

POINTWISE CONVERGENCE OF POISSON  
INTEGRALS  
ASSOCIATED WITH THE HERMITE OPERATOR

Gustavo Garrigós

Universidad de Murcia (Spain)

(joint work with G. Flores and B. Viviani)

# CLASSICAL FATOU THEOREMS IN $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$

The Dirichlet problem  $\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \Delta_x u = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \end{cases}$

has formal solution

$$u(t, x) = P_t * f(x) \quad \text{with} \quad P_t(y) = \frac{c_n t}{(t^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

Q: When does it hold

$$P_t * f(x_0) \longrightarrow f(x_0), \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0$$

- 1 at a.e.  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$
- 2 at every Lebesgue point  $x_0$  of  $f \in L^1(dy/(1+|y|)^{n+1})$ , ie

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |f(y) - f(x_0)| dy = 0 \quad (x_0 \in \mathcal{L}_f)$$

- 3 when

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f(y) dy = f(x_0) \quad (x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_f)$$

[Fatou, 1906] when  $n = 1$  and  $\mathbb{T}$

## Some remarks:

- $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}_f$  actually implies non-tang conv

$$\lim_{|x-x_0| < \alpha t \searrow 0} P_t * f(x) = f(x_0), \quad \forall \alpha > 0$$

- $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_f$  only implies *vertical* convergence (in general)

$$\lim_{t \searrow 0} P_t * f(x_0) = f(x_0) \tag{v}$$

- In fact, when  $f \geq 0$  it holds  $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_f \iff (v)$

[Loomis'43], [Rudin'78], [Ramey-Ullrich'88], ...

- the classical proof of " $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_f \Rightarrow (v)$ " uses

- ①  $P_t(x - y)$  is a **convolution** kernel
- ②  $u \mapsto P_t(u)$  is **radially decreasing**
- ③  $\int P_t = 1$

- ... so, it does not easily generalize to other settings...
- Example [Rudin'80]: " $\mathcal{S}_f \not\Rightarrow (v)$ " for  $\mathbf{P}_t(z, w)$  in  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{C}^n}$

# PROOF SKETCH FOR “ $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_f \Rightarrow (\mathbf{v})$ ”

More generally, for  $\mu$  complex measure we have

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(x_0))}{|B_r|} = \ell \quad \implies \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} P_t * \mu(x_0) = \ell$$

- Assume  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $\mu = \text{real}$ ,  $\text{Supp } \mu \subset B_\delta$
- ... if  $\mu_1 := \mu|_{B_\delta^c}$  then  $P_t * |\mu_1|(0) = O(t)$
- let  $\varrho(r) := \mu(\overline{B}_r)$ , and  $m_\varrho((a, b]) = \varrho(b) - \varrho(a)$
- using “polar coordinates”

$$\begin{aligned} P_t * \mu(0) &= \int_{B_\delta} P_t d\mu = \int_{[0, \delta]} P_t(r) dm_\varrho(r) \\ \text{(parts)} &= P_t(\delta)\varrho(\delta) + \int_0^\delta \varrho(r) \left| \frac{dP_t}{dr} \right| dr \\ \text{(*)} &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \ell \left[ P_t(\delta) |B_\delta| + \int_0^\delta |B_r| \left| \frac{dP_t}{dr} \right| dr \right] \\ \text{(undo)} &= (1 + \varepsilon) \ell (P_t * \mathbb{1}_{B_\delta})(0) \longrightarrow (1 + \varepsilon) \ell \end{aligned}$$

- Thus,  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t * \mu(0) \leq \ell$ , and by symmetry  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t * \mu(0) \geq \ell$

# THE POISSON-HERMITE SETTING

- $\mathbf{L} = -\Delta + |x|^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- $\mathbb{P}_t = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathbf{L}}} = \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t^2}{4\tau}} e^{-\tau\mathbf{L}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{3/2}}$
- $u(t, x) = \mathbb{P}_t f(x)$  solves the PDE  $u_{tt} = \mathbf{L}u$ ,  $u(0) = f$
- $e^{-\tau\mathbf{L}}(x, y) =$  Mehler formula  $\rightarrow$  explicit!!
- so  $\mathbb{P}_t(x, y)$  is partly explicit

$$\mathbb{P}_t(x, y) = c_n t \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{t^2}{\ell(s)}} \frac{(1-s^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{s^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4s} - \frac{s}{4}|x+y|^2} \frac{ds}{\ell(s)^{3/2}}$$

with  $\ell(s) = 2 \log \frac{1+s}{1-s}$



- if  $x \neq 0 \rightarrow$  not decreasing in  $|y| \dots$
- **Q:** find (minimal) conditions on  $f$  st  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_t f(x_0) = f(x_0)$
- so far only results when  $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}_f \dots$  Muck'69, Stempak-Torrea'03, Pineda-Urbina'08,...

