

Compactness in L^1 of a vector measure

José Rodríguez

Universidad de Murcia

Integration, Vector Measures and Related Topics VI

Bedlewo, June 18, 2014

Partially supported by MINECO and FEDER, project MTM2011-25377

J.M. CALABUIG, J.R., E.A. SÁNCHEZ-PÉREZ,
On completely continuous integration operators of a vector measure,
J. Convex. Anal. 21 (2014), no. 3.

J.M. CALABUIG, S. LAJARA, J.R., E.A. SÁNCHEZ-PÉREZ,
Compactness in L^1 of a vector measure, in preparation.

- 1 L^1 spaces of vector measures
- 2 Equi-integrability and compactness
- 3 Completely continuous integration operators

- 1 L^1 spaces of vector measures
- 2 Equi-integrability and compactness
- 3 Completely continuous integration operators

L^1 of a vector measure

X Banach space, (Ω, Σ) measurable space, $\nu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ σ -additive measure

L^1 of a vector measure

X Banach space, (Ω, Σ) measurable space, $\nu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ σ -additive measure

Definition

A measurable function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **ν -integrable** if:

- f is x^* - ν -integrable $\forall x^* \in X^*$,
- for every $A \in \Sigma$ there is $\int_A f d\nu \in X$ such that

$$x^* \left(\int_A f d\nu \right) = \int_A f d(x^* \nu) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

L^1 of a vector measure

X Banach space, (Ω, Σ) measurable space, $\nu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ σ -additive measure

Definition

A measurable function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **ν -integrable** if:

- f is x^* - ν -integrable $\forall x^* \in X^*$,
- for every $A \in \Sigma$ there is $\int_A f d\nu \in X$ such that

$$x^* \left(\int_A f d\nu \right) = \int_A f d(x^* \nu) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\nu)} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |f| d|x^* \nu|$$

L^1 of a vector measure

X Banach space, (Ω, Σ) measurable space, $\nu: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ σ -additive measure

Definition

A measurable function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **ν -integrable** if:

- f is x^* - ν -integrable $\forall x^* \in X^*$,
- for every $A \in \Sigma$ there is $\int_A f d\nu \in X$ such that

$$x^* \left(\int_A f d\nu \right) = \int_A f d(x^* \nu) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\nu)} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |f| d|x^* \nu|$$

The space $L^1(\nu)$ of all (equivalence classes of) ν -integrable functions is a **Banach lattice** with the $\|\nu\|$ -a.e. order and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\nu)}$.

L^1 of a vector measure

X Banach space, (Ω, Σ) measurable space, $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ σ -additive measure

Definition

A measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **ν -integrable** if:

- f is x^* - ν -integrable $\forall x^* \in X^*$,
- for every $A \in \Sigma$ there is $\int_A f d\nu \in X$ such that

$$x^* \left(\int_A f d\nu \right) = \int_A f d(x^* \nu) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\nu)} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |f| d|x^* \nu|$$

The space $L^1(\nu)$ of all (equivalence classes of) ν -integrable functions is a **Banach lattice** with the $\|\nu\|$ -a.e. order and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\nu)}$.

$L^1(\nu)$ spaces represent **all order continuous** Banach lattices having a **weak unit**.

L^1 of a vector measure

X Banach space, (Ω, Σ) measurable space, $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ σ -additive measure

Definition

A measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **ν -integrable** if:

- f is x^* - ν -integrable $\forall x^* \in X^*$,
- for every $A \in \Sigma$ there is $\int_A f d\nu \in X$ such that

$$x^* \left(\int_A f d\nu \right) = \int_A f d(x^* \nu) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\nu)} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |f| d|x^* \nu|$$

The space $L^1(\nu)$ of all (equivalence classes of) ν -integrable functions is a **Banach lattice** with the $\|\nu\|$ -a.e. order and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\nu)}$.

$L^1(\nu)$ spaces represent **all order continuous** Banach lattices having a **weak unit**.

Examples

$L^p(\mu)$ (μ probability, $1 \leq p < \infty$),

L^1 of a vector measure

X Banach space, (Ω, Σ) measurable space, $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ σ -additive measure

Definition

A measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **ν -integrable** if:

- f is x^* - ν -integrable $\forall x^* \in X^*$,
- for every $A \in \Sigma$ there is $\int_A f d\nu \in X$ such that

$$x^* \left(\int_A f d\nu \right) = \int_A f d(x^* \nu) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\nu)} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |f| d|x^* \nu|$$

The space $L^1(\nu)$ of all (equivalence classes of) ν -integrable functions is a **Banach lattice** with the $\|\nu\|$ -a.e. order and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\nu)}$.

