

# The *Didymodon tophaceus* Complex (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta) Revisited: New Data Support the Subspecific Rank of Currently Recognized Species

Author(s): Jan Kučera, Tom L. Blockeel, Peter Erzberger, Beáta Papp, Zdeněk Soldán, Kai Vellak, Olaf Werner & Rosa M. Ros Source: Cryptogamie, Bryologie, 39(2):241-257. Published By: Association des Amis des Cryptogames https://doi.org/10.7872/cryb/v39.iss2.2018.241 URL: http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.7872/cryb/v39.iss2.2018.241

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/page/terms\_of\_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and noncommercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Cryptogamie, Bryologie, 2018, 39 (2): 241-257 © 2018 Adac. Tous droits réservés

# The *Didymodon tophaceus* complex (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta) revisited: new data support the subspecific rank of currently recognized species

Jan KUČERA<sup>a\*</sup>, Tom L. BLOCKEEL<sup>b</sup>, Peter ERZBERGER<sup>c</sup>, Beáta PAPP<sup>d</sup>, Zdeněk SOLDÁN<sup>e</sup>, Kai VELLAK<sup>f</sup>, Olaf WERNER<sup>g</sup> & Rosa M. ROS<sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Branišovská 1760, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

<sup>b</sup>9 Ashfurlong Close, Sheffield S17 3NN, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup>Belziger Str. 37, D-10823 Berlin, Germany

<sup>d</sup>Department of Botany, Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>e</sup>Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>f</sup>Natural History Museum, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

<sup>g</sup>Department of Plant Biology, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

**Abstract** – Additional samples of *Didymodon erosus* and *D. sicculus*, barcoded using ITS sequences, necessitated the broadening of the morphological concepts and the reported ecology of these taxa, both of which were revealed to have considerable overlap. Additional molecular data from the chloroplast genome confirmed the earlier published phylogenetic inference based on the nuclear ITS, which groups *Didymodon tophaceus*, *Didymodon erosus* and *D. sicculus* into a highly supported lineage of closely related taxa. In view of the morphological overlap among taxa of the *D. tophaceus* complex, supported by the ITS nonmonophyly of *D. tophaceus* in its current morphological concept, we advocate the reduction of *D. erosus* and *D. sicculus* to infraspecific rank within *D. tophaceus*. Sporophytes are described for the first time in *D. erosus*, and new country records for the latter two taxa are reported.

*Didymodon* section *Fallaces / Didymodon erosus / Didymodon sicculus /* nrITS / *rps4-trnS / trnM-trnV /* taxonomy / subspecies / Ecuador / Albania / Hungary / Czech Republic

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: e-mail: kucera@prf.jcu.cz

#### INTRODUCTION

Didymodon tophaceus (Brid.) Lisa is a long-established member of the European bryoflora, also reported from several regions of the other continents (Asia, Africa, the Americas, Australia, Pacific Islands; Jiménez, 2005; Zander, 2007). Being a relatively common species in suitable habitats, which typically include wet calcareous soil and base-rich rock fissures in warmer regions, it has been acknowledged to be morphologically rather variable. About 25 infraspecific taxa have been formally described in the course of the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries (www.tropicos.org) but none of these infraspecific taxa have been commonly recognized in regional floras, and until the study of Werner *et al.* (2009) no additional species were identified as forming a complex of closely related taxa with *D. tophaceus*. However, the lineage of taxa closely related to *D. tophaceus*, which includes *D. sicculus* M.J.Cano, Ros, García-Zam. & J.Guerra and *D. erosus* J.A.Jiménez & J.Guerra, had already been discussed in the earlier phylogenetic analysis of *Didymodon* by Werner *et al.* (2005), based on molecular sequence data.

Cano et al. (1996) described D. sicculus from arid regions of Spain, a moss that has been subsequently reported from numerous other sites, particularly in the Mediterranean region (summarised in Papp *et al.*, 2012). The first Estonian record was reported by Vellak et al. (2015), the first Croatian one by Papp et al. (2016), and the first German record by Müller (2017). Outside Europe, D. sicculus has been reported from Cape Verde (Cano, 2016). Eight years after the publication of D. sicculus, Jiménez et al. (2004) described Didymodon erosus, another species of the complex, from two localities in northwestern Spain. While D. sicculus, which to a certain extent combines the characters of D. luridus Hornsch. and D. tophaceus, was originally thought to be related to D. tophaceus, D. erosus has been considered to be closely related to D. sinuosus (Mitt.) Delogne. Some of the morphological characteristics of D. sicculus and D. erosus, such as the mostly quadrate ventral costa cells in the upper part of the leaf, prevented Jiménez et al. (2005) from including the two species in their concept of section Fallaces (De Not.) R.H.Zander. Thus, the first formal recognition of the D. tophaceus complex in the sense of the lineage identified by Werner et al. (2005) did not occur before the treatment of Werner *et al.* (2009). These authors were able to amend the description of D. sicculus and particularly of D. erosus using the molecular barcoding of certain puzzling specimens, which mostly resembled stunted morphs of Didymodon tophaceus. The results of this study also extended the known distribution of D. sicculus north to the Netherlands, and additional localities of D. erosus were reported from the Iberian Peninsula, southern France, Austria and Germany.

