Brane worldvolume theory based on duality symmetry Yuho Sakatani

Kyoto Pref. Univ. of Medicine (KPUM) Institute for Basic Sciences (IBS)

based on a collaboration with Shozo Uehara (KPUM)

- Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 191601 [arXiv:1607.04265]
- + ongoing work.

GEOMETRY, DUALITY AND STRINGS March 10th, YITP

In type II string / *T^d*, there are various branes which are related by *U*-duality transformations.

E.g.; Type II string / T⁷



Also in M-theory / T^d, there are various branes which are related by U-duality transformations:



Worldvolume actions for these branes have very different forms:

$$S_{F1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_2} G_{ij} dX^i \wedge *dX^j + \int_{\Sigma_2} B_2 .$$

$$S_{Dp} = -\int_{\Sigma_{p+1}} d^{p+1} \sigma \ e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det(G + B_2 - F_2)} + \int_{\Sigma_{p+1}} e^{B_2 - F_2} \wedge C .$$

$$S_{M2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_3} \left(G_{ij} dX^i \wedge *_{\gamma} dX^j - *_{\gamma} 1 \right) + \int_{\Sigma_3} C_3 .$$

$$S_{KKM} = -\int_{\Sigma} d^7 \sigma k^2 \sqrt{-\det(G_{\mu\nu}D_{\alpha}X^{\mu}D_{\beta}X^{\nu}) + \cdots} + \cdots .$$

$$S_{M5} = \cdots PST \text{ action} \cdots .$$

$$S_{5\frac{2}{2}/5^3} = \cdots [\mathbf{Kimura-Sasaki-Yata \ actions}] \cdots .$$

In this talk, I will propose simple worldvolume actions:



in the **doubled/exceptional** space

I will first review the geometry of the extended space.

Plan

- <u>Review:</u> Double Field Theory (DFT)
- <u>Review:</u> Exceptional Field Theory (EFT)

+ report some results on DFT/EFT (not related to the main topic)

- <u>Review:</u> Double Sigma Model (based on doubled geometry)
- Our action for a *p*-brane (based on exceptional geometry)
- Comparison with the known worldvolume theories for M2-brane, M5-brane [PRL 117, 191601], KKM (partial result) [ongoing work F1/D1-string, D3-brane, ... with S. Uehara]

T-duality



People have noticed that in order to make the *T*-duality covariance manifest, it is efficient to introduce winding coordinates.

```
[Duff '90;
Tseytlin '91;
Kugo-Zwiebach '92;
Siegel '93;...]
```

Doubled space

We consider 2d dimensional doubled space, which has the generalized coordinates,

$$(x^I) = (x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$$

winding coordinates

There is a natural metric on the doubled space:

mass of a

$$(\mathcal{H}_{IJ}) = \begin{pmatrix} (G - B G^{-1} B)_{ij} & B_{ik} G^{kj} \\ -G^{ik} B_{kj} & G^{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$
generalized metric

string:
$$M^2 = \frac{2}{\alpha'} \left(z^I \mathcal{H}_{IJ} z^J + N + \tilde{N} - 2 \right)$$

 $(z^I) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} w^i \\ p_i \end{pmatrix}$ winding
momenta

Doubled space

There is another metric on the doubled space: $\mathbf{O}(d,d) \text{ metric} \quad (\eta_{IJ}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_i^j \\ \delta_i^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

signature

 $(\underbrace{+1,\cdots,+1}_{d},\underbrace{-1,\cdots,-1}_{d})$

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{Level-matching}}\\ \underline{\text{condition:}} \end{array} \quad N - \tilde{N} = \frac{1}{2} \, z^{I} \, \eta_{IJ} \, z^{J} \, . \end{array} \right)$

$$z^{I} \to \Lambda^{I}{}_{J} z^{J}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{IJ} \to (\Lambda^{-\mathrm{T}})_{I}{}^{K} (\Lambda^{-\mathrm{T}})_{J}{}^{L} \mathcal{H}_{KL},$$
$$\eta_{IJ} \to (\Lambda^{-\mathrm{T}})_{I}{}^{K} (\Lambda^{-\mathrm{T}})_{J}{}^{L} \eta_{KL} = \eta_{IJ},$$

keep the mass spectrum/level-matching cond. invariant.

Double Field Theory

[Siegel '93; **Gravitational theory on the doubled space** Hull, Zwiebach '09] **<u>Fundamental fields:</u>** $\neg \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{IJ}(x) & \text{generalized metric} \\ d(x) & \text{DFT dilaton} \end{bmatrix}$

[Hohm, Hull, Zwiebach '10; **2-derivative Lagrangian:** I. Jeon, K. Lee, J.-H. Park '11] $\mathcal{L}_{DFT} = e^{-2d} \mathcal{S}$, \checkmark generalization of the Einstein-Hilbert action $\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{H}^{IJ} \partial_I \partial_I d - \partial_I \partial_I \mathcal{H}^{IJ} - 4 \mathcal{H}^{IJ} \partial_I d \partial_I d + 4 \partial_I \mathcal{H}^{IJ} \partial_I d$ $+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{IJ}\partial_{I}\mathcal{H}^{KL}\partial_{J}\mathcal{H}_{KL}-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{IJ}\partial_{I}\mathcal{H}^{KL}\partial_{K}\mathcal{H}_{JL}.$ $\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\partial}^{i} = 0 \\ \text{equivalent} \end{array} \quad \left(\mathcal{H}_{IJ} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} (G - B G^{-1} B)_{ij} & B_{ik} G^{kj} \\ -G^{ik} B_{kj} & G^{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-2d} = e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{|G|}
\end{array}$ $\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{|G|} e^{-2\Phi} \left(R + 4 \left| \partial \Phi \right|^2 - \frac{1}{12} \left| H_3 \right|^2 \right) + \partial_i \left(4\sqrt{|G|} e^{-2\Phi} G^{ij} \partial_j \Phi \right).$

Strong constraint

In fact, $\tilde{\partial}^i = 0$ is always required (up to O(*d*,*d*) rotation) by the consistency of the theory.