$L^1(\nu)$ spaces represent **all order continuous** Banach lattices having a **weak unit**.

Examples

$L^p(\mu)$ (μ probability, $1 \leq p < \infty$), spaces with **unconditional** basis, etc.

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_{\Omega} fg \, d\nu \in X$

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_{\Omega} fg \, d\nu \in X$
- $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times = \{h \in L^1(\mu) : fh \in L^1(\mu) \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\}$ (Köthe dual)

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_{\Omega} fg \, d\nu \in X$
- $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times = \{h \in L^1(\mu) : fh \in L^1(\mu) \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\}$ (Köthe dual)

Basic norming set

For $g \in B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, define $\gamma_{g,x^*} \in B_{L^1(\nu)^*}$ by $\gamma_{g,x^*}(f) = \int_{\Omega} fg \, d(x^*\nu)$.

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_{\Omega} fg d\nu \in X$
- $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times = \{h \in L^1(\mu) : fh \in L^1(\mu) \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\}$ (Köthe dual)

Basic norming set

For $g \in B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, define $\gamma_{g,x^*} \in B_{L^1(\nu)^*}$ by $\gamma_{g,x^*}(f) = \int_{\Omega} fg d(x^*\nu)$.

The set Γ of such functionals is **norming**

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_{\Omega} fg \, d\nu \in X$
- $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times = \{h \in L^1(\mu) : fh \in L^1(\mu) \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\}$ (Köthe dual)

Basic norming set

For $g \in B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, define $\gamma_{g,x^*} \in B_{L^1(\nu)^*}$ by $\gamma_{g,x^*}(f) = \int_{\Omega} fg \, d(x^*\nu)$.

The set Γ of such functionals is **norming** and **w^* -thick**.

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_{\Omega} fg \, d\nu \in X$
- $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times = \{h \in L^1(\mu) : fh \in L^1(\nu) \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\}$ (Köthe dual)

Basic norming set

For $g \in B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, define $\gamma_{g,x^*} \in B_{L^1(\nu)^*}$ by $\gamma_{g,x^*}(f) = \int_{\Omega} fg \, d(x^*\nu)$.

The set Γ of such functionals is **norming** and **w^* -thick**.

For bounded nets...

$f_\alpha \rightarrow f$ in the $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -topology iff

$$\int_A f_\alpha \, d\nu \rightarrow \int_A f \, d\nu$$

weakly in X for every $A \in \Sigma$.

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_\Omega fg \, d\nu \in X$
- $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times = \{h \in L^1(\mu) : fh \in L^1(\nu) \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\}$ (Köthe dual)

Basic norming set

For $g \in B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, define $\gamma_{g,x^*} \in B_{L^1(\nu)^*}$ by $\gamma_{g,x^*}(f) = \int_\Omega fg \, d(x^*\nu)$.

The set Γ of such functionals is **norming** and **w^* -thick**.

For bounded nets...

$f_\alpha \rightarrow f$ in the $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -topology iff

$$\int_A f_\alpha \, d\nu \rightarrow \int_A f \, d\nu$$

weakly in X for every $A \in \Sigma$.

Remark

- 1 The identity $i : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$ is
 - injective,
 - $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -**weak** continuous.

The dual of $L^1(\nu)$

From now on μ denotes a **Rybakov control measure** of ν

Duality

- $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\nu) \Rightarrow fg \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\int_{\Omega} fg \, d\nu \in X$
- $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times = \{h \in L^1(\mu) : fh \in L^1(\nu) \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\}$ (Köthe dual)

Basic norming set

For $g \in B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, define $\gamma_{g,x^*} \in B_{L^1(\nu)^*}$ by $\gamma_{g,x^*}(f) = \int_{\Omega} fg \, d(x^*\nu)$.

The set Γ of such functionals is **norming** and **w^* -thick**.

For bounded nets...

$f_\alpha \rightarrow f$ in the $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -topology iff

$$\int_A f_\alpha \, d\nu \rightarrow \int_A f \, d\nu$$

weakly in X for every $A \in \Sigma$.