The molecular sampling of Werner *et al.* (2009) included 12 specimens from Europe and the U.S.A., five specimens of *D. sicculus* from Spain and Netherlands, and seven specimens of *D. erosus* from Spain, Portugal, France, Germany and Austria. The specimens were sequenced only for nrITS. The results of the phylogenetic analysis suggested the monophyly of the complex, as well as the monophyletic nature of *D. sicculus* and *D. erosus*, but in *D. tophaceus* the situation was more complicated. One Austrian sample appeared as a separate lineage along with the lineages comprising most other *D. tophaceus* specimens, *D. sicculus* and *D. erosus*, while the American sample appeared in a sister position to all other taxa of the *D. tophaceus* complex.

. 11 1

Over the last couple of years, the first author (JK) was repeatedly consulted by multiple bryologists about specimens that did not match comfortably even the amended descriptions of the three species of the *D. tophaceus* complex, as provided by Werner *et al.* (2009). Although not a part of any other major project, JK was gradually able to barcode some of the puzzling specimens for ITS and later also for two chloroplast loci, *rps4-trnS* and *trnM-trnV*. This allowed him to verify the results obtained by Werner *et al.* (2009) using data from another genomic compartment, and to decide whether to broaden the morphological concept of the three taxa of the *D. tophaceus* complex, or describe new taxa, or rather merge the taxa of the complex into a single species.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Molecular sampling of taxa

Twenty-one specimens of the Didymodon tophaceus complex (four identified as D. tophaceus, five as D. erosus and 12 as D. sicculus, of which only six were analysed for all loci and used in the analyses of concatenated data) were used in the molecular analyses. The nuclear genome was represented by the ribosomal ITS region (ITS1 + 5.8 rRNA + ITS2), and the chloroplast genome was represented by rps4-trnS and trnM-trnV loci, successfully applied in our earlier studies. Our accessions were supplemented with those used in Werner et al. (2009) in the separate analysis of ITS to make the results of the studies fully comparable. Outgroup selection was extended as compared with Werner et al. (2009), where only three other species of the section *Fallaces* were used. Based on the results of our other studies (particularly Kučera & Ignatov, 2015; Blockeel et al., 2017), and on the preliminary analyses from JK's library of molecular data, we used all available species of *Didymodon* section *Fallaces* complemented with a limited selection of species from other sections and three representatives of the most closely related genera of Pottioideae (Barbula Hedw., Pseudocrossidium R.S.Williams and Bryoerythrophyllum P.C.Chen). A full list of analysed specimens is included in Appendix I.

## Molecular protocols, sequence editing, alignment and data analysis

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing of the target regions (ITS, *rps4-trnS*, *trnM-trnV*) was performed by JK, and followed the protocols described in Köckinger & Kučera (2011) and Kučera *et al.* (2013), respectively. The raw sequences obtained were edited and aligned using the procedures and software described in Kučera & Ignatov (2015). The final alignment is available on request from JK. Chloroplast and nrITS data were first evaluated both separately and together in a concatenated matrix, with partitions corresponding to the three analysed loci and indel data, which were scored using the simple indel coding method (Simmons & Ochoterena, 2000). Phylogenetic analyses were performed using the Bayesian inference (BI) in MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Ronquist *et al.*, 2012) and maximum likelihood (ML), computed using the RAxML v. 8.2.4 (Stamatakis, 2014).

#### RESULTS

## Molecular data

The final ITS alignment of the matrix used for concatenation had 1141 site positions (of which 608 belonged to ITS1, 159 to 5.8S rRNA and 374 to ITS2), the chloroplast matrix had 1396 positions, of which 641 belonged to rps4-trnS and 755 belonged to the *trnM-trnV* region: 51 sites were coded as binary indel data. The extended ITS dataset which included the data of Werner et al. (2009) had 1132 site positions. Analysis of ITS data from the extended dataset (Fig. 1) resolved the Didymodon tophaceus complex in the sense of Werner et al. (2009) as a wellsupported monophyletic group, sister to a clade that includes *Didymodon fallax* (Hedw.) R.H.Zander and D. spadiceus (Mitt.) Limpr. (hereafter the D. fallax clade), and D. luridus sister to the D. fallax clade with the D. tophaceus complex (virtually unsupported as a clade). The whole clade, consisting of D. luridus, the D. fallax clade and the *D. tophaceus* complex is highly supported and on a relatively long branch. The rest of sect. Fallaces (D. ferrugineus (Schimp. ex Besch.) M.O.Hill, D. erosodenticulatus (Müll.Hal.) K.Saito, D. maximus (Sved & Crundw.) M.O.Hill, D. leskeoides K. Saito, D. giganteus (Funck) Jur.) appears basal to that clade, and the accessions representing sect. Vineales (Steere) R.H.Zander (D. vinealis (Brid.) R.H.Zander, D. occidentalis R.H.Zander) appear basal to the well-supported sect. Fallaces. Within the D. tophaceus complex, the accessions cluster to three clades corresponding to D. tophaceus, D. sicculus and D. erosus but the American sample of D. tophaceus appears basal to the whole complex. The Austrian specimen Köckinger 12353, interpreted as D. tophaceus in the treatment of Werner et al. (2009), clusters clearly with D. erosus. Another specimen from Ecuador, identified initially as D. tophaceus, belongs to the D. erosus clade.

The chloroplast data (Fig. 2) show essentially the same picture of relationships except, e.g., for the clustering within sect. *Fallaces* and the missing clade of the two analysed samples of sect. *Vineales*. The analysis of concatenated ITS + cp data (not shown) displays results essentially identical to those retrieved by the analysis of chloroplast data alone, except for the weak support for the monophyly of *D. giganteus* and the clade consisting of members of sect. *Vineales*, and the generally higher support and longer branches of most clades, all driven by the signal from ITS data. Unfortunately, the above-mentioned American specimen was not available to us.

