Date: _____ Writer: ____

WRITING_SCORING RUBRIC FOR ESSAYS

2008/09

STUDENT WRITING ABILITY PROFILE (ESSAY)

Title:					
Rating Scale: 0-1=very weak/weak, 2-3=average/good, 4-5=very good/excellent					
ST	0-1,9	The essay has no clear sense of purpose or central theme. To extract meaning from the text, inferences must be made based on sketchy details. This essay reflects more than one of these problems:			
CONTENT RELEVANCE & INTEREST	☑ Ir ☑ Ti ☑ E	he student has not even begun to define the topic in a meaningful and personal way. Information provided is very limited or unclear. The essay tends to be repetitious. The essay reads like a series of disconnected, random thoughts. The essay reads like a series of disconnected, random thoughts. The essay reads like a series of disconnected, random thoughts.			
	2-3,9	The student is beginning to define the topic, even though development is still basic or general. This essay shows more than one of the following characteristics:			
	"f ☑ TI go ☑ Id ei ☑ Si	is not difficult to see where the student is headed, though more information is needed to fill in the blanks." the student seems to be drawing on knowledge or experience, but has difficulty going from the eneral observations to specific information or detail. The deas in the text are fairly clear, though perhaps not detailed, personalized, or expanded though to show in-depth understanding or a strong sense of purpose. The deap in the text are fairly clear, though perhaps not detailed, personalized, or expanded though to show in-depth understanding or a strong sense of purpose. The deap in the text are fairly clear, though perhaps not detailed, personalized, or expanded though to show in-depth understanding or a strong sense of purpose.			
	<u>⊿</u> D 4-5	etails often blend the original with the predictable. This paper is clear and focused. It holds the reader's attention. Relevant anecdotes and details enrich the central theme. The essay shows more than one of the following features:			
15%	 Ideas are fresh and original. The student seems to write from knowledge or experience and shows insight and a knack for picking out what is significant. Relevant, telling, quality details provide important information that goes beyond the obvious or predictable. The topic is developed in an enlightening, purposeful way that makes a point. 				
ORGANIZATION OF IDEAS	0-1,9	The essay lacks a clear sense of direction. Ideas, details or events seem strung together in a loose or random fashion —or else there is no identifiable internal structure. The writing reflects more than one of these problems:			
	⊻l TI ⊻l Pa aı ☑l Ci	equencing needs work. here is no real lead to set up what follows, no real conclusion to wrap everything up. acing feels awkward; the student slows to a crawl when the reader wants to get on with it, nd vice versa. onnections between ideas are confusing or missing.			
	⊴ Pi 2-3,9	The organization make it difficult to really get a grip on the main point(s). The organizational structure is strong enough to move the reader through the text without undue confusion. The essay shows more than one of the following characteristics:			
	st ∡1 S	he essay has a recognizable introduction and conclusion. The introduction may not create a crong sense of anticipation; the conclusion may not tie up all loose ends. equencing is usually logical, but may sometimes be so predictable that the structure takes ttention away from the content.			
	☑ Pa sp ☑ Ti	acing is fairly well controlled, though the writer sometimes spurts ahead too quickly or bends too much time on details that are not really necessary or important. ransitions often work well; at other times, connections between ideas are fuzzy. the organization sometimes supports the main point(s); at other times, it may seem			
		The organization or move things around. The organization enhances and showcases the central idea. The order, structure or presentation of information is compelling and moves to read through. The text shows more than one of the following characteristics:			
20%	☑ TI a	etails fit where they are placed; sequencing is in general logical and effective. he introduction is attractive and invites to read on; the conclusion is satisfying and provides sense of resolution.			
	pi ☑ TI	acing is well controlled; the student knows when to slow down and elaborate, and when to ick up the pace and move on. houghtful transitions clearly show how ideas connect. rganization flows smoothly and unobtrusively.			