Generalized diffeo. in the doubled space is generated by generalized Lie derivative: $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^I = V^K \partial_K W^I - W^K \partial_K V^I + W^K \partial^I V_K$. [Siegel '93; Hull, Zwiebach '09]

The strong constraint must be satisfied if we require 1. generalized diffeo. is the gauge symmetry of DFT 2. gauge algebra is closed

strong constraint $\eta^{IJ} \partial_I \partial_J (\text{anything}) = 0 \quad (\eta^{IJ}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_j^i \\ \delta_i^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

From this, the fields/gauge parameters can depend only on a half of the doubled coordinates.

Generalized Diffeomorphism

Let us recall the meaning of the generalized diffeo.

$$\delta_{V}\mathcal{H}_{IJ} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{V}\mathcal{H}_{IJ} = V^{K}\partial_{K}\mathcal{H}_{IJ} + (\partial_{I}V^{K} - \partial^{K}V_{I})\mathcal{H}_{KJ} + (\partial_{J}V^{K} - \partial^{K}V_{J})\mathcal{H}_{IK}.$$

$$= 0 \qquad (\mathcal{H}_{IJ}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (G - B G^{-1} B)_{ij} & B_{ik} G^{kj} \\ -G^{ik} B_{kj} & G^{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

 ∂^i

$$V^{I} = (\mathbf{v}^{i}, \, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i}) \qquad \begin{cases} \delta_{V} G_{ij} &= \pounds_{\mathbf{v}} G_{ij} \\ \delta_{V} B_{ij} &= \pounds_{\mathbf{v}} B_{ij} + (\partial_{i} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{j} - \partial_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i}) \,. \end{cases}$$

Generalized diffeo. = $\begin{bmatrix} conventional diffeo. \\ \tilde{\partial}^i = 0 \end{bmatrix}$ B-field gauge transf.

Short summary: DFT

$$(x^I) = (x^i, \, \tilde{x}_i)$$

Fund. fields:

$$(\mathcal{H}_{IJ}) = \begin{pmatrix} (G - B G^{-1} B)_{ij} & B_{ik} G^{kj} \\ -G^{ik} B_{kj} & G^{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-2d} = e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{|G|}.$$

strong constraint $\mathcal{L}_{\text{DFT}} \xrightarrow{\qquad} \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{|G|} e^{-2\Phi} \left(R + 4 |\partial \Phi|^2 - \frac{1}{12} |H_3|^2 \right).$ $\tilde{\partial}^i = 0$

2d dim. generalized diffeo. = $\begin{bmatrix} d \text{ dim. diffeo.} \\ gauge sym. of B_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Double Field Theory is Necessary

in order to describe

 Non-Riemannian background [J.-H. Park, K. Lee '13] obtained by performing *T*-dualities in the F-string background:

$$(\mathcal{H}_{IJ}) = \begin{pmatrix} (G - B G^{-1} B)_{ij} & B_{ik} G^{kj} \\ -G^{ik} B_{kj} & G^{ij} \end{pmatrix}_{O}$$

- \mathcal{H}_{IJ} is non-singular but (G_{ij}, B_{ij}) are singular.
- conventional supergravity doesn't work and DFT is necessary.
- Non-geometric backgrounds

[related to Minkyu and Kimura-san's talk]

Solutions of generalized supergravity

[Yoshida-san's talk]

Non-geometric backgrounds

5²/₂ (34567,89) [Lozano-Tellechea,

$$ds^{2} = H(r)(dr + r^{2}d\theta^{2}) + dx_{034567}^{2} + \frac{H(r)}{K(r,\theta)} dx_{89}^{2}, \quad \text{Ortin (2000)]}$$

$$B_{2} = -\frac{\sigma \theta}{K(r,\theta)} dx^{8} \wedge dx^{9}, \quad e^{2\Phi} = \frac{H(r)}{K(r,\theta)}, \quad K(r,\theta) \equiv H^{2}(r) + \sigma^{2} \theta^{2}.$$

metric and **B-field** on the 8-9 torus are not single-valued!

This background cannot be described globally in the SUGRA (non-geometric).

We can patch these tori with *T*-duality [de Boo

 $\theta = 0$

[de Boer, Shigemori '10; '12]

 $\implies 5_2^2 \text{ background is a <u>$ *T* $-fold!</u>}$

 5^{2}_{2}

 $\theta = 2\pi$

Non-geometric *T*-folds are well-described in DFT.

Solutions of generalized supergravity



There are various non-trivial backgrounds in string theory:

- Non-Riemannian background
- Non-geometric backgrounds
- Solutions of generalized SUGRA

These backgrounds cannot be described in the conventional SUGRA, but **these are well-described in DFT**.