#### Morphological data

As mentioned in the introduction, some of the newly investigated specimens did not fit within the variability of any of the three species of the *Didymodon* tophaceus complex, as described by Werner et al. (2009). For example, the specimen Papp 14/65/3 from Albania (Figs 5, 10, 14) shows rather large (to 2 mm long), lingulate leaves with a strong costa (to 100 µm wide with up to 3 ventral stereid layers) but the ventral costa cells in the upper part of the leaves are at most shortly rectangular. The specimen clustered molecularly clearly with *D. erosus* (Figs 1, 2). Ovate-lingulate leaves (among ovate-lanceolate ones) were present on plants of the sporulating specimen Blockeel 43/242 (Fig. 22), which clustered with *D. sicculus*; the costa was also relatively stout (to 80 µm wide with 1-2 ventral stereid layers), and the ventral costa cells in the upper part of the leaves were at most shortly



0.0 0.05 0.1

Fig. 1. Majority consensus tree from the Bayesian analysis of the extended version of ITS data. Branch lengths correspond to expected substitutions per site (scale). Branch widths correspond to obtained support (bold lines indicate very high support in both Bayesian analysis (BI) – posterior probability (PP)  $\ge 0.99$  and Maximum Likelihood (ML; bootstrap value (BS)  $\ge 95$  (650 replications)) analysis, semi-bold lines indicate moderate support – PP  $\ge 0.95$  and BS  $\ge 75$ ). Asterisk (above the branches for BI and below the branches for ML) denote maximum support (PP = 1.0, resp. BS = 100).





rectangular. An even stronger costa (to 95  $\mu$ m wide) was present in the specimen Papp 14/5 (Figs 20, 28). Lanceolate, not shortly ovate leaves were also present in plants of Kučera 16279 (Fig. 23). Moreover, these plants showed clearly erose margins in the apex (Fig. 26) but again, the molecular accessions clustered clearly with *D. sicculus*. The specimen of *D. tophaceus* Blockeel 43/004 from England also has erose margins in the leaf apex and a percurrent costa (Figs 34, 40), typical of *D. erosus* in the sense of Werner *et al.* (2009) but the ventral costa cells are elongate as in *D. tophaceus* and also the molecular relationships are clearly with that clade. Overall, we were unable to define exclusive character sets that would unequivocally diagnose the three taxa, except mostly for the shape of the ventral costa cells (quadrate to short-rectangular in *D. sicculus* and *D. erosus*, always elongate in *D. tophaceus*), more apparently decurrent leaves in *D. tophaceus*, and the absence of a peristome in *D. erosus*. However, the absence of a peristome in *D. erosus* was seen in a single specimen from Ecuador and might be more variable (see Discussion).

## Taxonomic evaluation

Given the existence of lineages that are molecularly (and morphologically) not clearly assignable, we believe that the best taxonomic solution at present is the recognition of the three taxa as subspecies of *Didymodon tophaceus*. This is formalised below with amended or corrected descriptions of morphology, ecology and distribution data.

### Didymodon tophaceus (Brid.) Lisa subsp. tophaceus

For the list of synonyms (not revised in this treatment), description and illustration, see Jiménez *et al.* (2005).

New observations: stunted specimens, such as Lye 36934 (Figs 33, 36) show only a weakly developed stem central strand and sclerodermis, and leaf shape and size may completely match moderately developed plants of *D. erosus* and *D. sicculus*. Even in such specimens, however, the ventral costa cells seem to be generally elongated and rhizoidal tubers were not observed. Most descriptions emphasize the costa not reaching the apex; however, the specimen Hauguel s.n. (CBFS: 4465) has the costa excurrent in a stout mucro (Figs 35, 41), showing a habit similar to D. validus Jur. Such morphs are probably identical to var. *anatinus* Hamm. Interestingly, the unusual morphology is also matched by the somewhat isolated position in the phylogenetic tree (Figs 1, 2), although the North American sample is still much more distant. The possible absence of a peristome, reported by Zander (1993, 2007), might refer to D. erosus (see below) but more extra-European samples need to be studied to verify this fact. Jiménez et al. (2005) did not allow for the absence of a peristome in their description. The ecology reported in some collections, such as the above-mentioned Lye 36934, matches that reported for D. sicculus; drier habitats are definitely able to support the presence of the species at some sites.

# *Didymodon tophaceus* subsp. *erosus* (J.A.Jiménez & J.Guerra) Jan Kučera, *comb. et stat. nov.* Figs 3-17

# Bas. Didymodon erosus J.A.Jiménez & J.Guerra, Nova Hedwigia 78: 502. f. 1-2. 2004.

*New observations*: despite a significant broadening of the morphological concept of the taxon by Werner et al. (2009), the description needs to be amended again. The leaves can be even larger than indicated earlier (up to  $1.85 \times 0.50$  mm), with a lingulate apex and the margins broadly recurved to 4/5 of the leaf length (Figs 5, 10). The costa may reach ca 100 µm in section and show up to 3 layers of ventral and 4 layers of dorsal stereids. The stem cross section may have a well-developed sclerodermis. Such specimens nevertheless clearly show short (quadrate to shortly rectangular) ventral superficial cells over the costa in the upper part of the leaf (Fig. 10). On the other hand, stunted plants from Ecuador (Soldán s.n., CBFS) 19364), showed elongate ventral costa cells throughout (Figs 7, 11), although better developed plants from the same site (CBFS 19365, Figs 8, 12) also had short ventral costa cells. This variability calls for caution in evaluating this character, as already emphasized by Werner et al. (2009). The specimen Köckinger 12353 (Fig. 6) was evaluated as D. tophaceus by Werner et al. (2009), although the ventral costa cells are clearly short and the molecular data from ITS did not show a clear identification as D. tophaceus. The leaves of this plant are slightly larger and the costa stronger than in the stunted specimens of D. erosus which were available to Werner et al. (2009), but clearly fall within the variability of *D. erosus*, as indicated here. Nevertheless, both the ITS and chloroplast data suggest that the plant belongs to a