Remark

- 1 The identity $i : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$ is
 - injective,
 - $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -**weak** continuous.
- 2 $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ is **angelic**.

Weak convergence vs $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergence

$\nu(\Sigma) = \{\nu(A) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset X$ (the range of ν)

Weak convergence vs $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergence

$\nu(\Sigma) = \{\nu(A) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset X$ (the range of ν)

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) =$ weak topology on bounded sets in each of the following cases:

- 1 $L^1(\nu) \not\cong \ell^1$. (Curbera 1994, Okada 1993)

Weak convergence vs $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergence

$\nu(\Sigma) = \{\nu(A) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset X$ (the range of ν)

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) =$ weak topology on bounded sets in each of the following cases:

- 1 $L^1(\nu) \not\cong \ell^1$. (Curbera 1994, Okada 1993)
- 2 $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact and X is **Asplund**. (Graves-Ruess 1984)

Weak convergence vs $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergence

$\nu(\Sigma) = \{\nu(A) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset X$ (the range of ν)

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) =$ weak topology on bounded sets in each of the following cases:

- 1 $L^1(\nu) \not\cong \ell^1$. (Curbera 1994, Okada 1993)
- 2 $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact and X is **Asplund**. (Graves-Ruess 1984)

Theorem (Lewis 1973, Okada 1993)

If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then every $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergent **sequence** is weakly convergent.

Weak convergence vs $\sigma(L^1(v), \Gamma)$ -convergence

$v(\Sigma) = \{v(A) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset X$ (the range of v)

$\sigma(L^1(v), \Gamma) =$ weak topology on bounded sets in each of the following cases:

- 1 $L^1(v) \not\cong \ell^1$. (Curbera 1994, Okada 1993)
- 2 $v(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact and X is **Asplund**. (Graves-Ruess 1984)

Theorem (Lewis 1973, Okada 1993)

If $v(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then every $\sigma(L^1(v), \Gamma)$ -convergent **sequence** is weakly convergent.

Theorem (Manjabacas 1998) ▶ ▶

If $v(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then Γ is a **boundary**.

Weak convergence vs $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergence

$\nu(\Sigma) = \{\nu(A) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset X$ (the range of ν)

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) =$ weak topology on bounded sets in each of the following cases:

- 1 $L^1(\nu) \not\cong \ell^1$. (Curbera 1994, Okada 1993)
- 2 $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact and X is **Asplund**. (Graves-Ruess 1984)

Theorem (Lewis 1973, Okada 1993)

If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then every $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergent **sequence** is weakly convergent.

Theorem (Manjabacas 1998) ▶ ▶

If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then Γ is a **boundary**.

Example (Curbera 1994)

There exists a vector measure ν with relatively norm compact range such that $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \neq$ weak topology on bounded sets.

Weak convergence vs $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergence

$\nu(\Sigma) = \{\nu(A) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset X$ (the range of ν)

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) =$ weak topology on bounded sets in each of the following cases:

- 1 $L^1(\nu) \not\cong \ell^1$. (Curbera 1994, Okada 1993)
- 2 $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact and X is **Asplund**. (Graves-Ruess 1984)

Theorem (Lewis 1973, Okada 1993)

If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then every $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -convergent **sequence** is weakly convergent.

Theorem (Manjabacas 1998) ▶ ▶

If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then Γ is a **boundary**.

Example (Curbera 1994)

There exists a vector measure ν with relatively norm compact range such that $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \neq$ weak topology on bounded sets.

Example (Curbera 1995)

There exist a vector measure ν and a $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -null sequence in $L^1(\nu)$ which is **equivalent to the ℓ^1 -basis**.

- 1 L^1 spaces of vector measures
- 2 **Equi-integrability and compactness**
- 3 Completely continuous integration operators

Equi-integrability

Definition

A set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **equi-integrable** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mu(A) < \delta \implies \sup_{f \in C} \|f1_A\|_{L^1(\nu)} < \varepsilon$$

Equi-integrability

Definition

A set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **equi-integrable** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mu(A) < \delta \implies \sup_{f \in C} \|f1_A\|_{L^1(\nu)} < \varepsilon$$

The classical Dunford-Pettis theorem

In the L^1 space of a **scalar measure**:

relatively weakly compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

Equi-integrability

Definition

A set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **equi-integrable** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mu(A) < \delta \implies \sup_{f \in C} \|f1_A\|_{L^1(\nu)} < \varepsilon$$

The classical Dunford-Pettis theorem

In the L^1 space of a **scalar measure**:

relatively weakly compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

In the L^1 space of a **vector measure**:

- 1 Bounded and **equi-integrable** \implies relatively weakly compact.