U-duality covariant generalization

NS-NS sector of supergravity on T^d

$$(x^{I}) = (x^{i}, \tilde{x}_{i})$$

winding coordinates
$$P \longleftrightarrow F1$$

T-dual

M-theory/11D supergravity on T^d

$$(x^{I}) = (x^{i}, y_{i_{1}i_{2}}, y_{i_{1}\cdots i_{5}}, \cdots)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \text{[Duff, Lu '90; West '03]}$$

$$P \iff M2 \iff M5 \iff \dots$$

$$U-\text{dual} \qquad U-\text{dual} \qquad U-\text{dual}$$

We introduce winding coordinates for all branes which are related by *U*-duality transformations

Exceptional space

$(x^{I}) =$	$egin{array}{ccc} {f P} & {f M2} \ (x^i,y_{i_1i_2},y_{i_1i_2}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{M5}\\ y_{i_1\cdots i_5},\end{array}$	KKM $y_{i_1\cdots i_7,i_7}$	$, \cdots)$	
$\underline{d=4}$	$4 + {}_{4}C_{2}$	\approx	\approx	= 10	
$\underline{d=5}$	$5 + {}_5C_2 +$	$5\mathrm{C}_5$	\approx	= 16	
$\underline{d=6}$	$6 + {}_{6}C_{2} +$	$6\mathrm{C}_5$	\approx	= 27	
d = 7	$7 + {}_7C_2 +$	$-7C_5$	+ 7	= 56	
$\underline{d=8}$	$8 + {}_{8}C_{2} +$	$8C_5$	$+ \cdots$	= 248	
We introduce an "exceptional space" $exotic!$ whose dimensions are those of the fund. reps. of E_d .					

U-duality group

Exceptional Field Theory

[Duff, Lu '90; Berman, Perry '11; **Generalized metric** Berman, Godazgar, Perry, West '12;] of the exceptional space :

$$\mathcal{M}_{IJ} = E^{A}{}_{I} E^{B}{}_{J} \delta_{AB}, \qquad (E^{A}{}_{I}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{a}_{i} & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{-1})^{i_{1}i_{2}}_{a} C_{i_{1}i_{2}j} & (e^{-1})^{i_{1}i_{2}}_{a_{1}a_{2}} & \cdots \\ \cdots & C_{i_{1}}\cdots & i_{5}j} \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

(U-duality inv. 2-derivative action) [Hohm, Samtleben '13]

U-folds

In M-theory, there are many non-geometric *U***-folds**.

[de Boer, Shigemori '12]

U-duality monodromy



U-folds



From EFT point of view, background fields are single-valued (up to gauge transf.)

[K. Lee, S.-J. Rey, YS, arXiv:1612.08738]

We studied monodromies of exotic-brane backgrounds from EFT point of view.

Double/Exceptional Field Theory

supergravity

double sigma model/our model

string sigma model/
worldvolume theory for a p-brane

Double Sigma Model [Hull '04; '06; Lee, Park '13]

Double Sigma Model [Hull '04; '06;
Lee, Park '13]

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma_2} \left[\mathcal{H}_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^I \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^J - 2 \left(d\tilde{X}_i + C_i \right) \wedge dX^i \right].$$
e.o.m. for C_i
 $d\tilde{X}_i + C_i = G_{ik} *_{\gamma} dX^k + B_{ik} dX^k$

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_2} \left(G_{ij} dX^i \wedge *_{\gamma} dX^j + B_{ij} dX^i \wedge dX^j \right).$$

(classically) equivalent to the conventional sigma model

Winding coordinates \tilde{X}_i disappeared from the action

 $\stackrel{\textcircled{}}{\hookrightarrow} \text{ not independent of } X^i$

Gauge fixing

In fact, we can always set $\tilde{X}_i(\sigma) = 0$ by using the local symmetry,

$$\tilde{X}_i(\sigma) \to \tilde{X}_i(\sigma) + \tilde{v}_i(\sigma)$$
$$C_i(\sigma) \to C_i(\sigma) - \mathrm{d}\tilde{v}_i(\sigma)$$

$$(\mathcal{P}^{I}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^{i} \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_{i} + C_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^{i} \\ \mathcal{P}_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma_2} \left[\mathcal{H}_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^I \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^J - \Omega_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^I \wedge \mathcal{P}^J \right].$$

Jeong-Hyuck's DSM [Lee, Park '13] Hull's action ("doubled everything") $S = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} \left[\mathcal{H}_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^{I} \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^{J} - 2 \left(\mathrm{d} \tilde{X}_{i} + C_{i} \right) \wedge \mathrm{d} X^{i} \right].$ $+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_i \wedge \mathrm{d}X^i$ Jeong-Hyuck's action $S = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma_{i}} \left[\mathcal{H}_{IJ} DX^{I} \wedge *_{\gamma} DX^{J} - 2C_{i} \wedge \mathrm{d}X^{i} \right]$ $= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} \left[\mathcal{H}_{IJ} DX^{I} \wedge *_{\gamma} DX^{J} - 2 DX^{I} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{I} \right].$

$$\left(\mathcal{P}^{I}(\sigma)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^{i}(\sigma) + \mathbf{0} \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_{i}(\sigma) + \mathbf{C}_{i}(\sigma) \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{d}X^{I} - \mathcal{A}^{I} \equiv DX^{I}$$

Symmetry of the action

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma_2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^I \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^J + \Omega_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^I \wedge \mathcal{P}^J \\ \mathbf{O}(d,d) \text{ inv.} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\mathcal{P}^I) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d} X^i \\ \mathcal{P}_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\Omega_{IJ}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_i^j \\ -\delta_j^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{this constant matrix} \text{ is NOT O}(d,d) \text{ invariant}$$

We modify the action such that the action is inv. under the generalized diffeo. on the target doubled space.