# Figs 32-41



Figs 3-17. Didymodon tophaceus subsp. erosus. **3-8.** Leaves (**3.** Skrzypczak s.n. **4.** Papp 14/6. **5.** Papp 14/65/3. **6.** Köckinger 12353. **7.** Soldán s.n., CBFS 19364. **8.** Soldán s.n., CBFS 19365.). **9-12.** Leaf apices (**9.** Skrzypczak s.n. **10.** Papp 14/65/3. **11.** Soldán s.n., CBFS 19364. **12.** Soldán s.n., CBFS 19365.). **13-14.** Leaf sections in lower third (**13.** Skrzypczak s.n. **14.** Papp 14/65/3). **15.** Rhizoidal gemmae, Papp 14/6. **16.** Capsule mouth, Soldán s.n., CBFS 19364. **17.** Capsule base, Soldán s.n., CBFS 19364. Scales: 500 μm for 3-8, 200 μm for 9-12, 50 μm for 13-17.



Figs 18-31. *Didymodon tophaceus* subsp. *sicculus*. **18-23.** Leaves (**18.** Oja *s.n.* **19.** Papp *s.n.* BP *185825.* **20.** Papp *14/5.* **21.** Kučera *17286.* **22.** Blockeel *43/242.* **23.** Kučera *16279).* **24-26.** Leaf apices (**24.** Kučera *17286.* **25.** Blockeel *43/242.* **26.** Kučera *16279).* **27-28.** Leaf sections in lower third (**27.** Oja *s. n.* **28.** Papp *14/5).* **29.** Rhizoidal gemmae, Kučera *17286.* **30.** Capsule, Blockeel *43/242.* **31.** Capsule mouth with peristome, Blockeel *43/242.* Scales: 500 μm for 18-23, 30, 200 μm for 24-26, 50 μm for 27-29, 31.



Figs 32-41. *Didymodon tophaceus* subsp. *tophaceus* var. *tophaceus*; **35**, **41** – var. *anatinus*. **32-35**. Leaves (**32**. Erzberger 20917. **33**. Lye 36934. **34**. Blockeel 43/004. **35**. Hauguel *s.n.*, CBFS 4465). **36-37**. Leaf sections in lower third (**36**. Lye 36934. **37**. Blockeel 43/004). **38.-41**. Leaf apices (**38**. Erzberger 20917. **39**. Lye 36934. **40**. Blockeel 43/004. **41**. Hauguel *s.n.*, CBFS 4465). Scales: 500 μm for 32-35, 200 μm for 38-41, 50 μm for 36-37.

lineage which is slightly divergent from the remaining *D. erosus* specimens, interestingly found to be most closely related to the analysed Ecuadorean specimen. Perigonia, calyptra and sporophytic characters could be observed for the first time on the specimens from Ecuador (Figs 16-17). Perigonia bud-like, in upper leaf axils, perigonial leaves with inflated base. Seta straight to slightly flexuose, 5.5-9.5 mm long, orange-brown. Urn ovate-cylindrical to cylindrical,  $0.45-1.20 \times 0.35-0.50$  mm, lid long-conical to slightly obliquely rostrate, *ca* 0.60 mm long, calyptra cucullate. Exothecial cells irregularly rectangular, *ca* 15-25 × 30-80 µm, thin- to thick-walled (walls thickening with age), towards the mouth of capsule small and isodiametric in *ca* 3 rows but annulus of inflated cells absent; stomata at base of the urn present. Spores finely granulose, 13-17 µm.

*New country records*: ALBANIA. KUKËS COUNTY, Tropojë near Bajram Curri, 670 m a.s.l., on exposed serpentine rocks, 9.7.2014 coll. B. Papp *14/65/3*, herb. BP189898, dupl. CBFS. HUNGARY. CSONGRÁD COUNTY, Kiskundorozsma, Sziksós, 80 m a.s.l., saline grassland, 14.3.2014 coll. B. Papp *14/6*, herb. BP189928, dupl. CBFS. ECUADOR. Prov. Pichincha, NNE slope of Cotopaxi volcano, valley of Qebrada Tañiloma, 3850 m a.s.l., 26.-27.6.1999 coll. Soldán *s.n.*, herb. PRC, dupl. CBFS (19364, 19365).

*Ecology*: as acknowledged by Werner *et al.* (2009), the ecology of this taxon is not very well defined; reported habitats include both wet and dry calcareous sites in rock fissures and open places on soil. One specimen (Papp 14/6) was collected in saline grassland, a habitat to-date considered to be typical for *D. sicculus*; the latter species was moreover found in close proximity, and with a similar ecology.

# *Didymodon tophaceus* subsp. *sicculus* (M.J.Cano, Ros, García-Zam. & J.Guerra) Jan Kučera, *comb. et stat. nov.* Figs 18-31

Bas. *Didymodon sicculus* M.J.Cano, Ros, García-Zam. & J.Guerra, *The bryologist* 99: 401. 1996.