Equi-integrability

Definition

A set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **equi-integrable** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mu(A) < \delta \implies \sup_{f \in C} \|f \mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^1(\nu)} < \varepsilon$$

The classical Dunford-Pettis theorem

In the L^1 space of a **scalar measure**:

relatively weakly compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

In the L^1 space of a **vector measure**:

① Bounded and **equi-integrable** \implies relatively weakly compact.

Folk fact

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

Equi-integrability

Definition

A set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **equi-integrable** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mu(A) < \delta \implies \sup_{f \in C} \|f \mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^1(\nu)} < \varepsilon$$

The classical Dunford-Pettis theorem

In the L^1 space of a **scalar measure**:

relatively weakly compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

In the L^1 space of a **vector measure**:

- 1 Bounded and **equi-integrable** \implies relatively weakly compact.

Folk fact

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

- 2 The converse implication fails in general.

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$

there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$

there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive
- separable with Schur property

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive
- separable with Schur property

Non SWCG spaces: c_0 , $C[0,1]$, ...

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive
- separable with Schur property

Non SWCG spaces: c_0 , $C[0,1]$, ...

More examples (L^1 of a vector measure)

- 1 $\exists Y \subset L^1[0,1]$ with uncond. basis which is not SWCG. (Mercourakis-Stamati 2006)

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive
- separable with Schur property

Non SWCG spaces: c_0 , $C[0,1]$, ...

More examples (L^1 of a vector measure)

- 1 $\exists Y \subset L^1[0,1]$ with uncond. basis which is not SWCG. (Mercourakis-Stamati 2006)
- 2 $\ell^2(\ell^1)$ does not embed into any SWCG space. (Kampoukos-Mercourakis 2013)

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive
- separable with Schur property

Non SWCG spaces: c_0 , $C[0,1]$, ...

More examples (L^1 of a vector measure)

- 1 $\exists Y \subset L^1[0,1]$ with uncond. basis which is not SWCG. (Mercourakis-Stamati 2006)
- 2 $\ell^2(\ell^1)$ does not embed into any SWCG space. (Kampoukos-Mercourakis 2013)
- 3 $\ell^2(L^1[0,1])$ ($= L^1(\nu)$ for an ℓ^2 -valued ν) does not embed into any SWCG space.

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive
- separable with Schur property

Non SWCG spaces: c_0 , $C[0,1]$, ...

Theorem (Schlüchtermann-Wheeler 1988)

SWCG



weakly sequentially complete (WSC)

More examples (L^1 of a vector measure)

- 1 $\exists Y \subset L^1[0,1]$ with uncond. basis which is not SWCG. (Mercourakis-Stamati 2006)
- 2 $\ell^2(\ell^1)$ does not embed into any SWCG space. (Kampoukos-Mercourakis 2013)
- 3 $\ell^2(L^1[0,1])$ ($= L^1(\nu)$ for an ℓ^2 -valued ν) does not embed into any SWCG space.

Strongly WCG spaces

A Banach space Y is called **strongly weakly compactly generated (SWCG)** if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset Y$ such that:

for every weakly compact set $L \subset Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$
there is $\rho > 0$ such that $L \subset \rho K + \varepsilon B_Y$

Examples of SWCG spaces

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- reflexive
- separable with Schur property

Non SWCG spaces: c_0 , $C[0,1]$, ...

Theorem (Schlüchtermann-Wheeler 1988)

SWCG



weakly sequentially complete (WSC)

More examples (L^1 of a vector measure)

- 1 $\exists Y \subset L^1[0,1]$ with uncond. basis which is not SWCG. (Mercourakis-Stamati 2006)
- 2 $\ell^2(\ell^1)$ does not embed into any SWCG space. (Kampoukos-Mercourakis 2013)
- 3 $\ell^2(L^1[0,1])$ ($= L^1(\nu)$ for an ℓ^2 -valued ν) does not embed into any SWCG space.