Untwisted vector

We prepare a 2-form $b_{ij}(x)$ in the target doubled space, which transforms in the same way as the B-field under generalized diffeo.:

$$\begin{cases} \delta_V B_{ij} = \pounds_{v} B_{ij} + (\partial_i \tilde{v}_j - \partial_j \tilde{v}_i) \\ \delta_V b_{ij} = \pounds_{v} b_{ij} + (\partial_i \tilde{v}_j - \partial_j \tilde{v}_i) . \end{cases}$$

Untwisted vector [Hull '14]

$$(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{I}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^{i} \\ \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{i} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{i}^{j} & 0 \\ -b_{ij} & \delta_{j}^{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^{j} \\ \mathcal{P}_{j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^{i} \\ \mathcal{P}_{i} - b_{ij} \, \mathrm{d}X^{j} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\Rightarrow \quad \Omega_{IJ} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^I \wedge \hat{\mathcal{P}}^J \quad \text{is invariant under} \\ \text{generalized diffeo.} \end{cases}$

Our DSM action

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma_{2}} \left[\mathcal{H}_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^{I} \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^{J} + \Omega_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^{I} \wedge \mathcal{P}^{J} \right]$$

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma_{2}} \left[\mathcal{H}_{IJ} \mathcal{P}^{I} \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^{J} + \Omega_{IJ} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{I} \wedge \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{J} \right]$$
inv. under generalized diffeo.

$$\begin{cases} \text{diffeo.} \\ \text{B-field gauge transf.} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{IJ} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{I} \wedge \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{J} = dX^{i} \wedge (\mathcal{P}_{i} - b_{ij} dX^{j})$$

$$= dX^{i} \wedge \mathcal{P}_{i} - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} b_{ij} dX^{i} \wedge dX^{j}$$
New
$$F_{2}(\sigma)$$



 b_{ij} has to satisfy some conditions in order to define a "good" *d*-dim. space [S.-J. Rey, YS '15]

$$\begin{cases} b_{ij}(x) = b_{[ij]}(x), & db_2 = 0(x), \\ \delta_V b_{ij}(x) = \pounds_v b_{ij}(x) + \partial_i \tilde{v}_j - \partial_j \tilde{v}_i. \end{cases}$$

$$F_2(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} b_{ij}(X(\sigma)) \, \mathrm{d}X^i \wedge \mathrm{d}X^j \,,$$
$$F_2(\sigma) = \mathrm{d}A_1(\sigma) \not\leqslant$$

short summary

auxiliary fields

<u>Fund. fields:</u> $\{X^i(\sigma), \mathcal{P}_i(\sigma), \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma), A_1(\sigma)\}$

Conventional embedding function

intrinsic fluctuation along metric winding directions

 $F_2(\sigma) = \mathrm{d}A_1(\sigma)$.

Our DSM:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{IJ}(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})) \mathcal{P}^I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^J(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \Omega_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \right].$$
$$(\mathcal{P}^I) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^i \\ \mathcal{P}_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathcal{P}_i(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \wedge \mathrm{d}X^i(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + 2 F_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}).$$
$$F_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathrm{d}A_1(\boldsymbol{\sigma}).$$

Reproducing the conventional action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{IJ}(X(\sigma)) \mathcal{P}^I(\sigma) \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^J(\sigma) - \Omega_2(\sigma) \right].$$

$$\Omega_2(\sigma) = \mathcal{P}_i(\sigma) \wedge dX^i(\sigma) + 2F_2(\sigma)$$
eliminating the auxiliary field \mathcal{P}_i invariant under B-field gauge transf.

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_2} G_{ij} dX^i \wedge *_{\gamma} dX^j + \int_{\Sigma_2} (B_2 - F_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_2} G_{ij} dX^i \wedge *_{\gamma} dX^j + \int_{\Sigma_2} B_2 + \int_{\partial\Sigma_2} A_1.$$

Generalization to other branes

Our action for a *p***-brane:**

$$S = \int_{\Sigma_{p+1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{IJ}(X(\sigma)) \mathcal{P}^{I}(\sigma) \wedge *\mathcal{P}^{J}(\sigma) - \Omega_{p+1}(\sigma) \right].$$

equivalent to known worldvolume theory for -{membrane M5-brane gauge fields are introduced (fluctuations along the dual directions)

M-theory branes in Exceptional space

(for simplicity, let's consider E_6 case)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{generalized coordinates} \\ (x^{I}) &= (x^{i}, y_{i_{1}i_{2}}, y_{i_{1}\cdots i_{5}}) \cdot \longleftrightarrow \qquad (\mathcal{P}^{I}) = \begin{pmatrix} dX^{i} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{P}_{i_{1}i_{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}!} \mathcal{P}_{i_{1}\cdots i_{5}} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{15+6}{\text{auxiliar}} \\ \text{fields} \\ \text{M2 and M5 can} \\ \text{wrap the torus} \\ \end{aligned} \\ \begin{aligned} \text{Generalized metric:} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{IJ} &= L^{K}_{I} \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{KL} L^{L}_{J} \qquad DSM \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{(\mathcal{M}^{I})}{(\mathcal{P}^{I})} = \begin{pmatrix} dX^{i} \\ \mathcal{P}_{i} \end{pmatrix} \\ (\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{IJ}) &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G^{i_{1}i_{2},j_{1}j_{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G^{i_{1}\cdots i_{5},j_{1}\cdots j_{5}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ (L^{I}_{J}) &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{j}^{i} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C_{i_{1}i_{2}j} & \delta_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{j_{1}j_{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5!}} (C_{i_{1}\cdots i_{5}j} - 5C_{[i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}}C_{i_{4}i_{5}]j)} & \frac{10\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5!}} \delta_{[i_{1}i_{2}}^{j_{1}j_{2}}C_{i_{3}i_{4}i_{5}]} & \delta_{i_{1}\cdots i_{5}}^{j_{1}\cdots j_{5}} \end{pmatrix}. \\ \delta_{i_{1}\cdots i_{q}}^{j_{1}\cdots j_{q}} &\equiv \delta_{[i_{1}}^{j_{1}}\cdots \delta_{i_{q}}^{j_{q}}], \qquad G^{i_{1}\cdots i_{q},j_{1}\cdots j_{q}} \equiv \delta_{k_{1}\cdots k_{q}}^{i_{1}\cdots i_{q}} G^{k_{1}j_{1}}\cdots G^{k_{q}j_{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Brane action



<u>Fund. fields:</u> { $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma), X^i(\sigma), \mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2}(\sigma), \mathcal{P}_{i_1\cdots i_5}(\sigma), A_2(\sigma), A_5(\sigma)$ }

p=2 (1/2)

1

Our action for a membrane :

(classically) equivalent!