*New observations*: parallel to the variability of *D. erosus*, larger morphs were observed in *D. sicculus*. In Kučera *16279*, ovate-lanceolate leaves up to  $1.45 \times 0.47$  mm with erose apices were observed (Figs 23, 26), and similar sizes were observed in the upper leaves from below the perichaetium in the sporulating specimen Blockeel *43/242*, but here the apex was lingulate (Figs 22, 25). Generally, the plants from wetter sites had a more obtuse leaf apex and stronger costa, up to 95 µm wide, with one layer of ventral and up to 4 layers of dorsal stereids.

Setae were observed in the Hungarian specimen Papp 14/5, and fully developed sporophytes were present in the Greek specimen Blockeel 43/242 (Figs 30, 31). Our description of fertile plants amends the recently published first description of sporophytes by Müller (2017), who observed somewhat larger capsules and longer peristome teeth, reflecting probably the site conditions. Perigonia budlike, in upper leaf axils, perigonial leaves with inflated base. Seta 6-7 mm long, reddish in lower part, orange-brown upwards. Urn ovate-cylindrical, 0.7-1.0 × 0.4-0.5 mm, lid shortly and slightly obliquely rostrate, 0.4-0.6 mm long, calyptra cucullate. Peristome fugacious, composed of 16 irregularly anastomosing pairs of straight, filiform, low-papillose rami, *ca* 90-120 µm high, on very short basal membrane. Exothecial cells irregularly rectangular, *ca* 15-25 × 20-50 µm, moderately thick-walled, towards the mouth of capsule small and isodiametric in *ca* 3 rows but annulus of inflated cells absent; stomata at base of the urn present. Spores finely granulose, 14-16 µm.

*New country records*: HUNGARY. CSONGRÁD COUNTY, Nagy-Szék near Sziksósfürdő, ca. 80 m a.s.l., road ditch, 14.3.2014 coll. P. Erzberger *17010*, dupl. CBFS; Kiskundorozsma, Sziksós,

at an artificial lake, 80 m a.s.l., 14.3.2014 coll. B. Papp *14/5*, BP189927, dupl. CBFS; BACS-KISKUN COUNTY, Bába-szék saline area between Dunatetétlen and Akasztó along the road No. 53, 90 m a.s.l., saline area, 21.4.2012 coll. B. Papp *s.n.*, BP185822, dupl. CBFS; PEST COUNTY, Ócsa, along a road in a wetland, 100 m a.s.l., 2.3.2013 coll. B. Papp *s.n.*, BP185825, dupl. CBFS. **CZECH REPUBLIC**. SOUTH MORAVIAN REGION, Sedlec: saline grasslands at the W edge of the Nesyt fishpond, 175 m a.s.l., 2.4.2017 coll. L. Janošík *s.n.*, herb. CBFS19420. **CROATIA**. The first country record has been published by Papp et al. (2016) from Vukovar-Srijem County. New regional record: PRIMORJE-GORSKI KOTAR COUNTY, Rab island, towards Ciganka bay at Lopar, 20 m a.s.l., 15.8.2014 coll. B. Papp *14/85*, BP190818, dupl. CBFS.

The ecology of some of the collections is identical to previous reports, most often in periodically wet but seasonally dry saline grasslands (specimens from France, Croatia, Hungary, and the Czech Republic). Nevertheless, it seems that at some sites the species can also grow in permanently wet conditions (Kučera 16279 was found on the shaded wet calcareous stones of a water ditch in the Botanical Garden of Palermo). Periodically wet sites (such as that of Blockeel 43/242, on seasonally wet soil on a roadside bank, or the Estonian site, which was on limestone in calcareous grassland) are not saline, and hence obviously intergrade with the ecology of both subsp. *tophaceus* and *erosus*.

#### Amended key to infraspecific taxa of Didymodon tophaceus

The key works for well-developed plants growing in non-extreme habitats in most, but certainly not all cases. In particular, large hygrophytic plants of subsp. *erosus* might key out to subsp. *tophaceus*, hygrophytic morphs of subsp. *sicculus* might key out to subsp. *erosus*, while weak morphs of subsp. *tophaceus* might key out to subsp. *sicculus*. Sporophyte characters have only been observed in single cases for subsp. *sicculus* and subsp. *erosus*, respectively. Hence, barcoding using one of the molecular markers described above is advisable in ambiguous cases.

- 1a. Ventral superficial costa cells on all leaves elongate (> 3:1), costa on leaves of well-developed plants often > 100  $\mu$ m wide, leaf base markedly and broadly decurrent; rhizoidal gemmae not known.....subsp. *tophaceus* (2)

#### DISCUSSION

The *Didymodon tophaceus* complex has been confirmed as a well-supported monophyletic lineage within *Didymodon* section *Fallaces* using both nrITS and chloroplast data. That section, recently also raised to generic rank (*Geheebia* Schimp.) by Zander (2013), appears to be molecularly well-supported after the