WRITING_SCORING RUBRIC FOR ESSAYS

2008/09

	0-1,9	It is really hard to give this essay a fair interpretive reading. The writing reflects
FLUENCY		more than one of the following problems:
		entences are choppy, incomplete, rambling or awkward; they need work.
		hrasing does not sound natural, the way someone might speak. It is sometimes necessary pause or read over to get the meaning.
		any sentences begin the same way —and may follow the same patterns (e.g., subject-verb-
	ol	bject) in a monotonous manner.
		ndless connectives (and, and so, but then, because, and then, etc.) create such a massive
		Imble of language that it is hard or impossible to identify sentence beginnings and endings.
	2-3,9	The essay progresses with a more or less steady rhythm, but it is in general more mechanical than fluid. It shows more than one of the following problems:
	∡l Se	entences are not artfully crafted or musical, but they are grammatical, hang together, and
근	ge	et the job done.
-		here is at least some variation in sentence length and structure. Sentence beginnings are
		OT all alike. is sometimes necessary to look for clues (e.g., connecting words and phrases like <i>however</i> ,
		nerefore, after a while, on the other hand, for example, next, first of all, later, although,
	et	tc.) that show how sentences interrelate.
		arts of the text invite expressive oral reading; others may be stiff, awkward, choppy or
	4- 5	angly. Overall though, it is pretty easy to read the paper aloud with a little practice. The writing has an easy flow and rhythm when read aloud. Sentences are well
	4-5	built, with strong and varied structure.
	✓ S	entences construction helps make meaning clear.
	∡ Pu	urposeful sentence beginnings show how each sentence relates to and builds upon the one
20%		efore it.
20 /0		he essay has cadence, as if the student had thought about the sound of the words as well as the meaning.
		entences vary in length as well as structure.
	0-1,9	The student struggles with a limited vocabulary, searching for words to convey
		meaning. The essay reflects more than one of these problems:
		anguage is so vague that only the most general message comes through.
CE		ersistent redundancy distracts the reader. he student uses jargon or clichés as a crutch.
ĬC		ords are used incorrectly, sometimes making the message hard to interpret.
Ĭ		roblems with language make it hard to find out what the student is trying to say.
0	2-3,9	The language is functional, even if it lacks punch; it is easy to figure out the
VOCABULARY CHOICE		student's meaning on a general level. The essay shows more than one of these characteristics:
Z	☑ W	/ords are almost always correct and adequate; they simply lack flair.
30		amiliar words and phrases communicate, but rarely capture the reader's imagination. Still,
A		ne essay may have one or two fine moments.
00		ttempts at colorful language come close to the mark, but sometimes seem unnatural or lappropriate.
>		nergetic verbs or picturesque phrases liven things up now and then; more of that would be
		esirable.
	4-5	Words convey the intended message in a precise, interesting and natural way.
	d 141	The essay shows more than one of the following features:
		ords are specific and accurate; it is easy to understand exactly what the writer means. he language is natural and never overdone; phrasing is highly individual.
150/		vely verbs energize the writing. Precise nouns and modifiers create pictures in the reader's
15%	m	nind.
		triking words and phrases often catch the reader's eye —and linger in the reader's mind.
	<u>√</u> Cl	lichés and jargon are used sparingly, only for effect. Grammar errors are so frequent that comprehension of the text can only be
X	0 1/5	achieved through interpretation or so frequent and severe that comprehension
T/		
		is virtually impossible. The writing reflects more than one of these problems:
LN X		ord order is frequently wrong or inadequate.
SYN1	∡l G	ord order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of
ND SYNT AR)	⊻l G pi	ord order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple
AND SYNT	⊻I G pi se si	ford order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and ubordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty.
SY AND SYNT	되 G pi se su 되 W	ford order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and abordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty. Ford classes (verbs, nouns, adjectives, adverbs) are wrongly used on a too frequent basis
OGY AND SYNT RAMMAR)	⊻I G pr se su ∡I W ar	Yord order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and abordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty. Yord classes (verbs, nouns, adjectives, adverbs) are wrongly used on a too frequent basis and, in general, the student shows lack or insufficient knowledge of word formation
OLOGY AND SYNT (GRAMMAR)	SI G SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI	ford order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and abordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty. Ford classes (verbs, nouns, adjectives, adverbs) are wrongly used on a too frequent basis
HOLOGY AND SYNT (GRAMMAR)	SI G SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI	ford order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and abordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty. Ford classes (verbs, nouns, adjectives, adverbs) are wrongly used on a too frequent basis and, in general, the student shows lack or insufficient knowledge of word formation conventions (morphology) and the relationship between word class and sentence function syntax). Grammar errors are frequent and sometimes interpretation or re-reading of
RPHOLOGY AND SYNT (GRAMMAR)	SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE SE S	ford order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and abordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty. Ford classes (verbs, nouns, adjectives, adverbs) are wrongly used on a too frequent basis and, in general, the student shows lack or insufficient knowledge of word formation conventions (morphology) and the relationship between word class and sentence function syntax). Grammar errors are frequent and sometimes interpretation or re-reading of parts of the essay is necessary in order to understand it. The writing reflects
IORPHOLOGY AND SYNT (GRAMMAR)	⊻ G pr se st ⊻ W ar cc (s	ford order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and abordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty. Ford classes (verbs, nouns, adjectives, adverbs) are wrongly used on a too frequent basis and, in general, the student shows lack or insufficient knowledge of word formation conventions (morphology) and the relationship between word class and sentence function syntax). Grammar errors are frequent and sometimes interpretation or re-reading of parts of the essay is necessary in order to understand it. The writing reflects more than one of the following characteristics:
MORPHOLOGY AND SYNTAX (GRAMMAR)	☑ G pri se su ☑ W ar cc (s	ford order is frequently wrong or inadequate. rammatical structures and syntax are either too basic, corresponding to a lower level of roficiency (e.g., incorrect use of the article, wrong use of prepositions, overuse of simple entences, scarce or inappropriate use of compound or complex setences, coordinated and abordinated sentences, etc.) or faulty. Ford classes (verbs, nouns, adjectives, adverbs) are wrongly used on a too frequent basis and, in general, the student shows lack or insufficient knowledge of word formation conventions (morphology) and the relationship between word class and sentence function syntax). Grammar errors are frequent and sometimes interpretation or re-reading of parts of the essay is necessary in order to understand it. The writing reflects