# RESULTS

## THEOREM 1:

If  $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $f \in L^1_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f = f(x_0) \implies \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{P}_t f(x_0) = f(x_0) \quad (*)$$

If  $n \geq 4$  (and  $x_0 \neq 0$ ) then  $\exists f$  st  $(*)$  fails

The example is given by

$$f(y) = \frac{\text{sign}((x_0 - y) \cdot x_0)}{|x_0 - y|^\gamma} \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(|x_0 - y|)$$

which has  $\int_{B_r(x_0)} f = 0$ , and one can show that

$$\mathbb{P}_t f(x_0) \gtrsim t^{3-\gamma} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{if } \gamma \in (3, n)$$

## THEOREM 2:

If  $n \in \{4, 5, 6\}$  and  $f \in L_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then (\*) holds if  $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_f$  and additionally

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} r^3 \int_{B_r} |f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)| dh = 0, \quad (1)$$

and when  $n \geq 7$  if it also holds

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} r^6 \int_{B_r} |f(x_0 + h) + f(x_0 - h) - 2f(x_0)| dh = 0. \quad (2)$$

### Remarks:

- (1) is void if  $f$  is even at  $x_0$
- (2) is void if  $f$  is odd at  $x_0$
- by example, theorem fails if (1) is removed
- so far, do not know if theorem fails if (2) is removed...

# OPTIMAL GROWTH OF $f$ WHEN $|y| \rightarrow \infty$

**Q:** Can replace  $f \in L^1_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by a less restrictive condition?

This was considered in an earlier paper [GHSTV, TAMS'16]

- the Poisson kernel satisfies

$$c_1(t, x) \Phi(y) \leq \mathbb{P}_t(x, y) \leq c_2(t, x) \Phi(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with

$$\Phi(y) = \frac{e^{-|y|^2/2}}{(1 + |y|)^{\frac{n}{2}} [\log(e + |y|)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

▶  $\mathbb{P}_t(x, y)$

- so  $\mathbb{P}_t|f|(x) < \infty$  is well-defined  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \iff f \in L^1(\Phi)$
- Using estimates from [GHSTV'16], the non-local part  $f_1 = f \cdot \mathbb{1}_{B_\delta(x_0)^c}$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}_t|f_1|(x_0) \leq c_\delta t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \Phi = O(t)$$

- so below we restrict to the local part  $f \cdot \mathbb{1}_{B_\delta(x_0)}$ , for small  $\delta > 0$



# SKETCH OF THE PROOF

Let  $y = x_0 + h$ . Search for a decomposition

$$\mathbb{P}_t(x, y) = \mathbb{P}_t(x_0, x_0 + h) = K_t(|h|) + \mathbb{R}_t(h)$$

st  $K_t$  is radial decreasing and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{R}_t f(x_0) = 0$

- Our attempt is based on

►  $\mathbb{P}_t(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{s}{4}|x_0+y|^2} &= e^{-s|x_0|^2} e^{-\frac{s}{4}|h|^2} e^{-s x_0 \cdot h} \\ &= e^{-s|x_0|^2} e^{-\frac{s}{4}|h|^2} [\operatorname{ch}(s x_0 \cdot h) - \operatorname{sh}(s x_0 \cdot h)] \\ &= e^{-s|x_0|^2} e^{-\frac{s}{4}|h|^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}^{\text{ev}}(s^2 |x_0 \cdot h|^2) + \mathcal{O}^{\text{odd}}(s x_0 \cdot h)] \end{aligned}$$

- This gives

$$\mathbb{P}_t(x_0, x_0 + h) = K_t(|h|) + \mathbb{R}_t^2(h) + \mathbb{R}_t^1(h)$$