Comment

We here considered E_6 case, but for, E_7 or E_8 ,



So, the additional auxiliary fields are simply integrated out, and the resulting action for X^i does not change.

We can reproduce the membrane action also for E_7 / E_8 .

p=5 (1/2)



p=5 (2/2)eliminate $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \neq h_{\alpha\beta}!)$

$$S = -\int_{\Sigma} d^{6}\sigma \sqrt{-h} \, \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\theta^{\frac{1}{2}})}{6} + \int_{\Sigma} \left(C_{6} - \frac{1}{2} \, H_{3} \wedge C_{3} - F_{6} \right).$$

This is not a known action for M5-brane.

However, if we consider a weak-field approximation for H_3

$$S \sim -\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^6 \sigma \sqrt{-h} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} H_3 \wedge *_h H_3 + \int_{\Sigma} \left(C_6 - \frac{1}{2} H_3 \wedge C_3 - F_6 \right).$$
[Bergshoeff, de Roo, Ortin '96]

e.o.m. for
$$A_2 \implies d(*_h H_3 - C_3) = d(*_h H_3 + H_3) = 0$$
.

Consistent with the linearized self-duality relation:

$$H_3 = - *_h H_3.$$

Non-linear case?

Without the approximation, e.o.m. for A_2 becomes

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= 0 \,, \quad \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}} \,. \\ S &= \int_{\Sigma_6} \mathrm{d}^6 \sigma \mathcal{L} = \int_{\Sigma_6} \left[-\mathrm{d}^6 \sigma \sqrt{-h} \frac{\mathrm{tr}(\theta^{\frac{1}{2}})}{6} + C_6 - \frac{1}{2} \,H_3 \wedge C_3 - F_6 \right] \,. \\ \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} &\equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{12} \left[\mathcal{C}^{[\alpha}{}_{\delta} \,H^{\beta\gamma]\delta} - (*_h C_3)^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \right] \,. \\ \mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}^2 H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{12} \left[\mathcal{C}^{[\alpha}{}_{\delta} \,H^{\beta\gamma]\delta} - (*_h C_3)^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \right] \,. \end{split}$$

Consistent with "non-linear self-duality relation"

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{C}_{[\alpha_1}{}^\alpha H_{\alpha_2\alpha_3]\alpha}}_{\delta^\alpha_{\alpha_1}} = -(*_h H_3)_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} \,.$$

Known results

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Our result:}} & \mathcal{C}_{[\alpha_1}{}^{\alpha} H_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3]\alpha} = -(*_h H_3)_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} \, . \\ \\ & \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \equiv \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\theta^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{3} \, \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} - (\theta^{-\frac{1}{2}})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \, . \end{array}$$

Known result: [Howe, Sezgin '97; Howe, Sezgin, West '97; Sezgin, Sundell '98]

 $C_{[\alpha_1}{}^{\alpha} H_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3]\alpha} = -(*_h H_3)_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}$ $C_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = K^{-1} \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{1}{12} \operatorname{tr}(H^2) \right] \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{4} (H^2)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \right\}, \quad K \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{\operatorname{tr}(H^2)}{24}}.$

Apparently different, but in fact the same: $C_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = C_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$. \Box Consistent!

e.o.m. for X^i also looks the same:

$$\partial_{\alpha} \left(\sqrt{-h} \, C^{\alpha\beta} \, G_{ij} \partial_{\beta} X^{j} \right) = 0 \, .$$

checking details...

YS, S. Uehara, PRL 117, 191601 [arXiv:1607.04265]

$\int_{\mathcal{V}}$

YS, S. Uehara, ongoing work.

Kaluza-Klein Monopole

If we consider *E*₈ exceptional space, we can also consider the Kaluza-Klein Monopole wrapped on the 8-torus.

Kaluza-Klein Monopole requires a Killing vector (Taub-NUT direction) k^i .

$$(\mathcal{P}^{I}) = \begin{pmatrix} DX^{i} \\ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{i_{1}i_{2}}}{\sqrt{2!}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{i_{1}\cdots i_{5}}}{\sqrt{5!}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{i_{1}\cdots i_{7},i}}{\sqrt{7!}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$DX^i \equiv \mathrm{d}X^i - \mathbf{a_1}k^i \,.$$

Kaluza-Klein Monopole

Our action:

worldvolume gauge fields



Generalized metric for E_8

[H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, M. Perry '13]

($(L11)^a \ _b$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$(L21)_{d_1d_2 \ b}$	$(L22)_{d_1d_2} e_1e_2$	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$(L31)^{g_1\ldots g_3}{}_b$	$(L32)^{g_1\dots g_3} e_1 e_2$	$(L33)^{g_1g_3} h_1h_3$	0	0	0	0	0
	$(L41)_{j_1}{}^{j_2}{}_b$	$(L42)_{j_1}{}^{j_2 e_1 e_2}$	$(L43)_{j_1}{}^{j_2}{}_{h_1\dots h_3}$	$(L44)_{j_1}{}^{j_2}{}^{k_1}{}_{k_2}$	0	0	0	0
	$(L51)_{b}$	$(L52)^{e_1e_2}$	$(L53) _{h_1h_3}$	$(L54)^{k_1}{}_{k_2}$	L55	0	0	0
((L61) _{m1m3} b	$(L62)_{m_1m_3} e_{1e_2}$	$(L63)_{m_1m_3} h_{1h_3}$	$(L64)_{m_1m_3} {}^{k_1}{}_{k_2}$	$(L65)_{m_1m_3}$	$(L66)_{m_1m_3} {}^{n_1n_3}$	0	0
	$(L71)^{q_1q_2}{}_b$	$(L72)^{q_1q_2} e_{1}e_{2}$	$(L73)^{q_1q_2} {}_{h_1\dots h_3}$	$(L74)^{q_1q_2\ k_1}{}_{k_2}$	$(L75)^{q_1q_2}$	$(L76)^{q_1q_2} n_1n_3$	$(L77)^{q_1q_2} {}_{r_1r_2}$	0
	$(L81)_{x\ b}$	$(L82)_x e_{1}e_{2}$	$(L83)_{x \ h_1h_3}$	$(L84)_x {}^{k_1}{}_{k_2}$	$(L85)_{x}$	$(L86)_x {}^{n_1n_3}$	$(L87)_{x \ r_1r_2}$	$(L88)_x y$