WRITING_SCORING RUBRIC FOR ESSAYS

2008/09

		A more ambitious use of grammatical structures and syntax would be desirable, would give
		the text a greater appeal and would also make it easier to read (i.e., would call for less reader re-reading).
		Word classes (nouns, adverbs, prepositions) are only sometimes wrongly or inadequately
		used; other times, the student shows or suggests some knowledge of word formation
		conventions and of the relationship between word class and sentence function.
	4-5	There are few (if any) grammar errors in this essay, which are usually
		unnoticeable and do not at all interfere with comprehension. The essays shows
	<u> </u>	more than one of the following features: Word order is always or almost always correct and adequate. The student shows awareness
		of the fact that word order choice can affect comprehension and so uses the word order to
		both convey exactly the meaning he/she want to convey and to make the reading task easier
4.50/		to the reader.
15%		Word classes (verbs, articles, nouns) are used correctly and adequately. The student shows an awareness of word families and how words can be formed from other word forms and uses
		different words from the same family for variety and stylistic purposes.
		Excellent use of grammar and syntax in general. Not only there are few (if any) errors in this
		sense, but also the student uses different types of sentences (simple, complex, compund;
		coordinate, subordinate) with awareness of their stylistic impact.
	0-1,9	Errors in spelling, punctuation, capitalization, and/or paragraphing repeatedly
		distract the reader and make the text difficult to read. The writing reflects more
	<u> </u>	than one of these problems: Paragraphing is missing, irregular, or so frequent (e.g., every sentence) that it has no
		relationship to the organizational structure of the text.
70	✓	Punctuation (including terminal punctuation) is often missing or incorrect.
ŭ		Spelling errors are frequent, even on common words.
MECHANICS		The reader must read once to decode, then again for meaning.
4	2-3,9	The student shows reasonable control over a limited range of standard writing conventions. Conventions are sometimes handled well and enhance readability;
Ċ		at other times, errors are distracting and impair readability.
4	√ 1	Paragraphing is attempted. Paragraphs sometimes run together or begin in the wrong places.
_		Terminal (end-of sentence) punctuation is usually correct; internal punctuation (commas,
		apostrophes, semicolons, dashes, colons, parentheses) is sometimes missing or wrong.
	<u>⊿</u> 4-5	Spelling is usually correct or reasonably phonetic on common words.
	4-5	The student demonstrates a good grasp of standard writing conventions and uses them effectively to enhance readability. Errors are few, minor, and almost
		unnoticeable. The essays shows more than one of the following features:
	4	Paragraphing is sound and tends to reinforce the organizational structure.
15%	≰	Punctuation is accurate and guides the reader through the text.
15 /0		Spelling is generally correct, even on difficult words.
		The essay is sufficiently long and complex to allow the student to show skill in using a wide range of conventions.
		range or conventions.