Theorem ($\xrightarrow{*}$: Curbera 1992)

$X \not\subset c_0 \xrightarrow{*} L^1(\nu) \not\subset c_0 \iff L^1(\nu) \text{ WSC}$

Positive Schur property

Folk fact (again)

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

Positive Schur property

Folk fact (again)

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

A Banach lattice is said to have the **positive Schur property (PSP)** if every weakly null sequence of **positive vectors** is norm null.

Positive Schur property

Folk fact (again)

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

A Banach lattice is said to have the **positive Schur property (PSP)** if every weakly null sequence of **positive vectors** is norm null.

Examples

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**

Positive Schur property

Folk fact (again)

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

A Banach lattice is said to have the **positive Schur property (PSP)** if every weakly null sequence of **positive vectors** is norm null.

Examples

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- $L^1(\nu)$ if X has the Schur property (**Curbera 1995**)

Positive Schur property

Folk fact (again)

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

A Banach lattice is said to have the **positive Schur property (PSP)** if every weakly null sequence of **positive vectors** is norm null.

Examples

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- $L^1(\nu)$ if X has the Schur property (**Curbera 1995**)

Another folk fact

$L^1(\nu)$ has PSP \iff all weakly compact subsets of $L^1(\nu)$ are equi-integrable.

Positive Schur property

Folk fact (again)

$C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is **bounded and equi-integrable** if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$C \subset \rho B_{L^\infty(\nu)} + \varepsilon B_{L^1(\nu)}$$

A Banach lattice is said to have the **positive Schur property (PSP)** if every weakly null sequence of **positive vectors** is norm null.

Examples

- L^1 of any **scalar measure**
- $L^1(\nu)$ if X has the Schur property (**Curbera 1995**)

Another folk fact

$L^1(\nu)$ has PSP \iff all weakly compact subsets of $L^1(\nu)$ are equi-integrable.

Consequence: If $L^1(\nu)$ has the PSP, then it is SWCG.

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompactness

Definition

A subset of a Banach space is called **weakly precompact** if every sequence in it admits a **weakly Cauchy** subsequence.

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompactness

Definition

A subset of a Banach space is called **weakly precompact** if every sequence in it admits a **weakly Cauchy** subsequence.

$X \not\cong \ell^1 \Leftrightarrow$ every bounded subset of X is weakly precompact. (Rosenthal 1974)

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompactness

Definition

A subset of a Banach space is called **weakly precompact** if every sequence in it admits a **weakly Cauchy** subsequence.

$X \not\cong \ell^1 \Leftrightarrow$ every bounded subset of X is weakly precompact. (Rosenthal 1974)

Theorem (Dieudonné 1951)

A bounded set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is weakly precompact if and only if

$hC = \{hf : f \in C\}$ is **equi-integrable** in $L^1(\mu)$ for every $h \in L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times$.

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompactness

Definition

A subset of a Banach space is called **weakly precompact** if every sequence in it admits a **weakly Cauchy** subsequence.

$X \not\cong \ell^1 \Leftrightarrow$ every bounded subset of X is weakly precompact. (Rosenthal 1974)

Theorem (Dieudonné 1951)

A bounded set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is weakly precompact if and only if

$hC = \{hf : f \in C\}$ is **equi-integrable** in $L^1(\mu)$ for every $h \in L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times$.

THEOREM I (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE for a bounded set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$:

- 1 C is $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompact.

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompactness

Definition

A subset of a Banach space is called **weakly precompact** if every sequence in it admits a **weakly Cauchy** subsequence.

$X \not\cong \ell^1 \Leftrightarrow$ every bounded subset of X is weakly precompact. (Rosenthal 1974)

Theorem (Dieudonné 1951)

A bounded set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is weakly precompact if and only if

$hC = \{hf : f \in C\}$ is **equi-integrable** in $L^1(\mu)$ for every $h \in L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times$.

THEOREM I (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE for a bounded set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$:

- 1 C is $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompact.
- 2 The set $\frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\mu} C$ is **equi-integrable** in $L^1(\mu)$ for every $x^* \in X^*$.

$\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompactness

Definition

A subset of a Banach space is called **weakly precompact** if every sequence in it admits a **weakly Cauchy** subsequence.