Figure 1: The generalised vielbein

Generalized metric for E_8 [H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, M. Perry '13]

$(L11)^a{}_b = \delta^a_b,$	(139)
$(L21)_{d_1d_2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}C_{d_1d_2b},$	(140)
$(L31)^{g_1g_2g_3}{}_b = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\delta_b^{[g_1}U^{g_2g_3]} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}}X^{g_1g_3}{}_b,$	(141)
$(L41)_{j_1}{}^{j_2}{}_b = \frac{1}{24}X^{u_1u_2j_2}{}_{j_1}C_{u_1u_2b} + \frac{1}{2}C_{uj_1b}U^{uj_2} - \frac{1}{16}\delta_{j_1}^{j_2}C_{u_1u_2b}U^{u_1u_2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_b^{j_2}Y_{j_1} - \frac{1}{16}\delta_{j_1}^{j_2}$	Y_b ,
	(142)
$(L51)_b = \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}}Y_b - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}C_{u_1u_2b}U^{u_1u_2},$	(143)
$(L61)_{m_1m_2m_3} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}C_{b[m_1m_2}Y_{m_3]} + \frac{1}{16\sqrt{6}}C_{u_1u_2bm_1m_2m_3}U^{u_1u_2}$	
$+\frac{1}{48\sqrt{6}}X^{u_1u_2u_3}{}_{b}C_{u_1u_2u_3m_1m_2m_3}-\frac{1}{32\sqrt{6}}C_{u_1[m_1m_2}C_{m_3]u_2u_3}X^{u_1u_2u_3}{}_{b},$	(144)
$(L71)^{q_1q_2}{}_b = \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}} \delta_b^{[u} U^{q_1q_2]} Y_u + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} X^{q_1q_2u}{}_b Y_u - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} C_{u_1u_2b} U^{u_1q_1} U^{u_2q_2}$	
$+\frac{1}{24\sqrt{2}}C_{u_1u_2u_3}X^{u_1u_2[q_1}{}_{b}U^{q_2]u_3}+\frac{1}{960\sqrt{2}}C_{u_1u_2u_3}X^{u_1q_1q_2}{}_{t}X^{tu_2u_3}{}_{b},$	(145)
$(L81)_{x\ b} = -\frac{1}{4}Y_xY_b - \frac{1}{4}C_{u_1xb}U^{u_1u_2}Y_{u_2} + \frac{1}{8}C_{u_1u_2b}U^{u_1u_2}Y_x - \frac{1}{48}C_{xu_1u_2}X^{u_1u_2u_3}{}_bY_{u_3}$	
$+\frac{1}{102}C_{xbu_1u_4}U^{u_1u_2}U^{u_3u_4}-\frac{1}{384}X^{u_1u_3}bU^{u_4u_5}C_{u_1u_5x}$	
$+\frac{1}{128}C_{u_1[t_1t_2}C_{x]u_2u_3}X^{u_1u_3}bU^{t_1t_2}-\frac{1}{16(6!)}C_{u_1u_2t_1}C_{u_3t_2t_3}X^{u_1u_3}x^{t_1t_3}X^{t_1t_3}$	з _ь ,
	(146)
$(L22)_{d_1d_2} e^{e_1e_2} = \delta^{e_1e_2}_{d_1d_2},$	(147)
$(L32)^{g_1g_2g_3} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} V^{g_1g_2g_3 = 1e_2},$	(148)
$(L42)_{j_1}^{j_2 e_1 e_2} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} X^{j_2 e_1 e_2}_{j_1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U^{j_2 [e_1} \delta_{j_1}^{e_2]} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} \delta_{j_1}^{j_2} U^{e_1 e_2},$	(149)
$(L52)^{\ e_1e_2} = \frac{1}{4} U^{e_1e_2},$	(150)

