurate.	Content confusing or contains more than one factual error.
Sequencing of Information (2.5%)	Information is organized in a clear, logical way. It is easy to anticipate the next slide.	Most information is organized in a clear, logical way. One slide or piece of information seems out of place, though.	Some information is logically sequenced. An occasional slide or piece of information seems out of place.	There is no clear plan for the organization of information.
Effectiveness (2.5%)	Project includes all material needed to give a good understanding of the topic. The project is consistent with the driving question.	Project is lacking one or two key elements. Project is consistent with driving question most of the time.	Project is missing more than two key elements. It is rarely consistent with the driving question.	Project is lacking several key elements and has inaccuracies. Project is completely inconsistent with driving question.
Use of Graphics (1%)	All graphics are attractive (size and colors) and support the topic of the presentation.	A few graphics are not attractive but all support the topic of the presentation.	All graphics are attractive but a few do not support the topic of the presentation.	Several graphics are unattractive AND detract from the content of the presentation.
Text - Font Choice & Formatting (2.5%)	Font formats (color, bold, italic) have been carefully planned to enhance readability and content.	Font formats have been carefully planned to enhance readability.	Font formatting has been carefully planned to complement the content. It may be a little hard to read.	Font formatting makes it very difficult to read the material.

Spelling & Grammar (2%)	Presentation has no misspellings or grammatical errors.	Presentation has 1-2 misspellings, but no grammatical errors.	Presentation has 1-2 grammatical errors but no misspellings.	Presentation has more than 2 grammatical and/or spelling errors.
Cooperation (2%)	Group shares tasks and all performed responsibly all of the time.	Group shares tasks and performed responsibly most of the time.	Group shares tasks and performs responsibly some of the time.	Group often is not effective in sharing tasks and/or sharing responsibility.
Delivery (2.5%)	Members spoke at a good rate, volume and with good grammar. They maintained eye-contact while using, but not reading their notes.	Members spoke a little faster or slower than necessary, or too quietly or loudly. They used acceptable grammar. They maintained eye-contact, but relied too much on their notes.	Members spoke at a good rate and volume, but used poor grammar. They relied heavily on their notes.	Members demonstrated having paid little attention to rate, volume or grammar. They read nearly word for word from notes.

Adapted from: http://research.education.purdue.edu/challenge/PBL/2002_2003/ENL_Progress/PPPrubric.htm