exclusion of D. asperifolius to sect. Rufiduli (Kučera & Ignatov, 2015), and D. laevigatus, D. maschalogenus and D. tomaculosus to sect. Didvmodon (Werner et al., 2005, and unpublished data of JK). Typical characters of the section include: (1) keeled leaves, (2) elongate cells on the ventral surface of the costa, (3) nonexcurrent costa in the leaf apex, (4) decurrent leaf bases, (5) lamina cells with sharp simple conical papillae, and cell walls with a tendency towards strong corner thickenings, making the lumina angular, (6) stem with a weak central strand, thickwalled cylinder cells, a strong sclerodermis and no hyalodermis, (7) absence of axillary gemmae, and (8) yellow to orange KOH reaction of the cell walls. These characters are, however, well-developed only in the core members of the section (D. giganteus, D. maximus, D. ferrugineus, D. erosodenticulatus, all in a wellsupported clade within the section), while the other members sometimes share individual characters with members of other sections, such as the well-developed ventral epidermis with isodiametric cells over the costa in D. luridus, to a certain extent also present in D. erosus and D. sicculus, the excurrent leaf costa in D. tophaceus var. anatinus, or the absence of papillae in D. luridus. On the other hand, elongate cells on the ventral surface of the costa are occasionally present in members of section Didymodon such as D. maschalogenus, D. tomaculosus or D. laevigatus but axillary gemmae typical of sect. Didymodon are present in the first species and the costa is regularly excurrent in the latter two species. Some members of sect. Rufiduli (D. asperifolius, D. rivicola, D. rufidulus) share their leaf shape with representatives of sect. *Fallaces* but have a rufous colour and a tendency towards bilaterally bulging lamina cells, not present in members of section *Fallaces*.

Although the taxonomy of the *Didymodon tophaceus* complex has recently been addressed by Werner et al. (2009), molecular barcoding and subsequent taxonomic evaluation have necessitated further broadening of and partly also changes in the morphological concepts applied to *D. erosus* and *D. sicculus*. Moreover, even the wider molecular analysis has left D. tophaceus, as presently defined morphologically, non-monophyletic. Several causes might underlie the observed paraphyletic pattern that applies to the current morphological circumscription of D. tophaceus in the strict sense. These include the existence of unsampled lineages within the complex, which might belong to additional cryptic species, incomplete lineage sorting, and gene flow among the lineages. The latter cause seems to be least probable in this particular case, as we found no incongruence between the pattern of chloroplast and nuclear data, and the ITS paralogs were observed very rarely and created monophyletic clusters of closely related accessions. On the other hand, it is impossible to decide whether congruence between gene trees and species trees has not yet been reached, or additional sibling species exist within the complex, given the insufficient molecular sampling over the entire geographic area of distribution. Moreover, the pattern of morphological variability described above does not allow the unequivocal identification of each of the species, and particularly the separation of D. erosus from D. sicculus, although tendencies for somewhat different leaf shapes and the generally larger stature of the former is obvious and has been used for developing the key above. Ecological preferences, emphasized by Werner et al. (2009) and confirmed recently also by Müller (2017), do not hold on a wider scale and the distribution pattern probably rather reflects inadequate knowledge, as both D. erosus and D. sicculus are here reported to have confirmed occurrences further north-west in Europe, and a South American locality is reported for the first time for D. erosus. Although sporophyte characters have been described for the first time here, and D. erosus seems to be clearly different from the other two taxa in the absence of a peristome, the discovery of additional fertile populations in the future

might reveal greater variability even in this character, as already reported for *D. tophaceus*, in which eperistomate morphs (*Gymnostomum knightii* Schimp.) are reported to occur (Zander 1993; we have however not investigated whether this taxon belongs to *D. tophaceus* in its current definition).

Given the incomplete morphological and molecular separation of the three taxa but with clear tendencies, and in most cases also the clear molecular identification of the lineages, we believe that the most appropriate taxonomic rank for the taxa of the D. tophaceus complex is that of subspecies, with an additional variety (D. tophaceus var. anatinus) for the conspicuous morph of the nominate subspecies with an excurrent leaf costa. Subspecific rank has not been used very often in recent taxonomic studies, and when such taxa have been recognized, the decision has mostly been based on morphological evidence, such as the subspecies of Schistidium brunnescens Limpr. and S. elegantulum H.H.Blom in the treatment of Blom (1996). or the subspecies of *Pohlia nutans* (Hedw.) Lindb. in the treatment of Köckinger et al. (2005). Molecular arguments supporting the earlier morphological evaluation of subspecies in the Tortula muralis Hedw. complex (Košnar & Kolář, 2009) have been presented by Košnar et al. (2012) in the partial gene flow between the taxa. Although we could not find evidence of gene flow among the taxa of the *D. tophaceus* complex, we believe that the arguments presented above constitute a further instance, based on sound taxonomy, where the usage of subspecies might be a plausible solution for a difficult complex of taxa.

Acknowledgements. Financial support for molecular analyses from the institutional resources at the Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, is greatly acknowledged, as well as the laboratory work of Alžběta Manukjanová and Jiří Košnar in the Plant Molecular Laboratory at the workplace of JK. Heribert Köckinger (Weißkirchen, Austria), Misha Ignatov (MBG Moscow), Olga Afonina (KBI St. Petersburg), Lukáš Janošík (Dept. of Botany, Charles University Prague), K. A. Lye (Norway) & E. Oja (Tartu University, Estonia) are acknowledged for the loan of specimens. The SYNTHESYS programme (GB-TAF-3543) enabled J. Kučera's study of the collections at RBG Edinburgh (E). The CESNET LM2015042 and the CERIT Scientific Cloud LM2015085, under the programme "Projects of Large Research, Development, and Innovations Infrastructures", provided the computational resources.