(139)	$(L62)_{m_1m_2m_3} e_1e_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Y_{[m_1}\delta_{m_2m_3]}^{e_1e_2} - \frac{1}{24\sqrt{3}}V^{u_1u_2u_3e_1e_2}C_{u_1u_2u_3m_1m_2m_3}$		$(L64)_{m_1m_2m_3}^{k_1}_{k_2} = -\epsilon$
(140)	$+\frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}}C_{u[m_1m_2}X^{ue_1e_2}m_{3]},$	(151)	$(L74)^{q_1q_2} k_{k_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
(141)	$(L72)^{q_1q_2} = -\frac{1}{4} V^{q_1q_2e_1e_2u} Y_u + \frac{1}{4} U^{[q_1]e_1} U^{[q_2]e_2} - \frac{1}{8} X^{e_1e_2[q_1} u^{Uq_2]u} - \frac{1}{192} X^{q_1q_2} V^{q_2} + \frac{1}{192} V^{q_1q_2} + \frac{1}{192} V^{q_$	$^{2u}_{t}X^{e_{1}e_{2}t}_{u}$, (152)	$(L84)_x^{k_1}_{k_2} = -$
$\frac{1}{16}\delta_{j_1}^{j_2}Y_b,$ (142)	$(L82)_x {}^{e_1e_2} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} U^{u[e_1}Y_u \delta_x^{e_2]} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} X^{e_1e_2u} x Y_u - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} U^{e_1e_2} Y_x$		$L55 = q^{-1}$
(143)	+ $\frac{1}{96\sqrt{2}}V^{e_1e_2u_1u_2u_3}U^{u_4u_5}C_{u_1u_5x} - \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}}C_{t[u_1u_2}X^{te_1e_2}x]U^{u_1u_2}$		$(L65)_{m_1m_2m_3} = -$
	$+\frac{1}{960\sqrt{2}}C_{tu_1u_2}X^{u_1u_2u_3}x^{Xe_1e_2t}u_3$	(153)	$(L75)^{q_1q_2} = \frac{1}{4}g$
³ b. (144)	$(L33)^{g_1g_2g_3}{}_{h_1h_2h_3} = g^{-1/2} \delta^{g_1g_2g_3}_{h_1h_2h_3},$	(154)	$(L85)_x = -\frac{1}{2}$
0) ()	$(L43)_{j_1}{}^{j_2}{}_{h_1h_2h_3} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}g^{-1/2} \left(C_{j_1[h_1h_2}\delta_{h_3]}^{j_2} - \frac{1}{8}\delta_{j_1}^{j_2}C_{h_1h_2h_3} \right),$	(155)	(L66) _m , m
	$(L53)_{h_1h_2h_3} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}g^{-1/2}C_{h_1h_2h_3},$	(156)	(L76)
(145)	$(L63)_{m_1m_2m_3} + h_{1h_2h_3} = \frac{1}{12}g^{-1/2} \left(C_{m_1m_2m_3h_1h_2h_3} - C_{m_1m_2m_3}C_{h_1h_2h_3} + 9C_{[m_1m_2] h_1}C_{h_1h_2h_3} - C_{m_1m_2m_3}C_{h_1h_2h_3} - C_{m_1m_2m_3}C_{h_1h_2h_3} - C_{[m_1m_2] h_1}C_{h_1h_2h_3} - C_{[m_1m_2] h_1}C_{h_1h_2h_3} - C_{[m_1m_2m_3}C_{h_1h_2h_3} - C_{[m_1m_2m_3}C_{h_2$	$_{2h_{3}] m_{3}]),$	(L8
$_{b}Y_{u_{3}}$	$(L73)^{q_1q_2}{}_{h_1h_2h_3} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g^{-1/2} \left(Y_{[h_1}\delta^{q_1q_2}_{h_2h_3]} + C_{u[h_1h_2}U^{u[q_1}\delta^{q_2]}_{h_3]} + \frac{1}{6}C_{h_1h_2h_3}U^{q_1q_2}_{h_3}\right)$	(157)	
$X^{t_1t_3}_{b}$,	$+\frac{1}{12}X^{uq_1q_2}{}_{[h_1}C_{h_2h_3]u}\bigg),$	(158)	
(146)	$(L83)_{x h_1 h_2 h_3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}g^{-1/2} \left(C_{x[h_1 h_2}Y_{h_3]} + \frac{1}{24}U^{u_1 u_2}C_{h_1 h_2 h_3 x u_1 u_2} + \frac{1}{12}C_{h_1 h_2 h_3}C_{h_1 h_2$	$U_{xu_1u_2}U^{u_1u_2}$	
(147)	$-\frac{1}{4}C_{u_1u_2}[h_1C_{h_2h_3}]_xU^{u_1u_2} - \frac{1}{2}C_{xu_1}[h_1C_{h_2h_3}]_{u_2}U^{u_1}$	u ₂	All of the lowercase Latin let of the spatial metric,
(148)	$+\frac{1}{48}X^{u_1u_2u_3}x^{C_{u_1u_2[h_1}C_{h_2h_3]u_3}}$,	(159)	
(149)	×~ /		
(150)	$(L44)_{j_1}^{j_2 k_1}_{k_2} = g^{-1/2} \left(\delta_{k_2}^{j_2} \delta_{j_1}^{k_1} - \frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_1}^{j_2} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} \right),$	(160)	
·/	$(L54)^{k_1}{}_{k_2} = 0,$	(161)	
			The ϵ tensor is the alternation