$X \not\cong \ell^1 \Leftrightarrow$ every bounded subset of X is weakly precompact. (Rosenthal 1974)

Theorem (Dieudonné 1951)

A bounded set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$ is weakly precompact if and only if

$hC = \{hf : f \in C\}$ is **equi-integrable** in $L^1(\mu)$ for every $h \in L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times$.

THEOREM I (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE for a bounded set $C \subset L^1(\nu)$:

- 1 C is $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma)$ -precompact.
- 2 The set $\frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\mu} C$ is **equi-integrable** in $L^1(\mu)$ for every $x^* \in X^*$.
- 3 C is **relatively weakly compact** in $L^1(x^*\nu)$ for every $x^* \in X^*$.

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex,

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology,

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology, τ is angelic.

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology, τ is angelic.

THEOREM II (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

① τ -compact \implies equi-integrable

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology, τ is angelic.

THEOREM II (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

- 1 τ -compact \implies equi-integrable
- 2 $B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ is τ -compact $\iff \nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact.

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology, τ is angelic.

THEOREM II (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

- 1 τ -compact \implies equi-integrable
- 2 $B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ is τ -compact $\iff \nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact.
- 3 If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then for any subset of $L^1(\nu)$ we have:
relatively τ -compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology, τ is angelic.

THEOREM II (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

- 1 τ -compact \implies equi-integrable
- 2 $B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ is τ -compact $\iff \nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact.
- 3 If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then for any subset of $L^1(\nu)$ we have:
relatively τ -compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

Application of (2): an improvement of Manjabacas' theorem ►

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

► τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology, τ is angelic.

THEOREM II (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

- 1 τ -compact \implies equi-integrable
- 2 $B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ is τ -compact $\iff \nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact.
- 3 If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then for any subset of $L^1(\nu)$ we have:
relatively τ -compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

Application of (2): an improvement of Manjabacas' theorem ►

If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then:

- (1) Γ is w^* -compact and $\text{ext}(B_{L^1(\nu)^*}) \subset \Gamma$,

The topology of norm convergence of the integrals

Definition

The topology τ on $L^1(\nu)$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} f \iff \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha h d\nu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f h d\nu \quad \text{in norm} \quad \forall h \in L^\infty(\nu).$$

▶ τ is locally convex, $\sigma(L^1(\nu), \Gamma) \preceq \tau \preceq$ norm topology, τ is angelic.

THEOREM II (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

- 1 τ -compact \implies equi-integrable
- 2 $B_{L^\infty(\nu)}$ is τ -compact $\iff \nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact.
- 3 If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then for any subset of $L^1(\nu)$ we have:
relatively τ -compact \iff bounded and equi-integrable.

Application of (2): an improvement of Manjabacas' theorem ▶

If $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact, then:

- (1) Γ is w^* -compact and $\text{ext}(B_{L^1(\nu)^*}) \subset \Gamma$,
- (2) Γ is a boundary.

- 1 L^1 spaces of vector measures
- 2 Equi-integrability and compactness
- 3 **Completely continuous integration operators**

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_V : L^1(V) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_V(f) := \int_{\Omega} f \, dV$.

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_\nu : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_\nu(f) := \int_{\Omega} f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_{\nu} : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_{\nu}(f) := \int_{\Omega} f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.
- 2 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A} \implies L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_{\nu} : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_{\nu}(f) := \int_{\Omega} f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.
- 2 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A} \implies L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

Operator ideals satisfying (1)-(2)

- **compact operators**

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_\nu : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_\nu(f) := \int_{\Omega} f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.
- 2 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

Operator ideals satisfying (1)-(2)

- **compact** operators
- **p -summing** operators, $1 \leq p < \infty$

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_\nu : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_\nu(f) := \int_\Omega f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.
- 2 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

Operator ideals satisfying (1)-(2)

- **compact** operators
- **p -summing** operators, $1 \leq p < \infty$

Operator ideals failing (1)-(2)

- **weakly compact** operators

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_\nu : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_\nu(f) := \int_{\Omega} f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.
- 2 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

Operator ideals satisfying (1)-(2)

- **compact** operators
- **p -summing** operators, $1 \leq p < \infty$

Operator ideals failing (1)-(2)

- **weakly compact** operators
- **completely continuous** operators

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_{\nu} : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_{\nu}(f) := \int_{\Omega} f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.
- 2 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A} \implies L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

Operator ideals satisfying (1)-(2)

- **compact** operators
- **p -summing** operators, $1 \leq p < \infty$

Operator ideals failing (1)-(2)

- **weakly compact** operators
- **completely continuous** operators

Theorem (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

If X has an **unconditional basis** and $X \not\cong \ell^1$,
then the ideal of **completely continuous** operators satisfies (1)-(2).