#### REFERENCES

- BLOCKEEL T.L., KUČERA J. & FEDOSOV V.E., 2017 Bryoerythrophyllum duellii Blockeel (Bryophyta: Pottiaceae), a new moss species from Greece and Cyprus, and its molecular affinities. Journal of bryology 39: 247-254.
- BLOM H.H., 1996 A revision of the *Schistidium apocarpum* complex in Norway and Sweden. *Bryophytorum bibliotheca* 49: 1-333.
- CANO M.J., 2016 New records of Pottiaceae (Bryophyta) from Cape Verde. *Nova Hedwigia* 103: 373-383.
- CANO M.J., ROS R.M., GARCÍA-ZAMORA P. & GUERRA J., 1996 *Didymodon sicculus* sp. nov. (Bryopsida, Pottiaceae) from the Iberian Peninsula. *The bryologist* 99: 401-406.
- JIMÉNEZ J.A., GUERRA J., CANO M.J. & ROS R.M., 2004 *Didymodon erosus* sp. nov. (Musci, Pottiaceae) from the Iberian Peninsula. *Nova Hedwigia* 78: 501-506.
- JIMÉNEZ J.A., ROS R.M., CANO M.J. & GUERRA J., 2005 A revision of *Didymodon* section *Fallaces* (Musci, Pottiaceae) in Europe, North Africa, Macaronesia, and southwest and central Asia. *Annals of the Missouri botanical garden* 92: 225-247.
- KÖCKINGER H., KUČERA J. & STEBEL A., 2005 *Pohlia nutans* subsp. *schimperi* (Müll.Hal.) Nyholm, a neglected Nordic moss in Central Europe. *Journal of bryology* 27: 351-355.
- KÖCKINGER H. & KUČERA J., 2011 Hymenostylium xerophilum, sp. nov., and H. gracillimum, comb. nov., two neglected European mosses and their molecular affinities. Journal of bryology 33: 195-209.

- KOŠNAR J. & KOLÁŘ F., 2009 A taxonomic study of selected European taxa of the Tortula muralis (Pottiaceae, Musci) complex: variation in morphology and ploidy level. Preslia 81: 399-421.
- KOŠNAR J., HERBSTOVÁ M., KOLÁŘ F., KOUTECKÝ P. & KUČERA J., 2012 A case study of intragenomic ITS variation in bryophytes: assessment of gene flow and role of polyploidy in the origin of European taxa of the *Tortula muralis* (Musci: Pottiaceae) complex. *Taxon* 61: 709-720.
- KUČERA J., KOŠNAR J. & WERNER O., 2013 Partial generic revision of *Barbula* (Musci: Pottiaceae): Re-establishment of *Hydrogonium* and *Streblotrichum*, and the new genus *Gymnobarbula*. *Taxon* 62: 21-39.
- KUČERA J. & IGNATOV M.S., 2015 Revision of phylogenetic relationships of *Didymodon* sect. *Rufiduli* (Pottiaceae, Musci). Arctoa 24: 79-97.
- MÜLLER F., 2017 *Didymodon sicculus* and *Tortula pallida* new for Germany from inland salt marshes in eastern Germany. *Herzogia* 30: 387-396.
- PAPP B., NATCHEVA R., ERZBERGER P. & SABOVLJEVIĆ M.S., 2012 Didymodon sicculus, new to Bulgaria and Serbia and notes on its ecology. Nova Hedwigia 95: 221-226.
- PAPP B., ALEGRO A., ERZBERGER P., SZURDOKI E., ŠEGOTA V. & SABOVLJEVIĆ M., 2016 — Bryophytes of saline areas in the Pannonian region of Serbia and Croatia. *Studia botanica hungarica* 47: 141-150.
- RONQUIST F., TESLENKO M., VAN DER MARK P., AYRES D.L., DARLING A., HÖHNA S., LARGET B., LIU L., SUCHARD M.A. & HUELSENBECK J.P., 2012 — MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic biology 61: 539-542.
- SIMMONS M.P. & OCHOTERENA H., 2000 Gaps as characters in sequence-based phylogenetic analyses. Systematic biology 49: 349-381.
- STAMATAKIS A., 2014 RAxML Version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenes. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1312-1313.
- VELLAK K., INGERPUU N., LEIS M. & EHLRICH L., 2015 Annotated checklist of Estonian bryophytes. Folia cryptogamica estonica 52: 109-127.
- WERNER O., JIMÉNEZ J.A., ROS R M., CANO M.J. & GUERRA J., 2005 Preliminary investigation of the systematics of *Didymodon* (Pottiaceae, Musci) based on nrITS sequence data. *Systematic botany* 30: 461-470.
- WERNER Ó., KÖCKINGER H., JIMÉNEZ J.A. & ROS R.M., 2009 Molecular and morphological studies on the *Didymodon tophaceus* complex. *Plant biosystems* 143: 136-145.
- ZANDER R.H., 1993 Genera of the Pottiaceae: mosses of harsh environments. *Bulletin of the Buffalo society of natural sciences* 32: 1-378.
- ZANDER R.H., 2007 Didymodon. In: Flora of North America Editorial Committee (eds), Flora of North America north of Mexico. Vol. 27 (Bryophyta, part 1). New York and Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 539-561.
- ZANDER R.H., 2013 A framework for post-phylogenetic systematics. St. Louis: Zetetic Publications. [iv] 209 pp.

# APPENDIX I

#### Specimens used for the molecular study

Genbank accession numbers refer to ITS, *rps4-trnS* and *trnM-trnV* loci, respectively. Sequences printed in bold were newly generated for this study. All specimens or their duplicates are filed in herbarium CBFS.