		$(L64)_{m_1m_2m_3}{}^{k_1}{}_{k_2} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}g^{-1/2} \left(C_{k_2[m_1m_2}\delta^{k_1}_{m_3]} - \frac{1}{8}\delta^{k_1}_{k_2}C_{m_1m_2m_3} \right),$	(162)
a],	(151)	$(L74)^{q_1q_2k_1}_{k_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g^{-1/2}\left(U^{k_1[q_1}\delta^{q_2]}_{k_2} + \frac{1}{8}\delta^{k_1}_{k_2}U^{q_1q_2} + \frac{1}{4}X^{k_1q_1q_2}_{k_2}\right),$	(163)
$X^{q_1q_2u}tX$	e1e2t u,	$(L84)_x^{k_1}_{k_2} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{-1/2} \left(Y_{k_2} \delta_x^{k_1} - \frac{1}{8} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} Y_x - \frac{3}{2} \delta_x^{k_1} C_{u_1 u_2 k_2} U^{u_1 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{x u_1 u_2} U^{u_1 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_1 u_2} U^{u_1 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_2 u_1 u_2} U^{u_1 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_1 u_2} U^{u_1 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_1 u_2} U^{u_1 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_2 u_1 u_2} U^{u_1 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_2 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_2 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_1} C_{k_2 u_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{k_2} + \frac{3}{16} \delta_{k_2}^{$	142
	(152)	$+\frac{1}{12}X^{k_1u_1u_2}_{k_2}C_{xu_1u_2}$,	(164)
		$L55 = g^{-1/2}$,	(165)
$U^{u_1u_2}$		$(L65)_{m_1m_2m_3} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}g^{-1/2}C_{m_1m_2m_3},$	(166)
	(153)	$^{4\sqrt{3}}(L75)^{q_1q_2} = \frac{1}{4}g^{-1/2}U^{q_1q_2},$	(167)
	(154)	$(L85)_x = -\frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}}g^{-1/2}\left(Y_x - \frac{1}{6}C_{xu_1u_2}U^{u_1u_2}\right),$	(168)
	(155)		
	(156)	$(L66)_{m_1m_2m_3}^{n_1n_2n_3} = g^{-1/2} \delta_{m_1m_2m_3}^{n_1n_2n_3},$	(169)
	(150)	$(L76)^{q_1q_2}{}^{n_1n_2n_3} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g^{-1/2}V^{q_1q_2n_1n_2n_3},$	(170)
$ h_1C_{h_2h_3 } h_1C_{h_2h $	m3]), (157)	$(L86)_x {}^{n_1n_2n_3} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}g^{-1/2} \left(U^{[n_1n_2}\delta^{n_3]}_x - \frac{1}{6}X^{n_1n_2n_3}_x \right),$	(171)
92		$(1.77)a_1a_2 = -1.a_1a_2$	(179)
	(1=0)	$(L11)^{1/2}r_{112} = g \phi_{r_1r_2}^{*},$ $(L12)^{1/2}r_{112} = g \phi_{r_1r_2}^{*},$	(172)
	(158)	$(L87)_{x r_1 r_2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g^{-1}C_{x r_1 r_2},$	(173)
$h_2h_3C_{xu_1u_2}$	$_{2}U^{u_{1}u_{2}}$	$(L88)_x \stackrel{y}{=} g^{-\iota} \delta_x^y.$	(174)
$u_2 U^{u_1 u_2}$		All of the lowercase Latin letters denote $SL(8)$ indices. In the above expressions g is the dete of the spatial metric,	rminant
	(159)	$V^{a_1a_5} = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{a_1a_8} C_{a_6a_8}$,	(175)
	· /	$X^{a_1a_3}{}_b = V^{a_1a_5}C_{a_4a_5b},$	(176)
	(160)	$W^{a_1a_2} = \frac{1}{6!} \epsilon^{a_1a_8} C_{a_3a_8},$	(177)
	(161)	$Y_b = \frac{1}{8!} \epsilon^{a_1a_8} C_{a_1a_8,b}$.	(178)
		The ϵ tensor is the alternating tensor in eight dimensions.	

 $\mathcal{M}_{IJ} = E_I{}^A E_J{}^B \delta_{AB}$

EFT for type IIB theory

Not only the 11 dim. SUGRA but 10 dim. type IIB SUGRA can be also reproduced from EFT [Blair, Malek, J.-H. Park '13; Hohm, Samtleben '13]

$$\mathcal{L}_{EFT} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{11d-SUGRA}$$

$$(x^{I}) = (x^{i}, y_{i_{1}i_{2}}, y_{i_{1}\cdots i_{5}}, y_{i_{1}\cdots i_{7},i}, \cdots)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{type IIB} \longrightarrow the same dimensions$$

$$(x^{I}) = (x^{m}, y^{\alpha}_{m}, y_{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}}, y^{\alpha}_{m_{1}\cdots m_{5}}, y_{m_{1}\cdots m_{6},n}, \cdots)$$

$$P \ F1/D1 \ D3 \ NS5/D5 \ KKM$$

Generalized metric for type IIB



[K. Lee, S.-J. Rey, YS, arXiv:1612.08738]

Action for type IIB branes

Our action:

$$S = \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{M}_{\mathsf{MN}} \mathcal{P}^{\mathsf{M}} \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^{\mathsf{N}} - \Omega_{p} \right).$$

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\mathsf{M}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}X^{\mathsf{m}} \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{m}}^{\alpha} \\ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{m}_{1} \cdots \mathsf{m}_{5}}^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{3!}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{m}_{1} \cdots \mathsf{m}_{5}}^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{5!}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{m}_{1} \cdots \mathsf{m}_{6}, \mathsf{m}}}{\sqrt{6!}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F1/D1} \\ \mathsf{D3} \\ \mathsf{NS5/D5} \\ \mathsf{NS5/D5} \\ \mathsf{KKM} \\ \mathsf{(exotic)} \end{pmatrix}$$

F/D-string



<u>well-known action for (*p*,*q*)-string</u> [Schwarz '95]:

$$S = -\mu_1 \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^2 \sigma \sqrt{q_\alpha \, m^{\alpha\beta} \, q_\beta} \, \sqrt{-h} + \mu_1 \, \int_{\Sigma} q_\alpha \left(\mathsf{B}_2^\alpha - F_2^\alpha\right).$$

D3, D5/NS5, KKM, ...

Our action:



Summary

We proposed a simple action for a *p*-brane:

$$S = \int_{\Sigma_{p+1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{IJ}(X(\sigma)) \mathcal{P}^{I}(\sigma) \wedge *_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}^{J}(\sigma) - \Omega_{p+1}(\sigma) \right]$$

<u>M2-brane</u> ... reproduced a known action. <u>M5-brane</u> ... reproduced a known linearized action. Even at the non-linear level,

e.o.m. seem to be the same (checking the detail). <u>KK Monopole</u> ... reproduced (a part of) known action. (now checking)

<u>1-brane</u> ... reproduced a known (*p,q*)-string action. <u>3-brane, 5-brane, KKM, exotic</u> ... now checking.