Integration operator

The **integration operator** $I_\nu : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $I_\nu(f) := \int_{\Omega} f d\nu$.

“Operator Ideal Principle” (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

TFAE for an operator ideal \mathcal{A} :

- 1 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies \nu$ has **finite variation**.
- 2 (For **every** X -valued ν) $I_\nu \in \mathcal{A} \implies L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

Operator ideals satisfying (1)-(2)

- **compact** operators
- **p -summing** operators, $1 \leq p < \infty$

Operator ideals failing (1)-(2)

- **weakly compact** operators
- **completely continuous** operators

Theorem (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011)

If X has an **unconditional basis** and $X \not\cong \ell^1$,
then the ideal of **completely continuous** operators satisfies (1)-(2).

Open Problem

What about arbitrary Banach spaces not containing ℓ^1 ?

A partial answer

THEOREM III (Calabuig, R., Sánchez-Pérez 2014)

If X is **Asplund** and I_ν is **completely continuous**, then $L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

A partial answer

THEOREM III (Calabuig, R., Sánchez-Pérez 2014)

If X is **Asplund** and I_V is **completely continuous**, then $L^1(\nu) = L^1(|\nu|)$.

This solves affirmatively the problem of Okada et al. for **Banach lattices** X .

A partial answer

THEOREM III (Calabuig, R., Sánchez-Pérez 2014)

If X is **Asplund** and I_V is **completely continuous**, then $L^1(v) = L^1(|v|)$.

This solves affirmatively the problem of Okada et al. for **Banach lattices** X .

Ingredients of the proof

- 1 We follow the approach of (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011).

A partial answer

THEOREM III (Calabuig, R., Sánchez-Pérez 2014)

If X is **Asplund** and I_V is **completely continuous**, then $L^1(v) = L^1(|v|)$.

This solves affirmatively the problem of Okada et al. for **Banach lattices** X .

Ingredients of the proof

- 1 We follow the approach of (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011).
- 2 Simplification, using the Rådström embedding

$$\{\text{norm compact non-empty subsets of } X\} \xrightarrow{\Phi} C(B_{X^*}, w^*)$$

$$\Phi(K)(x^*) := \sup_{x \in K} x^*(x)$$

A partial answer

THEOREM III (Calabuig, R., Sánchez-Pérez 2014)

If X is **Asplund** and I_V is **completely continuous**, then $L^1(v) = L^1(|v|)$.

This solves affirmatively the problem of Okada et al. for **Banach lattices** X .

Ingredients of the proof

- 1 We follow the approach of (Okada, Ricker, Rodríguez-Piazza 2011).
- 2 Simplification, using the Rådström embedding

$$\{\text{norm compact non-empty subsets of } X\} \xrightarrow{\Phi} C(B_{X^*}, w^*)$$

$$\Phi(K)(x^*) := \sup_{x \in K} x^*(x)$$

- 3 Every Banach space with **separable dual** embeds into a Banach space with **shrinking basis**. (Zippin 1988)

Theorem (Curbera 1992)

I_ν completely continuous $\implies L^1(\nu)$ **WSC**

Theorem (Curbera 1992)

$$I_\nu \text{ completely continuous} \implies L^1(\nu) \text{ WSC}$$

THEOREM IV (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE:

- 1 I_ν is **completely continuous** if and only if

Theorem (Curbera 1992)

I_V completely continuous $\implies L^1(V)$ **WSC**

THEOREM IV (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE:

- 1 I_V is **completely continuous** if and only if $L^1(V)$ has the **PSP** and

Theorem (Curbera 1992)

$$I_\nu \text{ completely continuous} \implies L^1(\nu) \text{ WSC}$$

THEOREM IV (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE:

- 1 I_ν is **completely continuous** if and only if $L^1(\nu)$ has the **PSP** and $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm compact**.