## Some reductions on $f$

- Assume  $\text{Supp } f \subset B_\delta(x_0)$
- May also assume  $f(x_0) = 0$  since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_t f(x_0) - f(x_0) &= \mathbb{P}_t \left( f - f(x_0) \right)(x_0) + f(x_0) \left( \mathbb{P}_t(\mathbb{1}_{B_\delta(x_0)})(x_0) - 1 \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_t \tilde{f}(x_0) + \mathcal{O}(1)\end{aligned}$$

- Use the decomposition

$$f(x_0 + h) = f_{\text{odd}}(h) + f_{\text{ev}}(h) = \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)}{2} + \frac{f(x_0 + h) + f(x_0 - h)}{2}$$

- Then must show

- ①  $\mathbb{R}_t^1 f_{\text{odd}}(x_0) \rightarrow 0$
- ②  $\mathbb{R}_t^2 f_{\text{ev}}(x_0) \rightarrow 0$
- ③  $K_t * f_{\text{ev}}(0) \rightarrow 0$

# THE ODD PART

## Recall

$$\mathbb{P}_t(x_0, x_0 + h) = c_n t \int_0^{1/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\ell(s)}} \frac{(1-s^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{s^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|h|^2}{4s}} e^{-\frac{s}{4}|2x_0+h|^2} \frac{ds}{\ell(s)^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(t)$$

- Using  $\ell(s) \approx s$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{R}_t^1(h)| &\lesssim t \int_0^{1/2} \frac{e^{-\frac{ct^2+|h|^2}{4s}} s |x_0 \cdot h|}{s^{(n+1)/2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\approx \frac{t |x_0 \cdot h|}{(t + |h|)^{n-1}} \lesssim \frac{t}{(t + |h|)^{n-2}} \quad (\text{or } \log(t + |h|), \text{ if } n = 1) \end{aligned}$$

- Thus,

$$|\mathbb{R}_t^1 f_{\text{odd}}(x_0)| \lesssim \int_{|h| < \delta} \frac{t |f_{\text{odd}}(h)|}{(t + |h|)^{n-2}} dh \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } n \leq 3 \text{ by DCT}$$

- If  $n \geq 4$  we must use instead

$$r^3 \int_{B_r} |f_{\text{odd}}(h)| dh \leq \varepsilon, \quad r \in (0, \delta)$$

- Bounds for  $|\mathbb{R}_t^2(h)|$  are similar (actually better)...

# THE RADIAL PART

Now

$$K_t(|h|) = c_n t \int_0^{1/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\ell(s)}} \frac{(1-s^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{s^{n/2}} e^{-s|x_0|^2} e^{-(s+\frac{1}{s})\frac{|h|^2}{4}} \frac{ds}{\ell(s)^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(t)$$

- arguing as before can prove  $K_t(|h|) \lesssim \frac{t}{(t+|h|)^{n+1}}$
- mimicking the classical Fatou proof, if  $\varrho(r) := \int_{|h|<r} f_{\text{ev}} = \int_{B_r(x_0)} f$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|h|<\delta} K_t(|h|) f_{\text{ev}}(h) dh &= \int_0^\delta K_t(r) dm_\varrho(r) \\ &\stackrel{\text{(parts)}}{=} K_t(\delta)\varrho(\delta) + \int_0^\delta \varrho(r) |K_t'(r)| dr \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \varepsilon \left[ K_t(\delta) |B_\delta| + \int_0^\delta |B_r| |K_t'(r)| dr \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{(undo)}}{=} \varepsilon \int_{|h|<\delta} K_t(|h|) dh \lesssim \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Thus  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} K_t * f_{\text{ev}}(0) \leq 0$ , and by symmetry  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} K_t * f_{\text{ev}}(0) = 0$

## FURTHER COMMENTS

- Proof can be adapted to  $\mathbb{P}_t\mu$ , with  $\mu$  complex measure
- If  $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $f \geq 0$ , it seems likely that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_t f(x_0) = \ell \quad \implies \quad \lim_{r \searrow 0} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f = \ell$$

... but so far we do not have a proof...

- Proof strategy may be exportable to other settings...

**THANKS/GRAZIE**