Barbula unguiculata Hedw. Austria. Kučera 12829. HM147804. HM147777. JQ890366; Bryoerythrophyllum recurvirostrum (Hedw.) P.C.Chen. Czech Republic, Kučera 12925, JQ890527, JQ890468, JQ890407; Didymodon acutus (Brid.) K. Saito, Czech Republic, Kučera 12925, KP307477, KP307551, KP307667; D. erosodenticulatus (Müll.Hal.) K.Saito. Russia, Afonina 4405, MF536559, MF536597, MF536635; D. erosus J.A.Jiménez & J.Guerra. France, Skrzypczak s.n., CBFS 10080, MF536573, MF536609, MF536646; Albania, Papp 14/65/3, BP 189898, CBFS 18581, MF536577, MF536611, MF536648; Hungary, Papp 14/6, CBFS 18733, MF536580, MF536618, MF536652; Austria, Köckinger 12353, CBFS 18742, MF536584, MF536621, MF536655; Ecuador, Soldán s.n., CBFS 19364, MF536585, MF536622, MF536656; (from Werner et al., 2009 additionally EU835145, EU835146, EU835144, AY437094); D. fallax (Hedw.) R.H.Zander. Czech Republic 1, Kučera 12448, MF536551, MF536590, MF536627; Czech Republic 2, Kučera 13246, MF536556, MF536595, MF536633; Ireland, Holvoak 04-112, MF536553, MF536592, MF536629; D. ferrugineus (Schimp. ex Besch.) M.O.Hill. Austria, Kučera 12482, MF536549, MF536588, MF536625; India, Lüth 6617. MF536560. MF536598. MF536636; D. giganteus (Funck) Jur. Austria, Kučera 12897, KP307468, KP307548, KP307669; Germany, Cano s.n., MUB 6191, AY437101, AF480991, MF536630; China, Long 19107, MF536564, MF536601, MF536639; Nepal, Long 20840, MF536565, MF536602, MF536640; D. leskeoides K.Saito. China, Long 35856, MF536567, MF536604, MF536642; Nepal, Townsend 92-51, MF536557, MF536596, MF536634; D. luridus Hornsch. Czech Republic, Kučera 11262, MF536548, MF536587, MF536624; Spain, Tenerife, Dirkse & Hochstenbach 28659, MF536561, MF536599, MF536637; D. maximus (Syed & Crundw.) M.O.Hill. Ireland, Holyoak 00-725, MF536552, MF536591, MF536628; Mongolia, Ignatov 01-792, MF536555, MF536594, MF536632; Canada, Steere 76-779, MF536562, MF536600, MF536638; China, Long 27238, MF536566, MF536603, MF536641; D. occidentalis R.H.Zander. Canada, McIntosh 7521, MF536558, KP307533, KP307599; D. rigidulus Hedw. Czech Republic, Kučera 1815, KP307473, KP307589, KP307647; D. sicculus M.J.Cano, Ros, García-Zam. & J.Guerra. Hungary 1, Erzberger 17010, CBFS:16809, MF536568, MF536605, -; Serbia 1, Erzberger 13773, CBFS 14152, MF536569, -, -; Serbia 2, Erzberger 13775. CBFS 14151, MF536570, MF536606, MF536643; Estonia, Oja s.n., TU 169462, CBFS 17183, KX685409, KX685407, KX685408; Greece, Blockeel 43/242, CBFS 16881, MF536572, MF536608, MF536645; France, Kučera 17286, MF536574, -, -; Italy 1, Kučera 16279, MF536575, -, -; Italy 2, Kučera 16338, MF536576, MF536610, MF536647; Hungary 2, Papp s.n., BP 185825, CBFS:18730, -, MF536616, -; Croatia 1, Papp 14/85, CBFS 18734, -, MF536617, -; Croatia 2, Papp 13/23, BP 190749, CBFS 18731, MF536581/MF536582, MF536619, MF536653; Hungary 3, Papp 14/5, BP 189927, CBFS 18732, MF536583, MF536620, MF536654; (from Werner et al., 2009 additionally AY437092, EU835132, EU835123, EU835126, AY437091, EU835124); D. sinuosus (Mitt.) Delogne. Czech Republic, Kučera 12059, JQ890529, JQ890476, JQ890410;

D. spadiceus (Mitt.) Limpr. Czech Republic, Plášek s.n., CBFS 12722, JQ890528, JO890474, JO890409; Russia, Ignatov et al. s.n., CBFS:13046, MF536554, MF536593, MF536631; D. torquatus (Müll.Hal.) Magill. New Zealand, Linzey 3224, MF536563, MF536613, MF536650; D. tophaceus (Brid.) Lisa. United Kingdom, Kučera 18457, MF536571, MF536607, MF536644; Hungary, Erzberger et al. 20917, CBFS 18664, MF536578, MF536612, MF536649; Norway, Lye 36934, CBFS 15497, MF536579, MF536615, MF536651; (from Werner et al., 2009 additionally EU835130, EU835127, EU835128, AY437093, EU835134, EU835131. EU835133. EU835135. EU835129. EU835149); EU835122. D. tophaceus var. anatinus Hamm. France, Hauguel s.n., CBFS:4465, MF536550, MF536589, MF536626; D. vinealis (Brid.) R.H.Zander. Spain, Kučera 5567, KP307469, KP307584, KP307606; D. xanthocarpus (Müll.Hal.) Magill. South Africa, Magill & Schelpe 4030, KP307459, MF536614, KP307638; Pseudocrossidium denticulatum (Dusén) M.J. Cano. Chile, F. Müller C668, MF536586, MF536623, MF536657: *P. hornschuchianum* (Schultz) R.H.Zander. Austria. Kučera 12829. JO890535, JO890481, JO890420.