Theorem (Curbera 1992)

$$I_{\nu} \text{ completely continuous} \implies L^1(\nu) \text{ WSC}$$

THEOREM IV (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE:

- 1 I_{ν} is **completely continuous** if and only if $L^1(\nu)$ has the **PSP** and $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact.
- 2 I_{ν} is **almost completely continuous** if and only if $L^1(\nu)$ has the **PSP**.

Theorem (Curbera 1992)

$$I_{\nu} \text{ completely continuous} \implies L^1(\nu) \text{ WSC}$$

THEOREM IV (Calabuig, Lajara, R., Sánchez-Pérez)

TFAE:

- 1 I_{ν} is **completely continuous** if and only if $L^1(\nu)$ has the **PSP** and $\nu(\Sigma)$ is relatively **norm** compact.
- 2 I_{ν} is **almost completely continuous** if and only if $L^1(\nu)$ has the **PSP**.

An operator from a Banach lattice to a Banach space is **almost completely continuous** if it maps weakly null **disjoint** sequences to norm null ones.

Many thanks for your attention!

Boundaries

Definition

$B \subset B_{X^*}$ is called a **boundary** if $\forall x \in X \exists x^* \in B$ such that $\|x\| = x^*(x)$.

Definition

$B \subset B_{X^*}$ is called a **boundary** if $\forall x \in X \exists x^* \in B$ such that $\|x\| = x^*(x)$.

- ▶ Typical example of boundary: $\text{ext}(B_{X^*})$ (set of all extreme points of B_{X^*})

Definition

$B \subset B_{X^*}$ is called a **boundary** if $\forall x \in X \exists x^* \in B$ such that $\|x\| = x^*(x)$.

▶ Typical example of boundary: $\text{ext}(B_{X^*})$ (set of all extreme points of B_{X^*})

Weak vs $\sigma(X, B)$ for a boundary B

Inside a norm bounded subset of X :



Definition

$B \subset B_{X^*}$ is called a **boundary** if $\forall x \in X \exists x^* \in B$ such that $\|x\| = x^*(x)$.

▶ Typical example of boundary: $\text{ext}(B_{X^*})$ (set of all extreme points of B_{X^*})

Weak vs $\sigma(X, B)$ for a boundary B

Inside a norm bounded subset of X :

- Pointwise convergent sequences are weakly convergent in $X = C(K)$.
(Grothendieck 1952)



Definition

$B \subset B_{X^*}$ is called a **boundary** if $\forall x \in X \exists x^* \in B$ such that $\|x\| = x^*(x)$.

▶ Typical example of boundary: $\text{ext}(B_{X^*})$ (set of all extreme points of B_{X^*})

Weak vs $\sigma(X, B)$ for a boundary B

Inside a norm bounded subset of X :

- Pointwise convergent sequences are weakly convergent in $X = C(K)$.
(Grothendieck 1952)
- $\sigma(X, B)$ -convergent sequences are weakly convergent for $B = \text{ext}(B_{X^*})$.
(Rainwater 1963)



Definition

$B \subset B_{X^*}$ is called a **boundary** if $\forall x \in X \exists x^* \in B$ such that $\|x\| = x^*(x)$.

▶ Typical example of boundary: $\text{ext}(B_{X^*})$ (set of all extreme points of B_{X^*})

Weak vs $\sigma(X, B)$ for a boundary B

Inside a norm bounded subset of X :

- Pointwise convergent sequences are weakly convergent in $X = C(K)$.
(Grothendieck 1952)
- $\sigma(X, B)$ -convergent sequences are weakly convergent for $B = \text{ext}(B_{X^*})$.
(Rainwater 1963)
- $\sigma(X, B)$ -convergent sequences are weakly convergent. (Simons 1972)



Definition

$B \subset B_{X^*}$ is called a **boundary** if $\forall x \in X \exists x^* \in B$ such that $\|x\| = x^*(x)$.

▶ Typical example of boundary: $\text{ext}(B_{X^*})$ (set of all extreme points of B_{X^*})

Weak vs $\sigma(X, B)$ for a boundary B

Inside a norm bounded subset of X :

- Pointwise convergent sequences are weakly convergent in $X = C(K)$.
(Grothendieck 1952)
- $\sigma(X, B)$ -convergent sequences are weakly convergent for $B = \text{ext}(B_{X^*})$.
(Rainwater 1963)
- $\sigma(X, B)$ -convergent sequences are weakly convergent. (Simons 1972)
- $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact sets are weakly compact. (Pfitzner 2010)